



DEPARTMENT of DEFENSE
DEFENSE INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE



FILE NO:

GRAHAM LEE MARVIN

N ~~5-11-11~~
86139-DFI-3415-121

34/11/28 06

WARNING

THIS FILE IS THE PROPERTY OF THE DEFENSE INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE. CONTENTS MAY BE DISCLOSED ONLY TO PERSONS WHOSE GRANTS ACCESS HERETO. CONTENTS MAY NOT BE DISCLOSED TO THE PARTY(S) CONCERNED WITHOUT SPECIFIC AUTHORIZATION FROM THE DEFENSE INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE.

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS:

BEST REPRODUCED COPY
AVAILABLE FROM DIS FILES

It is certified that the material in this file is being retained pursuant to DoD Directive 5200.27, DIS Regulation 20-2 and DIS Manual 28-2.

Date Acquired 26 SEP 1986

Signature McGray

RETAIN FOR:

60 Days

1 Year

~~15 Years~~

5 Years

Permanent

FOR OFFICIAL

USE ONLY

STAFF SUMMARY SHEET

	TO	ACTION	SIGNATURE (Surname) GRADE AND DATE		TO	ACTION	SIGNATURE (Surname) GRADE AND DATE
1	AF/RDU	Coord	<i>[Signature]</i>	6			
2	AF/JAOM	Coord	<i>W. L. Humphrey 1/25/85</i>	7			
3	AF/DADF	Coord	<i>A. Turner 1/25/85</i>	8			
4	AF/RD		<i>[Signature]</i> S. J. LAMBERSON, Maj Gen, USAF 10 JAN 1985	9			
5				10			

SURNAME OF ACTION OFFICER AND GRADE	SYMBOL	PHONE	TYPIST'S INIT	SUSPENSE DATE
Peter C. Eames, GM-13	RDUJ	59495	ces	

SUBJECT Request for Information - Freedom of Information Act (Case #84-964) DATE

SUMMARY

1. Mr. Lee M. Graham sent a Freedom of Information Act Request (Tab 1) to the Air Force, requesting information about the SR-71 and U-2 Aircraft, the Lockheed CL-400 Aircraft, Lockheed production facilities, camera systems, and a classified AF facility.

2. Most of the requested information does not exist in Air Force records. One requested piece of information, the official AF designation of the classified site, is answered that there is no official AF designation for that site.

3. We are partially denying Mr. Graham's request for the manufacturer of SR-71 camera systems. That information is properly and currently classified. We are informing Mr. Graham that 5 hours of executive/professional research time will be required to provide the answer to one question about camera systems. Under the Freedom of Information Act, Mr. Graham must indicate willingness to pay for the research time if he desires an answer to his query. In any case, if the camera systems were developed for the SR-71 or U-2, the answer to his question will be classified and withheld under Exemption b(1) of the Act.

RECOMMENDATION

4. DCS/RD&A or Assistant DCS/RD&A sign the Letter of Response to AF/DADF (Tab 2).

[Signature]
R.H. TROYER, Colonel, USAF
Special Projects Security Director
DCS/RD&A

2 Tabs

1. Freedom of Information Act Request - Graham
2. Letter of Response to AF/DADF

STAFF SUMMARY SHEET

TO	ACTION	SIGNATURE (Surname), GRADE AND DATE	TO	ACTION	SIGNATURE (Surname), GRADE AND DATE
1 AF/RDO-LO	Coord	<i>[Signature]</i> Col 11/2/84	6		
2 AF/RDRJ	Coord	<i>[Signature]</i> Col 11/2/84	7		
3 AF/JACK	Coord	<i>[Signature]</i> SE-8 2 Nov 84	8		
4 AF/DADR	Coord	<i>[Signature]</i> 11/2/84	9		
5 AF/RD	SIGN		10		

SURNAME OF ACTION OFFICER AND GRADE

Peter C. Eames, GM-13

SYMBOL

RDRJ/
RDO-LO

PHONE

56242

TYPIST'S INIT

ces

SUSPENSE DATE

SUBJECT Request for Information - Freedom of Information Act (Case #84-695)

DATE

SUMMARY

1. Mr Lee M. Graham sent a Freedom of Information Act request (Tab 1) to the Air Force, requesting information concerning six projects, for which AF/RD is OPR/OCR for three. His request was limited in nature, asking for official AF designations and participating contractors.
2. The query concerning SENIOR YEAR was unclassified and answered in full (Tab 2). Information about HAVE BLUE is being withheld because the requested facts are classified. HAVE BLUE was a technology development program.
3. Under the provisions of AFR 12-30, paragraph Ja(1), all further information has been withheld.

RECOMMENDATION

4. That the DCS/RD&A or Assistant DCS/RD&A sign the letter of response (Tab 3) to Mr Graham.

[Signature]
R.H. TROYER, Colonel, USAF
Special Projects Security Director
DCS/RD&A

3 Tabs

1. FOIA Request
2. SENIOR YEAR Response
3. Letter of Response, 31 Oct 84



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
WASHINGTON, D.C.

1 OCT 1984

REPLY TO
ATTN OF: RDPJ

SUBJECT: Request for Records - Freedom of Information Act (Case #84-695)

TO: AF/RDEC *HC*
AF/DAOF

The following UNCLASSIFIED information is provided in response to your request of 28 Sep 84.

"SENIOR YEAR is the nickname of the Air Force's U-2 program. The aircraft company associated with the U-2 is Lockheed Aircraft Corp., Burbank, CA."

Robert M. Howard Jr.
ROBERT M. HOWARD Jr., Colonel, USAF
Chief, Special Projects Office
Directorate of Development &
Production, DCS/RD&A




DEFENSE INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE
1800 HALF ST., S.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20324-1700

Reply to
Attn of: 70110

MAY 14 1986

SUBJECT: LEE, Marvin Graham
12 5-15 86
TO: Mr. Kenneth R. McLaughlin, Director
Personnel Investigations Center, DIS

1. Subject's PSI file (CCN: 65260-DKI-3409-1W9) is being returned to your office via this correspondence. As per the request of DISCR, please reopen the subject case to conduct the interview set forth in correspondence dated April 30, 1986 (Attachment pertains).
2. It has also come to our attention that Subject has had frequent correspondence with the U.S. Air Force regarding UFOs. His correspondence may be reviewed by contacting Col. Barry Hennessey, USAF, Office of Special Projects, at the Pentagon (telephone number 694-9495).
3. When scoping these leads to local field elements, indicate that they may respond via a "Supplemental" ROI.
4. PIC should send "Supplemental" ROIs direct to DISCR (Attn: Jim Brown).


THOMAS E. EWALD
Deputy Director (Investigations)

2 Attachments



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
HEADQUARTERS, UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
PETERSON AIR FORCE BASE, COLORADO 80914

27 AUG 1984

Mr. Leo A. Graham
526 N. Maple
Monrovia CA 91016

Dear Mr. Graham:

This responds to portion of question in paragraph 3 of your June 4, 1984, Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request to Foreign Technology Division: "That the satellite system detailed in "B" can and has detected UFOs... is this correct?" The Space Division of Air Force Systems Command referred that portion of your request to us.

As regards this subject matter, UFO existence or non-existence is currently and properly classified per Executive Order and exempt from mandatory disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act because it would reveal defense capability or lack thereof. Knowledge of our capability in this area would give nations whose interests are inimical to ours an insight into our capability and would give them a military advantage they otherwise might not possess. We are withholding confirmation of existence or non-existence for these reasons. The authority for this action may be found in the United States Code, Title 5, Section 552 (b)(1) and Air Force Regulation 12-03, Paragraph 10a.


