

1137 Agincourt Road,
Ottawa, Ontario,
K2C 2H8.

November 26, 1978.

Dr. Ian Halliday,
Herzberg Institute of Astrophysics,
National Research Council,
Sussex Drive,
Ottawa, Ontario.

Dear Dr. Halliday:

I am writing with respect to a news item from the Edmonton Journal of July 14, 1978, copy attached, in which you are quoted on the subject of UFOs.

I do not know whether you were quoted correctly, but I will assume you were. If not, you can let me know. Perhaps your words were taken out of context. My disagreements are with the statements as printed. I wish to comment on these statements and will deal with them in the order in which they appear.

1. "...only in some people's imaginations."

Some UFOs may exist in people's imaginations, would be more correct. There are too many cases where physical traces and physiological effects have occurred for them all to be imagination. The Michalak case is an example (case No. DND 200 in the NRC files). Your statement is hardly scientific as it cannot be proved. Ufologists are accused of being unscientific but I find that when it comes to Ufology, scientists are similarly guilty.

2. "...they are not seeing extraterrestrial objects."

Again, unscientific. It has not been proved that UFOs are extraterrestrial, but neither has the negative been proved, nor can it be. The possibility does exist, and a growing number of scientists around the world acknowledge this. It is not only laymen who admit this possibility.

3. "There is not a single solid object proven to come from a spacecraft..."

Possibly true, but certainly misleading. My research over thirty years has shown that so much secrecy exists (despite denials by governments) that we don't really know for certain just what has been discovered in some cases. Items of hardware do exist which, although it has not been proved they come