House/business/letter/memorandum written by Cutler or Lay during the 1953-55 time period which used a type face identical in size and style to that used in the alleged Cutler-Twining memo of July 14, 1954. To provide motivation for your prompt response for an article I plan to write, I herewith offer to pay you $100 for each such letter you provide in the next 60 days with an upward limit of $1000, if you can supply 10 different letters that meet the above conditions."

Copies of his letter to me, my letter to him, his letter to me and of his check for $1000 because I supplied more than 10 such items, are given in Appendix C. He was obviously convinced that the samples I provided were done in the same size and style type as the Cutler Twining memo. The strange thing is that Klass had provided me with just nine "samples" of elite type. Since Klass had not been to the Eisenhower Library presumably he was unaware that at the Eisenhower Library alone there are about 250,000 pages of NSC material! To suggest that one can get a handle on typewriters on the basis of 9 memos hardly seems appropriate.

Therefore, it certainly appears that the one original document that we have passes muster with regard to the paper on which it was done being manufactured in the right time frame, the language being appropriate and the type style. Obviously, that does not prove the document genuine for this reason: Somebody could have gotten an old typewriter, could have gotten old paper, and somebody from the government could have been aware of Cutler's propensity for use of phrases like "your concurrence in the above change of arrangements is assumed," and could have typed the document recently or as a cover document way back then. Furthermore, it certainly is possible that there was an NSC/MJ-12 Special Studies Project but that it had nothing whatsoever to do with the recovery of a crashed flying saucer. We don't know.

Another objection to the Cutler-Twining memo is that neither Ike's nor Twining's calendar for July 16, 1954, the date on which this briefing was to take place, says anything about an MJ-12 briefing. For some reason, other people have also assumed that this was an NSC meeting or that the briefing would take place at a meeting of the NSC. Clearly, that's not the case. It's an "already scheduled meeting." It does not say that it is an NSC meeting. Furthermore, it is not even clear that Ike would be present at the meeting. Does the absence of a mention in Twining's schedule which is shown in Appendix D, establish that there was no such meeting? Of course it doesn't. It establishes that there was nothing listed. The schedules for Twining were unclassified. I have a record of Twining attending a meeting at the White House, ducking out to meet with Eisenhower for perhaps 20 minutes, then going back to his originally scheduled meeting. Documents substantiating this are shown in Appendix D.

Also we know that there are often several versions of important officials' calendars. For example, I discovered at the Truman Library that there was a pre- and a post-August 1, 1950, listing for President Truman. That date is significant in terms of