

few fields of science and overflows into areas not yet within the bounds of science. The scientists themselves, generally speaking, are limited by their own fields of specialization. Whole aspects of the problem are overlooked, if humanitarian considerations are omitted. And these are omitted in purely scientific studies. Unless all the many aspects of the problem are considered as a part of the total problem, a distorted view of the overall picture is bound to be the result. This has proved to be so.

73. This is the age of the specialist, the expert, the professional, and it has become increasingly the age of the jealously-guarded vested interests in all spheres of activity. The average layman has been made to feel inferior, by degrees, credentials and authorities in all professional areas and the experts have encouraged this awe of authority as it boosts their status in the public eye.

74. The average citizen lacks the courage to openly disagree with the "experts" because of this air of authority which they carry. The UFO phenomenon has been left in the care of the supposed "experts" for twenty years and they have not reached a solution to it. It is time for a broader view to be taken of this great mystery, unrestricted by the narrow fields of specialization of particular individuals.

75. It was Dr. Rene Dubos, of the Rockefeller Institute for Medical Research who stated:

"A society that blindly accepts the decisions of experts is a sick society. The time has come when we must produce, alongside specialists another class of scholars and citizens who have broad familiarity with the facts, methods and objectives of science, and thus are capable of making judgments about scientific policies."³³

the discrepancies of the Condon investigation and to point out that much significant evidence was overlooked or rejected.²⁴

38. I therefore strongly urge that caution be used in the interpretation of the Condon Report. Let us not be lulled into a false impression that Condon has proved that UFOs are not space vehicles as such proof has not been presented. In fact he did not make this claim. Let us not be fooled into thinking the whole matter is finished, as the question in fact remains open. Its remaining open proved only one thing -- that the Committee did not solve the problem. We are left where we were twenty-one years ago and my contention that scientific investigations to date have been inadequate to the task has been supported.

39. Many questions can be asked now that the Condon Report has been released. A few of these questions, with answers contained in the Report are listed separately as Annex "B" to this brief. These answers are very enlightening as to the real findings of the study.

40. In Canada, even less progress has been made to date. In the early 1950s, a study was conducted by an engineer in the Department of Transport. This was primarily a spare time project on the part of the engineer-in-charge, Mr. Wilbert B. Smith, but the Broadcast and Measurements Section of the Telecommunications Division was given the directive to go ahead with this work with whatever assistance could be obtained informally from outside sources such as Defence Research Board and National Research Council. This study was named PROJECT MAGNET. In the final paragraph of his report, Mr. Smith stated:

"It appears then, that we are faced with a substantial probability of the real existence of extra-terrestrial vehicles, regardless of whether or not they fit into our scheme of things. Such vehicles of necessity must use a technology considerably in advance of what we have. It is therefore submitted that the next step in this investigation should be a substantial effort towards the acquisition of as much as possible of this technology, which would without doubt be of great value to us."²⁵