Should you decide that an appeal to this decision is necessary, you must write to the Secretary of the Air Force within 45 days from the date of this letter. Include in the appeal your reasons for reconsideration, and attach a copy of this letter. Address your letter as follows:

Secretary of the Air Force
THRU: HQ Space Command/DAOP
Peterson AFB CO 80914-5001

Sincerely

James H. Ritz
JAMES H. RITZ, Colonel, USAF
Director of Administration

cc: HQ USAF/DAOP



ALJ	AEROJET ELECTROSYSTEMS
	GRAHAM, LEE M
	SENSOR TEST LABORATORY
	1100 W HOLLYVALE ST
	ALJSA CA 91702



PERSONNEL ACTION FORM (PAF)

INSTRUCTIONS: TYPE THE NEW INFORMATION IN THE UNSHADED AREA BELOW THE APPROPRIATE DATA FIELD

2. PERSONNEL ACTIONS (003)

1 - INITIAL EMPLOYMENT	5 - RATE INCREASE	9 - DEPARTMENT TRANSFER	13 - RECALL
2 - LOA WITH PAY	6 - PROMOTION	10 - BUSINESS UNIT TRANSFER	14 - SHIFT CHANGE
3 - LOA WITHOUT PAY	7 - UPGRADE	11 - SEPARATION	98 - DATA CORRECTION
4 - RETURN FROM LOA	8 - JOB RECLASS	12 - REHIRE	99 - OTHER DATA CHANGE

3. EMPLOYEE NAME (003)

5 EMPLOYEE'S NAME (LAST NAME FIRST NAME MIDDLE INITIAL)	6 SUFFIX	7 PREFIX
GRAHAM, LEE M		

4. STATUS INFORMATION (003)

8 STATUS	9 STATUS DATE	10 EMPLOYMENT DATE	11 SEP RSN	12 LOA RETURN DATE	13 LOA REASON	14 R/T	15 F/P	16 STATUS COMMENTS
A	06/20/77	07/26/76				K	F	05:42 - 16:12 Sunday - Wednesday
17 FLSA TYPE	18 PAY	19	20 SEN/BIDGE DATE	21 ANNUALIZED SALARY	22 ORIGINAL HIRE DATE	23 FLSA	24 LIFE INS BASE	25 REHIRE ELIG
N	H		02/05/83	\$33,488.00	07/26/76	Y	\$10,000.00	
26 DEPARTMENT NUMBER	27 AES ORG		31 SPECIAL STAT	32 SPECIAL STAT DATE				
04441000	3000	AE	S	06/77				

5. RATE INCREASE INFORMATION (009)

35 INC TYP	36 INCREASE DATE	37 INCREASE AMOUNT	38 INCREASE %	41 JOB TITLE
G	05/07/90	21	1.32%	TECH ELEC SYS RESEARCH
MONTHLY SALARY \$2,790.67				

6. JOB ASSIGNMENT INFORMATION (004)

42 JOB#	43 JOB START DATE	44 JOB STOP DATE	45 POSITION #	46 JOB CODE #	47 JOB CODE ENTRY DATE	48 COMPA-RATIO	49 REQUISITION #
1	06/20/77	99/99/99		F1E200	06/20/77	1.098	
50 PAY RATE	51 RATE CODE	52 PAY GRADE	53 STEP	54 % FULL TIME	56 PAY CYCLE	57 JOB DEPARTMENT NUMBER	58 TIME RPT
16.100	H	55		100.00%	W1	04441000	P
59 CHARGE IND	60 SHIFT	61 JOB SENIORITY DATE	62 SUPERVISOR	63 JOB ADJUSTED SENIORITY DATE			
U	66 DC	06/20/77	GARZONE, PAT				
64 ACCOUNT NUMBER	65 EARNINGS TYPE	66 PAY RATE	67 PERCENT	68 START DATE	69 STOP DATE		
033	REG	16.100	100.00%	06/20/77	99/99/99		
70	71	72	73	74	75		
76	77	78	79	80	81		

7. PRESENT JOB HISTORY INFORMATION

JOB#	START DATE	STOP DATE	JOB CODE	POSITION #	PAY RATE	CYCLE	% FULL TIME	ST	TY	R/T	F/P	DEPARTMENT
1	06/20/77	99/99/99	F1E200		16.100	W1	100%	A	N	K	F	04441000
1	06/20/77	99/99/99	F1E200		16.100	W1	100%	A	N	K	F	04441000
1	06/20/77	99/99/99	F1E200		16.100	W1	100%	A	N	K	F	04441000

8. APPROVALS

PRESENT SUPV/MGR	DATE	PRESENT MGR/DIR	DATE	RECEIVING SUPV/MGR	DATE	HUMAN RESOURCES	DATE
<i>[Signature]</i>	6/14/90	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/14/90	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/14/90	<i>[Signature]</i>	6-14-90
EMPLOYEE	DATE	PAYROLL	DATE				



NATIONAL GEOSPATIAL-INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

7500 GEoint Drive
Springfield, Virginia 22150

JUL 07 2011

OGCA- 20110040

Lee M. Graham
526 W. Maple
Monrovia, CA 91016

RE: Mandatory Declassification Review (MDR) Request # 20110040

Dear Mr. Graham:

This letter is in response to your Mandatory Declassification Review (MDR) request submitted to the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency (NGA), dated November 15, 2010. Your request was for "any record in which a DSP Satellite has detected an object of extraterrestrial origin, and thus determined to be of intelligent control" "A machine from another world", believed to be code named a Fast Walker (FW)".

With respect to your request for information and the records you seek, the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency can neither confirm nor deny the existence or non-existence of records responsive to your request. The information you requested, unless it has been officially acknowledged and disclosed, or otherwise properly released to the public, would be classified for reasons of national security under Executive Orders 13526 and 12951.

I have determined that under these circumstances, your request is denied. Pursuant to Executive Order 13526, Sec. 3.6(a) an agency may refuse to confirm or deny the existence or nonexistence of requested records whenever the fact of their existence or nonexistence is itself classified under this order or its predecessors.

This response constitutes a denial of your request and you may appeal this decision. The decision to withhold this information may be appealed in writing to the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency. Any appeal should be post-marked no later than 60 calendar days of the date of this letter. Please enclose a copy of this letter with the appeal along with your reasons for reconsideration. Your appeal may be mailed to the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency, thru: NGA/OGCA, 4600 Sangamore Road, Mail Stop S71OGC, Bethesda, Maryland 20816-5003.

Sincerely,

KAREN A. FINN

Chief, External Communications NGA

CIA - Intelligence Degree

Earn an intelligence degree online at American Military University.
www.AMU.APUS.edu/Intelligence

AdChoices ►



PDF Version

Executive Order 12951 of February 22, 1995**Release of Imagery Acquired by Space-Based National Intelligence Reconnaissance Systems**

By the authority vested in me as President by the Constitution and the laws of the United States of America and in order to release certain scientifically or environmentally useful imagery acquired by space-based national intelligence reconnaissance systems, consistent with the national security, it is hereby ordered as follows:

Section 1. Public Release of Historical Intelligence Imagery. Imagery acquired by the space-based national intelligence reconnaissance systems known as the Corona, Argon, and Lanyard missions shall, within 18 months of the date of this order, be declassified and transferred to the National Archives and Records Administration with a copy sent to the United States Geological Survey of the Department of the Interior consistent with procedures approved by the Director of Central Intelligence and the Archivist of the United States. Upon transfer, such imagery shall be deemed declassified and shall be made available to the public.

