

Question 5. Did the Committee investigate all reports that were received?

A. NO. "Our available resources for field study enabled us to deal only with a small fraction of the reports coming in", (p. 11). (i.e. a small fraction of the 10% reported).

Question 6. Was the Committee able to explain satisfactorily all or nearly all cases which were investigated?

A. "A common situation was one in which the lack of evidence made the investigation totally inconclusive" (p. 61).

Question 7. Were there any cases investigated which could be explained only in terms of "strange vehicles"?

A. "the label 'unidentified' does not necessarily imply that an unusual or strange object was present. On the other hand, some cases involve testimony, which, if taken at face value describes experiences which can be explained only in terms of the presence of strange vehicles..... These cases are puzzling and conclusions regarding them depend entirely upon the weight one gives to the personal testimony as presented". (p. 62).

Question 8. Did the investigation determine that most UFO witnesses are emotionally disturbed or irresponsible people?

A. "In our experience, the persons making reports seem in nearly all cases to be normal, responsible individuals. In most cases they are quite calm, at least by the time they make a report. They are simply puzzled about what they saw and hope that they can be helped to a better understanding of it. Only a very few are obviously quite emotionally disturbed, their minds being filled