

get even for the Bay of Pigs fiasco is one possible answer. However, what would the assassination have gotten them? The freedom of Cuba would certainly have been no closer, and their cause and government support might have been lost forever if they were found out. Pro-military right-wing factions that wanted the Vietnam war to continue is another possibility; it has been rumored that Kennedy had ordered all troops out of Vietnam by 1965. (Marrs, p 581 & Groden, p 168 and 466-473, Appendix A) It must be noted that this rumor has been disputed by some Kennedy experts and further study to confirm its reality may be in order. There were other potential conspirators, such as power hungry supporters of the Vice President, oil business interests, international banking interests, members of organized crime and pro-Castro groups. Based on what is known and suspected, the coverup was vast and complex; the number of people involved, including members of the Secret Service and FBI, were numerous. Yet, who had sufficient motivation compelling enough to drive them to the extreme and dangerous act of murdering a president? Why the rush? With Kennedy's reported philandering and the relatively conservative nature of most voters at that time, these factors could have been used to Kennedy's disadvantage in the 1964 election which was just one year away. He might have been removed from office without the extreme risk. This obviously didn't occur. So, even if a few people were willing to risk their lives, reputations and fortunes to murder Kennedy, without an urgent and compelling reason, how could they get so many others involved? It seems to us that more research needs to be done on this issue.

Is Cooper correct in stating that the reason was primarily because Kennedy was going to tell the truth about the UFO coverup and the government's reputed involvement in the drug trade? More than likely, the answer to this question is no. The reasons for Kennedy's assassination and the motivations of those who supported and covered it up are numerous and not simply explained. There are a number of documented, reasonable and prosaic explanations. But perhaps the flying saucer coverup issue is a minor part of the equation, the evidence of which has remained undetected so far. Cooper's question may be worth asking again. Researchers who have specialized in the Kennedy assassination may have clues that they don't understand which flying saucer coverup specialists can help them recognize. We recommend that steps be taken to get these two groups together.