Sec. 2. Review for Future Public Release of Intelligence Imagery. (a) All information that meets the criteria in section 2(b) of this order shall be kept secret in the interests of national defense and foreign policy until deemed otherwise by the Director of Central Intelligence. In consultation with the Secretaries of State and Defense, the Director of Central Intelligence shall establish a comprehensive program for the periodic review of imagery from systems other than the Corona, Argon, and Lanyard missions, with the objective of making available to the public as much imagery as possible consistent with the interests of national defense and foreign policy. For imagery from obsolete broad-area film-return systems other than Corona, Argon, and Lanyard missions, this review shall be completed within 5 years of the date of this order. Review of imagery from any other system that the Director of Central Intelligence deems to be obsolete shall be accomplished according to a timetable established by the Director of Central Intelligence. The Director of Central Intelligence shall report annually to the President on the implementation of this order.

(b) The criteria referred to in section 2(a) of this order consist of the following: imagery acquired by a space-based national intelligence reconnaissance system other than the Corona, Argon, and Lanyard missions.

Sec. 3. General Provisions. (a) This order prescribes a comprehensive and exclusive system for the public release of imagery acquired by space-based national intelligence reconnaissance systems. This order is the exclusive Executive order governing the public release of imagery for purposes of section 552(b)(1) of the Freedom of Information Act.

(b) Nothing contained in this order shall create any right or benefit, substantive or procedural, enforceable by any party against the United States, its agencies or instrumentalities, its officers or employees, or any other person.

Sec. 4. Definition. As used herein, "imagery" means the product acquired by space-based national intelligence reconnaissance systems that provides a likeness or representation of any natural or man-made feature or related objective or activities and satellite positional data acquired at the same time the likeness or representation was acquired.

[signed:] William J. Clinton

THE WHITE HOUSE,

February 22, 1995.



NATIONAL GEOSPATIAL-INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

7500 GEOINT Drive
Springfield, Virginia 22150

MDR 2011-0049A

AUG 01 2011

26 JULY 2011

Mr. Lee M. Graham
526 West Maple
Monrovia, CA 91016

RE: MDR 2011-0049A, Appeal of Mandatory Declassification Review (MDR) original
file # 20110040

Dear Mr. Graham:

This is to acknowledge our receipt of your letter appealing the determination of your Mandatory Declassification Review (MDR) File # 20110040. Your appeal is regarding the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency's (NGA) assertion that it can neither confirm nor deny the existence or non-existence of records responsive to your request.

We will consider your appeal and respond to you within the requisite 60 calendar days. Should you wish to contact us in the meantime, please refer to the assigned MDR Appeal Number, MDR-2011-0049A. If you have any questions, please call me at 571-557-2987 or e-mail me at Helen.B.Chapman@nga.mil.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

HELEN BROWNIE CHAPMAN
FOIA Program Manager

The official web site of the
U.S. AIR FORCE

HOME NEWS INFORMATION PUBLIC WEB SITES



JOIN THE AIR FORCE

Information > Biographies > MAJOR GENERAL MICHAEL C. KERBY

MAJOR GENERAL MICHAEL C. KERBY

Retired March 1, 1991.

Major General Michael C. Kerby is vice commander in chief, Pacific Air Forces, headquartered at Hickam Air Force Base, Hawaii.

General Kerby was born in 1937, in New York City and graduated from Fordham Preparatory School, Bronx, N.Y., in 1954. He earned a bachelor of arts degree in economics from Georgetown University in 1958 and continued graduate studies in night school at Columbia University in 1959. The general earned a master of arts degree in government and international studies from the University of Notre Dame in 1979. He completed Squadron Officer School in 1963 and Armed Forces Staff College in 1971. General Kerby is currently a doctoral candidate in political science at the University of Notre Dame.

The general was commissioned through the Air Force Reserve Officer Training Corps program at Georgetown University in 1958. He entered pilot training in October 1959 at Malden Air Base, Mo., training in T-34s and T-28s. He transferred to Webb Air Force Base, Texas, in May 1960 for training in T-33s and completed pilot training in November 1960 as a distinguished graduate. After completing advanced fighter and weapons delivery training in F-100s at Luke Air Force Base, Ariz., and Nellis Air Force Base, Nev., General Kerby was assigned in October 1961 to the 417th Tactical Fighter Squadron, Ramstein Air Base, West Germany, as an F-100 pilot. In October 1965 he was assigned as an F-100 flight commander with the 615th Tactical Fighter Squadron, England Air Force Base, La. In July 1966 he deployed with the squadron to Phan Rang Air Base, Republic of Vietnam.

In January 1967 General Kerby became an A-1H pilot adviser to the Vietnamese air force with the Air Force Advisory Group, Tan Son Nhut Air Base, Republic of Vietnam. He returned to F-100s as an instructor with the Air Force Fighter Weapons Instructor Course at Nellis Air Force Base from September 1967 through January 1969. He then served as a demonstration pilot (solo) with the Air Force Aerial Demonstration Squadron, the Thunderbirds, also at Nellis, flying F-100s and F-4E Phantom IIs, until January 1971.

The general completed Armed Forces Staff College in July 1971 and was assigned to the Fighter and Reconnaissance Manning Section, Air Force Military Personnel Center, Randolph Air Force Base, Texas, as a resource manager. He completed his tour of duty there in May 1974 as chief of the Fighter Section. The general was assigned as operations officer for the 421st Tactical Fighter Squadron, Udorn Royal Thai Air Force Base, Thailand, from October 1974 to August 1975. He then served as commander of the 335th Tactical Fighter Squadron and, subsequently, as assistant deputy commander for operations with the 4th Tactical Fighter Wing at Seymour Johnson Air Force Base, N.C., until August 1977.

After completing a research master's program at the University of Notre Dame in June 1979, General Kerby was assigned to Headquarters U.S. Air Force, Washington, D.C., as chief, Senate Liaison Division, Directorate of Legislative Liaison. He was responsible for advising members of the U.S. Senate on Air Force issues and programs. In March 1981 he became vice commander of the 368th Tactical Fighter Wing, Hill Air Force Base, Utah.

General Kerby was assigned as commander of the 474th Tactical Fighter Wing at Nellis Air Force Base in August 1981 and subsequently commanded the 57th Fighter Weapons Wing at Nellis from May 1982 to May 1984. The 57th Fighter Weapons Wing included the Air Force Fighter Weapons School, Red Flag, fighter weapons test, evaluation and tactics development; adversary threat training, the Aggressors, and the Thunderbirds. General Kerby then returned to Air Force headquarters as deputy director of legislative liaison and became director in August 1986. He assumed his present duties in April 1988.

The general is a command pilot with more than 4,000 flying hours and more than 350 combat flying hours. He has flown F-100s, A-1H's, F-4E's, F-5s, F-111s, F-15s and F-16s. His military decorations and awards include the Distinguished Service Medal, Legion of Merit with oak leaf cluster, Distinguished Flying Cross with oak leaf cluster, Bronze Star Medal, Meritorious Service Medal with oak leaf cluster, Air Medal with six oak leaf clusters, Air Force Commendation Medal with two oak leaf clusters, Republic of Vietnam Gallantry Cross with Palm, Republic of Vietnam Air Gallantry Medal with gold wings, and Republic of Vietnam Air Service Medal.

He was promoted to major general June 1, 1987, with same date of rank.

General Kerby's hometown is New York City.

