

Tom A. Mickus
Box 388, Station 'W'
Toronto, Ontario, CANADA
M6M 5C1

Wednesday, Nov30, 1988

Grant Cameron
649 Silverstone
Winnipeg, Manitoba
R3T 2V8

Dear Grant,

Enclosed are several pages from the 2 hour transcript of the interview I did with Larry recently. The 3-page excerpt which you see, covers what Larry said vis a vis "the old man". With regards to our telephone conversation, if you could correct any inaccuracies, or supply any additional info, then please feel free to do so.

Anything which you would not like me to release on the ParaNet System, then please indicate this where appropriate. Regarding the content of our telephone conversation, at this time I am not going ahead with any release of info, apart from what I have already said on the subject. As you are aware, some of the details regarding your research are already leaking out from different avenues. If you would like to use the ParaNet System to counteract these rumours, to clarify your position, or to release some preliminary results...then I encourage you to use our Computer Network for such purposes. I would be happy to let you use ParaNet to put whatever "spin" on the subject you like.

I will respect your confidentiality, and will hold back on release of information on the "old man" for the time being. Please let me know your thoughts in this regard Grant.

Being also a member of CUFORN, and in light of what we spoke about in our recent telephone conversation, I would like to think that I'm in like mind with you as far as getting to the bottom of the UFO coverup/conspiracy which has been in existence these past 40 yrs. I would like to assist you in any way possible towards this end. Again, I offer you the services of ParaNet P1 in an effort to facilitate such an end.

As you are no doubt busy, I will finish by saying that I have enclosed a SSAE to make it easier for you to send out a reply to me. If you want ever to contact me voice through phone, my number is (416) 244-9619. My data number is listed below. Thank you for your time Grant. I very much enjoyed our recent phone conversation, and will look forward to hearing from you again shortly.

Sincerely Yours,


Tom Mickus

THE CRUCIBLE BBS <ParaNet P1>

416-244-9619
12/24/9600 Baud 244-9499
24 Hrs - 44 Megs

AQUARIUS.

<i>Name</i>	<i>Date Sworn In</i>
The Hon. Richard Bennett Hatfield	April 17, 1982
The Hon. William Grenville Davis	April 17, 1982
The Hon. Allan Emrys Blakeney	April 17, 1982
The Hon. E. Peter Loughheed	April 17, 1982
The Hon. William Richards Bennett	April 17, 1982

<i>Name</i>	<i>Date Sworn In</i>
The Hon. John MacLellan Buchanan	April 17, 1982
The Hon. Alfred Brian Peckford	April 17, 1982
The Hon. James Matthew Lee	April 17, 1982
The Hon. Howard Russell Pawley	April 17, 1982
The Hon. Sterling Rufus Lyon	April 17, 1982

THE PRIME MINISTER

The prime minister is the leader of the political party requested by the Governor General to form the government, which almost always means the leader of the party with the strongest representation in the Commons. His position is one of exceptional authority stemming in part from the success of the party at an election. The prime minister chooses his cabinet. When a member of cabinet resigns, the remainder of the cabinet is undisturbed; when the prime minister vacates his office, this act normally carries with it the resignation of the cabinet.

Part of the prime minister's authority lies in his power to recommend dissolution of Parliament. This right, which in most circumstances permits him to precipitate an election, is a source of considerable power both in his dealings with colleagues and with the opposition parties in the

House. The prime minister is also responsible for organization of the cabinet and its committees; for the organization and functions of his own office, as well as the Privy Council Office and the Federal-Provincial Relations Office; and for the allocation of responsibilities between ministers.

Another source of the prime minister's authority derives from the appointments which he recommends, including privy councillors, cabinet ministers, lieutenant-governors of the provinces, provincial administrators, speakers of the Senate, chief justices of all courts, senators and certain senior executives of the public service. The prime minister also recommends the appointment of a new Governor General to the Sovereign, although this normally follows consultation with the cabinet.

Following are the prime ministers since Confederation, with dates of administrations:

Rt. Hon. Sir John Alexander Macdonald, July 1, 1867—November 5, 1873
Hon. Alexander Mackenzie, November 7, 1873—October 9, 1878
Rt. Hon. Sir John Alexander Macdonald, October 17, 1878—June 6, 1891
Hon. Sir John Joseph Caldwell Abbott, June 16, 1891—November 24, 1892
Rt. Hon. Sir John Sparrow David Thompson, December 5, 1892—December 12, 1894
Hon. Sir Mackenzie Bowell, December 21, 1894—April 27, 1896
Rt. Hon. Sir Charles Tupper, May 1, 1896—July 8, 1896
Rt. Hon. Sir Wilfrid Laurier, July 11, 1896—October 6, 1911
Rt. Hon. Sir Robert Laird Borden, October 10, 1911—October 12, 1917 (Conservative Administration)
Rt. Hon. Sir Robert Laird Borden, October 12, 1917—July 10, 1920 (Unionist Administration)
Rt. Hon. Arthur Meighen, July 10, 1920—December 29, 1921 (Unionist—National Liberal and Conservative Party)
Rt. Hon. William Lyon Mackenzie King, December 29, 1921—June 28, 1926
Rt. Hon. Arthur Meighen, June 29, 1926—September 25, 1926
Rt. Hon. William Lyon Mackenzie King, September 25, 1926—August 6, 1930
Rt. Hon. Richard Bedford Bennett, August 7, 1930—October 23, 1935
Rt. Hon. William Lyon Mackenzie King, October 23, 1935—November 15, 1948
Rt. Hon. Louis Stephen St-Laurent, November 15, 1948—June 21, 1957
Rt. Hon. John George Diefenbaker, June 21, 1957—April 22, 1963
Rt. Hon. Lester Bowles Pearson, April 22, 1963—April 20, 1968
Rt. Hon. Pierre Elliott Trudeau, April 20, 1968—June 4, 1979
Rt. Hon. Joe Clark, June 4, 1979—March 3, 1980
Rt. Hon. Pierre Elliott Trudeau, March 3, 1980—

