

95 Centre Street,
Aylmer East, Quebec.

Nov. 5, 1969.

My dear Arthur:

Thank you for your letter and the enclosed ASOC membership card which I was pleased to receive. I am happy to note that all is well between us again and that we can continue our work together as true Servants of Christ.

In your letter you did not mention my request that you add some explanatory technical details in support of your findings of the test on the chunk of metal to make a scientific report of it, and I am therefore writing to ask if you will kindly let me know whether or not you will supply this information. I hope you will understand my position, as I am being pressed by those interested in the metal for some direct answers. While not doubting your word and only wishing to get at the full truth of this matter in a scientific manner, those who have read your report, not only our own group members but other technically-minded people, all feel that the report as it stands at the present, does not give the full story or a technical explanation of how you arrived at your conclusions that the metal is man-made of Earth metals, and particularly, that it was never in space, and therefore they cannot accept the report in its present form. Understandably I think, they want some facts and figures so that they can understand how you formed these conclusions. I have been requested to ask you, in particular, the following questions: (1) What was the actual composition and percentage of the various metals found in the chunk? They require this information in order that your data may be compared with the analyses which have already been carried out on the metal by professional metallurgists unaware of its background. (2) What is your explanation as to the origin of the inclusions on the outer surface of the metal which were believed to be micrometeorites? (3) What scientific method did you use to determine that the mass of metal was never in outer space? They consider this question far more important than the actual composition of the metal as it is possible that other planets may have the same minerals as Earth.

As a scientific man and a practical engineer yourself, Arthur, I am sure you will appreciate that this is a perfectly reasonable request to make and I shall hope that you will be able to supply some of the answers to these questions in order that they may be given serious consideration and, if logical, acceptance of your fuller report on the metal. I shall look forward to hearing further from you on this matter and hope that I shall be able to supply some satisfactory answers to the questions that people have raised about your report. In any case, I hope you will kindly extend me the courtesy of letting me know one way or the other if or not you intend to supply the requested information.

I would also be interested to hear how the public demonstration of the improved Tesla Scope went off and I do hope that it was a success and you were able to clear the Scope of the static interference experienced previously. If you would like to give me a brief report on this, I would be pleased to publish it, after your approval, in *Topside*, as I'm sure our readers would be interested to hear how you eliminated this interference in a practical manner.

I have received 2 interesting letters from our friend, Dorothy, recently. Is there any further news about Irene? I continue my prayers for her, as always. I do hope you are keeping well and enjoying life and your new contacts in the Canadian Alliance, which I wish every success. God bless you, now and always, dear Arthur. With Christian love.

Sincerely,