(Current as of April 1989)



Download Hi-Res

Bio Tools

Printable bio

Inside AF.mil

Search

Search Bios:

search bios

Include: ☒ Active
☒ Retired
☒ Military
☒ Civilian

Search by name:

search by name

Advanced Search

Search by alphabet

A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O
P Q R S T U V W X Y Z

18 March 2011

Mandatory Declassification Review
Request, Executive Order 13526, Section 3.6

Jeanne Wright
Mandatory Declassification Review
Specialist
HAF/IMIO (MDR)
1000 Air Force Pentagon
Washington DC 20330-1000

Dear Ms. Wright:

This reply is in response to the enclosed letter to me dated 10 Mar 2011.
Thank you for your response. I am responding to the following statement within your response, as-

"Therefore, we are unable to process your request as is. We suggest you specifically describe the record(s) you seek by titles." Thus, I will explain most specifically the action taken for that those records I seek and try and give them Titles, as follows-

Enclosed you will find a C E R T I F I C A T E, dated 9/15/87 signed by me and witnessed by (FBI, Agent) William J. Hurley, that stated, in part-

I, LEE M. GRAHAM, UNDERSTAND THAT I HAVE BEEN EXPOSED TO INFORMATION WHICH HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED TO ME AS BEING CURRENTLY AND PROPERLY CLASSIFIED AND FOR WHICH I DO NOT HAVE A NEED TO KNOW.

Ms. Wright, this is a violation of Air Force Security rules-

The information that I was provided with, is found in A T T A C H M E N T 1, "declassified" per 17 Apr 90 Memo, and attached is this MEMORANDUM FOR DISTRIBUTION, dated 17 APR 1990, and signed by-

JOHN B. HENNESSEY, Colonel, USAF
Special Projects Security Director
Assistant Secretary
of the Air Force (acquisition)

This is the very same Col. Hennessey, that in the enclosed letter to me, dated 16 Sep 1987, by John (Andrews) that states, in part-

Dear Lee:

Col. Hennessey (Barry) was in my office yesterday-perhaps at same time FBI was talking with you.

Indeed, as I have just shown above I, signed the enclosed, C E R T I F I C A T E, dated 9/15/87 in which I was given "CLASSIFIED" information on the existence of an Aircraft that Mr. John Andrews identifies in his To Whom I t May Concern letter enclosed dated, 17 March 1989, wherein Mr. Andrews states-

"I provided Lee with the Lockheed Stealth designator (F-117), codename (Senior Trend) all before September 1987."

And-

"Col. Barry Hennessey has been my AFOSI contact."

This UNCLASSIFIED letter is now, an integral part of the enclosed Defense Investigative Service (DIS) file number 89311-DK1-3408-1W9, a few pages that I have enclosed for your perusal, to authenticate this MDR request.

As you can see from the enclosed article titled- Lockheed F-117 Nighthawk, found on the Internet, the existence of the F-117 was not divulged until November 1988, yet as is indicated in A T T A C H M E N T 1, the existence of this aircraft was revealed to me on 9/15/87, clearly a compromise of that Air Force Program, thus there must have been some sort of purpose for Col. Barry Hennessey to put into jeopardy, his career, just to confirm to me that the F-117 aircraft did in fact exist, as I had requested in a Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request to the Air Force.

The purpose of his visit was to compromise the knowledge of the existence of the F-117, Senior Trend association to me, should I make any REQUEST (s) FOR INFORMATION, like that to Vice President Dan Quayle, dated 6 August 1989, a few pages I have enclosed, as found as an integral part of DIS file number 89311-DK1-3408-1W9.

In that letter to Vice President Dan Quayle, I had enclosed a copy of the still classified "TOP SECRET", BRIEFING DOCUMENT: OPERATION MAJESTIC 12, now found in its "UNCLASSIFIED" form, the first an last pages, enclosed, an integral part of DIS file number 89311-1W9-3408-1W9. Thus my MDR requests are as follows-

1. That specific record of an order or request to Col . Barry Hennessey, in which he was to visit Mr. John Andrews, "Instructing me not to use its "F" number in further writing nor its codename.", as is found in John (s) letter enclosed dated 16 September 1987
2. That specific record by which the Air Force (as stated by Mr. Dale L. Hartig of the DIS) was required to UNCLASSIFY the BRIEFING DOCUMENT:

OPERATION MAJESTIC 12, as it is found in the enclosed DIS file number 89311-DK1-3408-1W9.

3. That specific record that Authenticates the UNCLASSIFIED, copy of the BRIEFING DOCUMENT: OPERATION MAJESTIC 12, as it is found in the enclosed DIS file number 89311-DK1-3408-1W9

Thank you again, for your time Ms. Wright.

Most Sincerely,

Lee M. Graham



526 W. Maple

Monrovia, CA 91016

626-358-7796

lee-graham72@gmail.com



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE

WASHINGTON DC 20330-1000

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

4 Apr 2011

HAF/IMIO (MDR)
1000 Air Force Pentagon
Washington, DC 20330-1000

Mr. Lee M. Graham
526 W. Maple
Monrovia, CA 91016

Dear Mr. Graham,

Reference your letter dated 18 March 2011, requesting a search and Mandatory Declassification Review (MDR) of:

Records on "OPERATION MAJESTIC 12" with DIS file number
89311-DK1-3408-1W9

This request is being staffed out to the appropriate Air Force agency to do a search and review of any records found. You will be notified in writing of the declassification decision from this office upon completion.

Address any questions concerning this review to the undersigned at (703) 693-2260 and refer to our case number 11-MDR-265.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Jeanne Wright", is written over the typed name.

JEANNE WRIGHT

Mandatory Declassification Review Specialist

14 March 2011

Request for Information
Mandatory Declassification Review
Executive Order 13526, Section 3.6
Robert Storer
Chief, Records and Declassification Division
Department of Defense
Washington Headquarters Services
1155 Defense Pentagon
Washington, DC 20301-1155

Dear Mr. Storer:

Sir this MDR request, and request for information that is predicated on the authenticity of the enclosed UNCLASSIFIED copy of the BRIEFING DOCUMENT: OPERATION MAJESTIC 12, which if genuine, compromises the claims of Air Force Col. Richard L. Weaver, and his treatment of the "Roswell Incident" as but a misinterpretation of the once secret "Mogul Balloon Project", as he indicates during the term of Secretary of the Air Force Shelia Widnall, and I included the soon alleged "Disclosure", that "President Obama may be preparing an official disclosure of the extraterrestrial presence—" article found on the Internet and broadcast to "China."

Please note the enclosed MDR request dated 21 February 2011.

I believe that some people within the Majestic-12 Group wanted the truth of the existence of an Extraterrestrial Presence to come out back in the late 1980s. Enclosed you will find a biographical sketch, found on the Internet of John Barry Hennessey, known to Air Force Office of Special Investigations (AFOSI) Agent William L. Moore as the "Falcon". Col. Hennessey was the prime mover in seeing that I got the BRIEFING DOCUMENT: OPERATION MAJESRIC 12 declassified as it is now found as an integral part of enclosed Defense Investigative Service (DIS) file number 89311-DK1-3408-1W9.

As you can see a page of this file there is an UNCLASSIFIED "To Whom It May Concern" letter dated 17 March 1989, from Mr. John J. Andrews, that states in part-

"At the request of Lee M. Graham I am writing this"

And-

I provided Le with the Lockheed Stealth designator (F-117), codename all before September 1987."

