

knows how to use psychology. Mr. Dulles doesn't want his C.I.A. investigated anymore than you want the F.B.I. investigated, but the big difference between you and Mr. Dulles is that Mr. Dulles doesn't have his agents trying to make his organization look suspicious by telling investigators or writers not to investigate the C.I.A. Nor is Mr. Dulles as stupid as you by having your secretary give me such a ridiculous statement that I could find out something from the Better Business Bureau when it is obvious that they would not know anything about F.B.I. business. When I show people your secretary's statement along with the statement from the Better Business Bureau, it is not difficult to convince them you were doing your best to dodge my inquiry by doing it in a very clumsy way. Notice too Mr. Dulles doesn't send agents to visit me giving me a fantastic tale and thereby reveal their identity. He has Mr. Earman make a signed statement very carefully worded and difficult to disprove. You notice I could not breakdown that statement and that it was only Adamski's word against the C.I.A. Mr. Earman didn't make any suspicious statements like your incompetent secretary that could easily be disproven. I point these things out to you so that in the future you may learn by your mistakes. Remember that I am only an amateur private investigator but if I can trip up you and your men as badly as I have done in the enclosed report, it should be a real lesson to you that you are not as good an administrator as Mr. Dulles and that the public has a false impression of your Bureau's efficiency.

I have always felt that when one person holds a position too long and feels too secure from removal from office that this gives him an opportunity to become lazy and inefficient. Most people won't attack you or your organization because they fear they will be considered a security risk. You hold your grip and position through fear and not through your ability as an efficient lawman. I frankly don't care what you or your Bureau think of me for my criticism. I know why the crime rate in this country is rising and it is not always the other fellow's fault. It is your favorite habit of blaming others for your own incompetence. If we had a more efficient F.B.I., an F.B.I. as efficient as the C.I.A. seems to be, we wouldn't have such a rising crime rate. The only real thing you have to your credit is a propaganda mill. You are able to fool people into thinking you are good, that your men are efficient investigators and heroes. Yet from what little I have done in investigating you and your investigation in regard to Mr. Adamski, it was easy to prove how ridiculously crude is your methods of operation. If time and money was spent to investigate you by Congress, I am sure the public would be shocked at the number of clowns we have masquerading as efficient lawmen! Of course, you would do your best to intimidate congressmen and make them think they are disloyal if they tried to investigate your organization. But the truth shall always remain. You can try to conceal it in order to protect your position but you can't destroy it nor the rising crime rate which is a net result.

So I say you are a fake and a mental incompetent administrator operating in your own little dream world of efficiency. I am for a strong and efficient FBI to fight crime and communism but we are not going to get this as long as you continue to serve as the director who rules by intimidation and propaganda rather than by efficiency. I do hope that when the public reads my book, they will realize that you are not the glorious administrator they have been led to believe but a man who makes incredible blunders and picks on old men like George Adamski. I personally feel that if you can't disprove my enclosed documentary report, you ought to resign but I know you won't because of your hunger for power. So I can only say that you can go ahead and continue to live in your dream world of efficiency and cuss me out for attacking you and the Bureau. I will give you the space in my book to do it, because I know that what you have to say won't change the truth anyhow.

However, there is only one thing I must insist on: You must send me a signed statement in reply to the enclosed report that I can photostat and forward to my publisher. If you are too much of a coward to be responsible for your own statements and must send agents to speak for you, then don't bother to reply to this letter because I won't be interested. If a man isn't sincere enough to put his ideas in writing and be