And-

"Col. Barry Hennessey has been my AFOSI contact---"

At the request of Mr. Andrews in August or early September 1987, I made a Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request to the Air Force regarding the existence of the F-117, and received a response, that they had know knowledge of the existence of such an aircraft. However on 9/15/87 I was visited in the Security Office of AerojetElectrosystems, in Azusa, CA by FBI Agent William J. Hurley, and Major General Michael C. Kerby and told that if I would sign the enclosed C E R T I F I C A T E, which I did, I would be provided with some information, and I was shown now A T T A C H M E N T 1, that was then withdrawn as is indicted. As is indicated in the enclosed article from the Internet- Lockheed F-117 Nighthawk, the existence of the F-117 was not made public until November 1988. This is a year after I was shown proof that the F-117 did in fact exist.

As the enclosed C E R T I F I C A T E states, I was provided with information that I "had no need to know", a violation of Air Force Security rules, so it must have been very important for me to have received this information to jeopardize the F-117 Program's existence and the careers of Major General Michael Kerby, and Col Barry Hennessey, who knew what I had been given, as is reveled in John Andrews' letter to me enclosed dated 16 Sep 1987.

I sat on this information not knowing just why it had been given to me, until it was suggested that I write to Vice President Dan Quayle, on 6 August 1989, the first page of the attached letter, an integral part of this DIS file. I included in my letter the letter from John Andrews in which is mentioned the designation F-117, and the code name Senior Trend, which caused the Air Force to ask the DIS to investigate me, which they did. I had however included in my letter to Vice President Quayle a still classified TOP SECRET a copy of the BRIEFING DOCUMENT: OPERATION MAJESTIC 12 which upon receipt thereof, the Air Force had find the classification status of this document, which according to DIS Office of Personal Affairs Dale L. Hartig, the Air Force UNCLASSIFIED the document making it genuine. I was cleared of any wrong doing by Col. Barry Hennessey when he signed the enclosed- MEMORANDUM FOR DISTRIBUTION, dated 17 APR 1990, now attached to A T T A C H M E N T 1. Two days later, the DIS file was closed on 19 APR 90.

I Thank, you Mr. Storer, Sir, for your enclosed response, dated FEB 17 2011 which hopefully indicates that there are indeed DSP Satellite records for the detection of 3 DSP Satellite detections of Identified Aliens Crafts, as the attached MDR request, dated 30 December 2010 requests, thus-

I respectfully request the current status of this 30 December 2010 MDR request.

There does exist however a problem with the 11-MDR-066 response, enclosed dated 3 February 2011, for the two attached requests & response dated, respectively 18 January 2011, for the response 11-MDR-066, that according to the enclose Gmail response was

"I still want to do a model of one of the several discoidal designs complete with figure. I'd like to assist in decreasing any public hysteria which may arise once the story is out. Let us begin, Ben. Do I have your permission to release your letters? Write or call me at my office."

Mr. Andrews has indicated the mailing address where the MJ-12 as "USAF Security Command at Medina base (Medina Annex) at Kelly AFB in Texas", in the enclosed letter to Ron (Regehr), enclosed dated 19 Oct 1987.

And, again in relation to the detection of UFOs by the DSP Satellite, in the FBI file number 190-LA-109564/GRAHAM, LEE, on page 2 may be found the following statement-

Information gleaned from Aerojet security officials reveals that GRAHAM was considered by many to be overly concerned with UFOs and Aerojet's "role" in identifying UFOs

As is indicated in the enclosed EXCLUSIVE article, titled-

Mexican DoD Acknowledges
UFOs In Mexico

Found on the Internet, wherein on page 2 the UFO, was detected by a FLIR STAR ZAPPHIR II and a RADAR AN/PS 143 BRAVO VICTOR 3-

These UFOs were detected by the Infrared capability of the FLIR STAR ZAPPHIR II, system, but were not visible to the naked eye, ergo an overhead DSP with its Infrared capability would also had detected these UFOs

So I think it obvious that the DSP Satellites have indeed contributed to the detection of Identified Alien Crafts (IAC), and thus can't comprehend the MDR response enclosed, dated 3 March 2011, unless those Satellite records have been transferred to another Agency or Company, most specifically, that of 5 May 1984, and therefore FOIA or MDR requests seeking this record, ostensibly of an extraterrestrial vehicle are not available to the American Public? President Obama has demanded "Transparence" of all Government Agencies, Thus-

1. Under the provision of Executive Order 13526, Section 3.6, I respectfully request for review any Order or Executive Order, still classified or not classified, that requires the DSP Detection of an object of known extraterrestrial origin to be removed from per view of Public access, to be sent to some other Agency or Company, not subject to the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.A.C. 552) and or the Mandatory Declassification Review, Executive Order 13526, Section 3.6, and a copy of that Order be sent to me.

"CLOSED with response back to you on 18 Feb 11", and MDR request dated 5 February 2011, with response dated 3 March 2011, that states-

"Reference your letter 5 February 2011. The appropriate Air Force Agency has reviewed your request and after a thorough search, has not found any evidence, classified or unclassified, of the existence of an Defense Support Program detections that were "demonstrated to be vehicles of extraterrestrial origin."

I believe that I was most specific in my MDR request of 3 February 2011, indicating that I had held in my hands the "Time Intensity Profile" detection of the DSP detected event "on 5 May 1984" that had "an intensity of 9,000 Kilowatts per Ster-radian", an impossibility for any rocket engine to burn from "January 31, 1984" to "May 5, 1984" as is suggested on pages 106 and 107 of the very excellent and informative book America's Space Sentinels, by Jeffery T. Richelson, implied to be a secret National Reconnaissance Office (NRO) "VORTEX" Satellite that approached the DSP Satellite, which in the enclosed NRO response dated 2 August 2010, the NRO denies any report of an NRO Satellite involvement "on 5 May 1984."

That there are, Satellites that "approach the DSP Satellite" can be verified as to an open non-classified conversation I had with the Aerojet ElectroSystems President Carl Fischer may be verified, as found on page 3 of the enclosed FBI file number 190-LA-109564/GRAHAM, LEE Indeed I was investigated by the FBI for having used the term "Fast Walker" (FW) in connection with a "Satellite that approaches the DSP Satellite, in a letter to "USSPACECOM" on "APRIL, 1999" as is indicted in this file FBI file.

And as is indicated in this FBI file, "the term FW and SW have been used in the 1950's, and first evolved as descriptive terms for objects appearing on display screens." This is before the first DSP Satellite was launched in the early 1970, and comports with the letter, enclosed, dated 28 May 1987 from John Andrews to Ben Rich, Vice President & G. M., ADP Lockheed-California Company Burbank, CA 91520, wherein Mr. Andrews states-

"I have known of MJ-12 for many years even though, officially, it "didn't exist. There was an Air Force radar (AC & W) detachment at Sinop, Turkey under the cover of Turkey-U.S. Logistics agency (TUSLOG) who tracked Soviet aircraft and, many times UFO's. It was standard for the detachment to forward radar tapes of the UFO's to MJ-12. That was policy."

And-

"That led to USAF Project Aquarius (different from NS project by same codename) dealing with UFO's and "Snowbird." And, even now, their are *discooidal objects flying at Groom Lake. I state that, Ben, with no equivication. The whole coverup is beginning to teeter.* "

Mr. Andrews' further states in his letter to Ben Rich-

If such order is not classified and its locality known to the Department of Defense, I respectfully request the mailing address of that Agency to make a FOIA request for the requested Order.

Enclosed you will find a Xerox copy of an arrow shaped object from the Internet, as it moved above "Red Square in Moscow", please note my MDR request enclosed dated 23 February 2011 which may be of the same type of Aerial Vehicle, thus I respectfully request to know the status the enclosed MDR request dated 23 February 2011, and-

2. Under the provision of the Mandatory Declassification Review, Executive Order 13526, Section 3.6, I respectfully request the review for Declassification, the record of the type of vehicle in the enclosed Internet, Article, if and only if it has been determined to be of extraterrestrial origin.

From the enclosed copy of the Project Aquarius document it is, in part, stated-

"MJ12 feels confident that the Aliens are on an exploration of our solar system for peaceful purposes. However, we must continue to observe and track the Aliens' movements until it is determined that the Aliens' future plans contain no threat to our national security or civilization of Earth."

Along with the DSP Satellite tracking of the "Aliens", I felt that the National Reconnaissance Office (NRO) was also tracking the "Alien's movements." As well. However, the enclosed MDR, response, dated 8 March 2011, to my MDR request attached, dated 19 February 2011, The NRO, states-

"You are asking us to review for declassification a "Top Secret SCG on the DSP Satellite." The NRO does not have this document. The NRO is not an Air Force organization."

I made the mistake in the request for an "SCG on the DSP Satellite", an Air Force Program. However, that gave me a clue to that dawned on me, that maybe the "Air Force had developed a secret Satellite, that had as it's main function, was to track, with Radar and Infrared Sensors, besides the DSP Satellite, the "Aliens' movements." Indeed at the same time that Lockheed's Missile System Division was developing the MIDAS Satellite, for the Infrared detection of ICBM launches, and the CORONA Satellite for reconnaissance, the "Skunk Works" must have developed a secret UFO detection satellite, much like the later MISTY Stealth Satellite, as shown in the enclosed Internet article titled A Spy satellites.s rise... and fall, which may also be able to track the Aliens' vehicles, with either Rader detection or Infrared Sensor detection thereof, Thus-

2. Under the provision of Executive Order 13526, Section 3.6 I respectfully request for review, and declassification a photograph of that first Government sponsored Satellite that was specifically designed to detect a Flying Disc, and the date of that detection.

From the enclosed article – The Lockheed UFO Case, one can see that Clarence L. Johnson had his own UFO observation. As is indicated in the enclosed letters to John Andrews dated, July 8, 1986, “Kelly Johnson” is a “believer”, and from the attached letter dated 7/21/86, from Ben Rich to John, so is Mr. Rich.

To support this contention I offer the following- In the 1990s at Aerojet I met a fellow technician named Tony Abretski. On one occasion, I just happened to mention the Bob of him being taken by aircraft from Las Vegas, Nevada to Groom Lake Nevada, to wait along side the runway there for a bus that took him farther South. Mr. Abretski told me that when he in a buddy got out of the Navy, in the 1970s, they were, approached by a representative of E G & G for a job interview. His friend accepted the offer of a job and moved to Las Vegas, Nevada, where he was flown to Groom Lake, Nevada, where he waited for a bus to take him further, South.

Mr. Abretski gave his name, I believe it was Cecil Cohen, and I located him in the Las Vegas phone book, and wrote him a letter. I told him that I was in no way threatening him, that I knew what he was working on, and to take the letter to his Security Manager. And I copied AFOSI Special Agent William L. Moore onto the letter. I understood, later from Mr. Abretski, that his buddy was laid off for a year while they investigated him, and me also-

I should like to know to what Agency I should make a FOIA or MDR too, to get a copy of my letter and a copy of that investigation.

In the Project Aquarius document it is stated-

“In 1958, the United States recovered a third Alien aircraft from the desert of Utah. The aircraft was in excellent flying condition. The aircraft was apparently abandoned by the Aliens for some unexplained reason, since no Alien life forms were found in or around the aircraft. The aircraft was considered a technological marvel by United States scientists. However, the operating instrumentations of the aircraft were so complex that our scientists could not interrupt their operation. The aircraft was stored in a top secret area and analyzed throughout the years by our best aerospace scientists. The United States gained a large volume of technological data from the recovered Alien aircraft. A description and further information regarding the aircraft is explained in Atch 3.

4. Under the provision of Executive Order 13526, Section 3.6 I respectfully request for review and declassification the description of this Alien aircraft, and that record be sent to me.

I note from enclosed page 130 from- The CIA and the U-2 Program 1954-1974, that in 1958, there was a Radar E G & G facility near where the U-2 were flight, tested.

Also in the enclosed Project Aquarius document it is stated, in part-

"This information was only vague and the exactly identity or background information on this homo-sapien was not obtained. Undoubtfully, if this information was released to the public, it would cause worldwide panic."

Which is supposed to have come from the first EBE, that is EBE-1, who is supposed to have died on 14 October 1952.

However, on the Internet may be found an article titled- The Yellow Book, by Robert M. Collins, where it is stated that there was an EBE-2, Ambassador 1964-1984, and the following statement-

3. Left an observer here 2000 years ago: Observer not related to Christ according to sources: "They" may have holographic images of the crucifixion of Christ--- Ergo-


5. Under the provision of Executive Order 13526, Section 3.6, I respectfully request for review for declassification, a DVD copy of this "crucifixion", and that document that indicates that the "Observer not related to Christ", be sent to me.

I have enclosed a copy dated 12 March 2011, of a letter that has been sent to the White House, and I will forward a copy of this letter dated 14 March 2011 to the White House, since I am sending a copy of White House "Request for Information" to you.

Many Thanks for your time Mr. Storer,

Most Sincerely,

Lee M. Graham



526 W. Maple

Monrovia, CA 91016

626-358-7796

lee.graham72@gmail.com

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
OFFICE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY POLICY

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20502

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

Department of Community Services
Hillside Wilderness & Recreation Areas

Hector Inzunza
Senior Park Ranger

City of MONROVIA


1887



119 W. Palm Ave., Monrovia, CA 91016-2888
Email: hinzunza@ci.monrovia.ca.us

Cell 626/523-8525
Voice Mail 626/256-8154
FAX 626/256-8243

RECEIVED
JUN 19 2012
Lee M. Graham



Hasler

016H26508649

\$00.450

06/12/2012

Mailed From 20503

US POSTAGE

Lee M. Graham
526 W. Maple
Monrovia, CA 91016

9101633225 0014



EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
OFFICE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY POLICY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20502

June 11, 2012

Mr. Lee M. Graham
526 W. Maple
Monrovia, CA 91016

Re: OSTP FOIA No. 12-54

Dear Mr. Graham:

On May 25, 2012, you sent the Office of Science and Technology Policy (OSTP) a request under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552, including a request for a mandatory declassification review (MDR) under Executive Order 13526 seeking the following records:

1. Record wherein the OSTP has investigated the document "BRIEFING DOCUMENT: OPERATION MAJESTIC 12;"
2. A re-submission of a FOIA request dated December 6, 2011, made to the Office of the Secretary of the Air Force as a MDR request, seeking the following:
 - a. "a copy of that record that details what the "BLUE ROOM (RADAR SCOPE) was."
 - b. "an authenticated copy of the original BRIEFING DOCUMENT: OPERATION MAJESTIC 12."
 - c. "[a] DVD copy of the EBEn depiction of the Crucifixion of Christ."
 - d. "any repost (in Layman's Language) of the Circulatory System and the Organ of Balance for the EBEn."
 - e. "a copy of the two pages of the 5 May 1984 Fast Walker that I had sent to the Air Force."
 - f. "that record that evaluates what the DSP detected Fast Walker of 5 May 1984 was, Including any Russian Early Warning Satellite report of the same event."
 - g. "a copy of the video where the DSP detects a 'VEH TYPE', and a copy of the photograph [Mr. Graham] sent to the USAF indicating 'VEH TYPR MHD004.' "
 - h. Mr. Graham requests facial comparison of photographs, but does not provide any such photographs; regardless, performance of technical analysis upon photographs would be outside the scope of FOIA, which relates only to records. See 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(3)(A).
 - i. "the records of [John earnest Worrell Keely] you have knowledge of;"
3. Photographs of the alleged spacecraft that was used to take "ET back home."

OSTP previously responded to your e-mail submission on June 1, 2012 because your enclosure of the December 6, 2011 FOIA request had not been received. That response indicated a FOIA No. of 12-52.

OSTP received your full request by mail on June 7, 2012. OSTP conducted a search of its records and located no documents responsive to your request.

If you consider this to be an inappropriate denial of your request, you may appeal in writing within 30 days of receipt of this letter to General Counsel Rachael Leonard at ostpfoia@ostp.eop.gov or via FAX at (202) 395-1224. The email should be clearly marked "Freedom of Information Act Appeal."

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Diana Zunker". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Diana" being more prominent than the last name "Zunker".

Diana Zunker



P.O. Box 296
1100 West Hollyvale Street
Azusa, CA 91702

Tel: 818-812-1000

1 October 1996

Lee M. Graham
526 W. Maple
Monrovia, CA 91016

Dear Mr. Graham:

Prior to your recent medical leave, your work related behavior was, on occasion, not conducive to a productive work environment. As a result, I am taking this opportunity to provide you with a copy of the Aerojet Rules of Conduct, which I encourage you to thoroughly review.

Mr. Graham, it appears that many of your workplace difficulties are the result of engaging in activities, while on the facility, which are not directly related to your duties as a Technician. To focus your attention on work assignments, your continued employment is contingent upon your compliance with the following workplace regulations:

1. You may enter the facility no earlier than 15 minutes before the start of your work shift. You must leave the facility no later than 15 minutes after the completion of your work shift.
2. You must use Gate 3 to enter and leave the facility.
3. You may not bring briefcases, binders or folders of personal material on the facility unless specifically directed to do so, in writing, by your Supervisor. You are also directed to remove any binders, folders or files of personal material from the facility on the first day of your return to work.
4. You have need to speak to someone in the Security Department, other than in event of an emergency, you are to contact Mr. Larry Blahak, Manager of Security by telephone and request an appointment to meet with him.


L. M. Graham
10/01/96

Page 2

5. You may not use the Aerojet library unless specifically assigned to do so by your Supervisor.
6. You may not use Aerojet copying machines or FAX machines unless specifically assigned to do so by your Supervisor.
7. I am enclosing a letter to you from Mr. T. MacKinney, the aerojet Director of Security. Please note that you will be denied access to classified information pending an investigation by the Defense Industrial Security Clearance Office concerning your suitability to retain your personal security clearance. As a part of the investigation, you are required to report to Mr. Larry Blahak at the time of your return to work and complete a new Personnel Security Questionnaire.

Failure to comply with the Aerojet Rules of Conduct or any of the items listed above will result in disciplinary action.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "R. J. Tierney".

R. J. Tierney, Director
Human Resources

RJT:cm



http://content.ancestry.com/Exec/View&F=and&dbid=6061&id=TX1625_1820-0098_920

ancestry

Hi, Marilyn_Roll... Sign Out Messages (6) My Account Help

Home

Add to Quick Links

2007-09-11-1224-43_edited



Category Type: Portrait / Family Photo

MR CAMERON
 SIR PLEASE
 COMPARE THE
 FACE OF THE
 LITTLE BOY
 SEE IS HE LIKE
 THAT OF THE
 BOY WITH THE
 D-6
 See Mr. J. Parker
 9 June 2012
 AND THE
 ENGINEER



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
WASHINGTON, DC

17 January 2012

HAF/IMIO (FOIA)
1000 Air Force Pentagon
Washington DC 20330-1000

Mr. Lee Graham
526 W. Maple Avenue
Monrovia, CA 91016-3225

Dear Mr. Graham,

This is in response to your 17 January 2012, Freedom of Information Act request for record of the DSP Satellite detection of the most recently fired missiles of Iran, the record of the SBIRS Satellite detection of the same missiles, as well as a manual of how the SBIRS Sensor operates.

We are not the Office of Primary Responsibility for the requested records. However, we forwarded your request to the appropriate government activity for action and direct reply to you. We referred your request to the following address:

21 SCS/SCOKF (FOIA)
655 West Ent Avenue, Suite 107
Peterson AFB, CO 80914-1645
FOIA Web Site: <http://www.afspc.af.mil/library/foia.asp>

If you have any questions please call me at (703) 692-2579 and refer to case #2012-01517-F. There are no assessable processing fees associated with this case.

Sincerely

MACIAS.DELLA V.1231414181
Digitally signed by MACIAS.DELLA V.1231414181
DN: cn=MACIAS.DELLA V.1231414181, email=MACIAS.DELLA V.1231414181, o=AFSPC, ou=AFSPC, c=US
DELLA V. MACIAS
Freedom of Information Act
Disclosure Officer



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
HEADQUARTERS AIR FORCE SPACE COMMAND

25 January 2012

FOIA Program Manager
175 Stewart Avenue, Suite 12
Peterson AFB CO 80914

Mr. Lee M. Graham
526 W. Maple Ave
Monrovia CA 91016-3225

Dear Mr. Graham

This is an acknowledgement for receipt of your 17 January 2012 Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request received on 17 January 2012 for "a record of the DSP Satellite detection of the most recently fired Missile of Iran. Also would like the record of the SBIRS Satellite detection of the same missiles, as well as a manual of how the SBIRS Sensor operates." Your Case number is 2012-01517-F. We should provide you our release determination by 29 February 2012.

Please contact me if you have questions concerning your request. You may contact us at (719)-556-7733 or by fax (719) 556-6497; or E-mail 21SW.FOIA.PA@PETERSON.AF.MIL. Please reference your assigned case number when making inquiries.

Sincerely,

~~//SIGNED//~~
MONIQUE M. JAMES
FOIA Program Manager

FOIA Case No: 2012-01517-F

PROPERTY OF

Lee O. Young

REMOVAL PASS NOT REQUIRED

M. J. Smith

Department 36

Live Oak

BEYOND THE SUNSET, OH BLISSFUL MORNING WHEN WITH OUR
SAVIOUR HEAVEN IS BEGUN. EARTH'S TOILING ENDED, OH GLORIOUS
DAWNING, BEYOND THE SUNSET, WHEN DAY IS DONE. BEYOND THE
SUNSET NO CLOUDS WILL GATHER, NO STORMS WILL THREATEN,
NO FEARS ANNOY. OH DAY OF GLADNESS, OH DAY UNENDING,
BEYOND THE SUNSET, ETERNAL JOY. BEYOND THE SUNSET A
HAND WILL GUIDE ME TO GOD THE FATHER WHOM I ADORE,
HIS GLORIOUS PRESENCE, HIS WORDS OF WELCOME WILL BE
MY PORTION ON THAT FAIR SHORE. BEYOND THE SUNSET,
OH GLAD RE-UNION WITH OUR DEAR LOVED-ONES WHO'VE GONE
BEFORE. IN THAT FAIR HOME-LAND WE'LL KNOW NO PARTING,
BEYOND THE SUNSET FOREVER-MORE.

July 16, 1954

~~NO SECRET~~
~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

MEMORANDUM FOR GENERAL TWEED

SUBJECT: SEC/MJ-12 Special Studies Project

The President has decided that the MJ-12 SEP briefing could take place during the already scheduled White House meeting of July 16, rather than following it as previously intended. More precise arrangements will be explained to us upon arrival. Please alter your plans accordingly.

Your concurrence in the above change of arrangements is assumed.

GARY BKKUM
HAS A RED RIBBON
AUTHENTICATED COPY
OR THIS DOCUMENT
THAT I SENT TO
TAY AND GET IT
FROM HIM FOR
MO
See me



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE

HEADQUARTERS AIR FORCE SPACE COMMAND

25 January 2012

FOIA Program Manager
175 Stewart Avenue, Suite 12
Peterson AFB CO 80914

Mr. Lee M. Graham
526 W. Maple Ave
Monrovia CA 91016-3225

Dear Mr. Graham

This is an acknowledgement for receipt of your 17 January 2012 Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request received on 17 January 2012 for "a record of the DSP Satellite detection of the most recently fired Missile of Iran. Also would like the record of the SBIRS Satellite detection of the same missiles, as well as a manual of how the SBIRS Sensor operates." Your Case number is 2012-01517-F. We should provide you our release determination by 29 February 2012.

Please contact me if you have questions concerning your request. You may contact us at (719)-556-7733 or by fax (719) 556-6497; or E-mail 21SW.FOIA.PA@PETERSON.AF.MIL. Please reference your assigned case number when making inquiries.

Sincerely,

//SIGNED//

MONIQUE M. JAMES
FOIA Program Manager

FOIA Case No: 2012-01517-F

GUARDIANS OF THE HIGH FRONTIER

August 30, 1996

Judy Brown
National Resources Consultants
16000 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 500
Encino, California 91436

RE: Employee: Lee Graham
Employer: Aerojet Electro Systems

FITNESS-FOR-DUTY EVALUATION

Dear Ms. Brown:

At your request, we conducted an evaluation of Mr. Lee Graham, to assess his fitness for duty in his position as an electronic technician at Aerojet. The evaluation consisted of two separate sessions. On August 27, 1996, Dr. Ryan met with Mr. Graham for two hours. On August 29, 1996, Dr. Tabachnick and Dr. Ryan both met together with Mr. Graham for one hour. Copies of correspondence from Mr. Graham to Aerojet, and from Aerojet to Mr. Graham, were available for review. The evaluation consisted of mental status examination, a detailed review of Mr. Graham's current condition, history of recent events, and review of his past history.

REFERRAL QUESTIONS:

The referral questions presented to us were as follows: 1) Does Mr. Graham present a danger to himself or others at the workplace? 2) Is Mr. Graham mentally or emotionally disabled from performing his job duties in a safe and efficient manner? 3) Is any treatment recommended?

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

The following background information was provided. Mr. Graham was said to be a 61-year-old man, who is considered a highly skilled technician. He is currently on suspension due to policy violation. He was said to have a classified document in his possession which he wouldn't return to Aerojet. We were told that Mr. Graham made statements which were interpreted as possibly threatening. As a result, the employer was concerned about the potential for violence and about mental and emotional stability. Thus, this psychiatric/psychological fitness-for-duty evaluation was arranged as a condition of Mr. Graham being cleared to return to work. We were told that Mr. Graham had denied making any threats. We were also told that the employer is aware that Mr. Graham has a long-standing interest in U.F.O.'s and related phenomenon.

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS:

Regarding the issue of potential for violent behavior, we must preface by stating that predicting violent behavior is exceedingly difficult, particularly in an individual who is not admitting to violent intentions or urges, and without a history of violence. The history we know of Mr. Graham contains relatively few of the most prominent risk factors that are often associated with potential for violence. However, this does not guarantee that violent behavior will not occur in the future.

The most specific risk factor for future violence is a past history of violent behavior. Based on what we know of Mr. Graham, he has no past record of violent behavior, criminal arrests, or tendency to act out impulsively or aggressively against others. Additionally, Mr. Graham denies violent intentions, plans, thoughts, impulses, or urges, currently or at any time in the past.

Further, Mr. Graham presented with affect without severe agitation, hostility or irritability. He has no known history of substance abuse, which can impair impulse control. He denied any violent or homicidal ideation or intent.

We reviewed the incidents in question, in which Mr. Graham admits to making statements such as "What do we have to do, rob a bank or blow something up?" He understands why this statement was construed as threatening by his employer. He denies violent or threatening intent, describing his statements as being "figures of speech," or hyperbole, uttered in exasperation. He did not deny the he made the statements, but merely maintained that he did not have actual intent at any time to harm anyone or threaten anyone. He expressed that he understood his employer's concerns about his comments, and their need to take reasonable steps to ensure the safety and security of the workplace.

Further assessment of risk factors reveals that Mr. Graham has a stable, lifestyle, with long-time employment, a long-term marriage, stable residence, and no recent serious life stresses (with the exception of the recent job suspension). These negative findings on the above risk factor reduce, but do not eliminate, the potential for violent behavior.

With regard to the second referral question, it is our opinion, based on our assessment of Mr. Graham, he does appear mentally and emotionally capable of performing the technical aspects of his job duties. This assessment is based on the following considerations. Upon mental status examination, Mr. Graham's cognitive functioning was found to be grossly intact. He is able to perform serial sevens adequately. His concentration and attention were not impaired. He was not noted to be distracted or tangential, and his flow of thought and speech was not impaired. As noted above, mood and affect were grossly within normal limits. Our understanding from the referral information

is that Mr. Graham has been a highly skilled and competent technician. Based on our review of his history, his cognitive functioning has not acutely deteriorated. Thus, his current level of cognitive functioning is sufficiently intact for him to carry out his job duties.

With regard to the precipitating events in this case, in which Mr. Graham was alleged to have violated company rules with regard to possession of a classified document, there is no reason from a psychiatric or psychological standpoint why he should not be managed as any other employee would. That is, Mr. Graham is mentally and emotionally capable of receiving clear and direct communication in written and/or verbal form, explaining his specific obligations and responsibilities as an Aerojet employee with regard to how he should handle any classified documents in his possession. Additionally, there is no reason, from a psychiatric or psychological standpoint, why Mr. Graham cannot tolerate being informed of the reasonable consequences of any failure to comply with existing, uniformly applied company procedures.

We were informed as a part of the background referral information that it was known to the employer that Mr. Graham has an interest in U.F.O.'s and related matters. In today's evaluation, we thoroughly reviewed this area with Mr. Graham. Having discussed this issue in detail with Mr. Graham, it appears that Mr. Graham's interest and beliefs in U.F.O's and other related phenomenon have never caused him to present danger to others at the workplace. If, in pursuing this area, he becomes a distraction, preventing himself or others from performing their job duties, or company policies are violated, he should be appropriately managed as described above.

With regard to the third referral question, Mr. Graham is currently in the midst of a work suspension and has expressed to us that his current suspension has been extremely upsetting. We strongly recommend supportive counseling to assist him in coping with the current issues with his employer, as it would give Mr. Graham an opportunity to ventilate his thoughts and emotions, and discuss positive means of dealing with any feelings related to the current conflict with his employer. Such counseling would play an extremely important role in assisting Mr. Graham to maintain a positive and productive approach to the current conflicts, and would reduce the risk that his frustration would lead to inappropriate statements or behavior. This counseling would be of even greater importance to Mr. Graham if the personnel conflicts were to escalate, to the point where Mr. Graham's job security was an issue.

Thank you for referring Mr. Graham for evaluation. If you have any further questions, please feel free to contact us.

Sincerely,

Matthew H. Ryan, Ph.D., QME

Norman Tabachnick, M.D.
Diplomate, American Board of
Psychiatry and Neurology

NT/MHR/pl

cc: Janet Flynn, R.N
Aerojet Electro Systems
Health Service Department
P.O. Box 296
Azusa, California 91702