THE CABINET

The cabinet's primary responsibility is to determine priorities among the demands expressed by the people and to define policies to meet those demands. The cabinet consists of all the ministers who are chosen by the prime minister, generally from among members of the House of Commons, although some cabinet ministers are usually chosen from the Senate including the leader of the government in the Senate. Ministers who are members of Parliament usually head government departments because the constitution provides that measures for appropriating public funds or imposing taxes must originate in the Commons. If a senator heads a department, another minister in the Commons has to speak on his behalf on its affairs. (See also the description of cabinet membership in the section on the Canadian Constitution.)

Each cabinet minister usually assumes responsibility for one of the departments of government, although a minister may hold more than one portfolio at the same time or he may hold one or more portfolios and one or more acting portfolios. A minister without portfolio may be invited to join the cabinet because the prime minister wishes to have him or her in the cabinet without the heavy duties of running a department, or to provide a suitable balance of regional representation, or for any other reason that the prime minister sees fit. Because of Canada's cultural and geographical diversity, the prime minister gives close attention to geographic representation in the cabinet.

With the enactment of the *Ministries and Ministers of State Act (Government Organization Act, 1970)*, five categories of ministers of the Crown may be identified: departmental ministers, ministers with special parliamentary responsibilities, ministers without portfolio, and two types of ministers of state. Ministers of state for designated purposes may head a ministry of state created by proclamation. They are charged with developing new and comprehensive policies in areas of particular urgency and importance and have a mandate determined by the Governor-in-Council. They may have powers, duties and functions and exercise supervision and control of elements of the public service, and may seek parliamentary appropriations to cover the cost of their staff and operations. Other ministers of state may be appointed to assist a departmental minister with his responsibilities. They may have powers, duties and functions delegated to them by the departmental minister, who retains ultimate legal responsibility. All ministers are appointed on the advice of the prime minister by commissions of office issued by the Governor General under the Great Seal of Canada, to serve and to be accountable to Parliament as members of the government and for any responsibility that might be assigned to them by law or otherwise.

In Canada, almost all executive acts of the government are carried out in the name of the Governor-in-Council. The cabinet, or a committee

of ministers acting as a committee of the Privy Council, makes submissions to the Governor General for approval, and he is bound by the constitution in nearly all circumstances to accept them. A total of 4,062 such orders-in-council were enacted in 1982 compared with 3,697 in 1981 and 3,586 in 1980. Although some were routine and required little discussion in cabinet, others were of major significance and required extensive deliberation, sometimes covering months of meetings of officials, cabinet committees and the full cabinet.

The cabinet must consider and approve the policy underlying each piece of proposed legislation. After proposed legislation is drafted it must be examined in detail. Between 40 and 60 bills are normally considered by cabinet during a parliamentary session. Proposals for reform of large areas of government organization or administration, and policy to be adopted in fundamental constitutional changes or at a major international conference are among the issues which, on occasion, demand this extensive and detailed consideration.

The cabinet committee system

The nature and large volume of policy issues to be decided on by cabinet do not lend themselves to discussion by 30 or more ministers. Growing demands on the executive have stimulated delegation of some cabinet functions to its committees.

Cabinet committees provide a forum for thorough study of policy proposals, although the cabinet remains the prime focus of decision-making. Membership of cabinet committees is public but the same rules of secrecy that apply to cabinet deliberations apply to cabinet committees. The prime minister determines the establishment of cabinet committees, their membership and terms of reference. Ministers may invite one or two officials as advisers during cabinet committee meetings. The secretariats of the committees are provided by the Privy Council Office and the secretary of a cabinet committee is usually also an assistant secretary to the cabinet. Treasury Board, which is a cabinet committee and a committee of the Privy Council established by statute, the economic and regional development committee, and the social development committee, are exceptions; each has its own secretariat headed by a secretary who has the status of a deputy minister.

Under the direction of the prime minister, the secretary to the cabinet prepares agenda and refers memoranda to cabinet to the appropriate committee for study and report to the full cabinet. Except where the prime minister instructs otherwise, all memoranda to cabinet are submitted over the signature of the minister concerned.

The terms of reference of cabinet committees cover virtually the total area of government responsibility. All memoranda to cabinet are first

- ParaNet P1 Exclusive : The Mickus/ Fenwick Interview -

=====

THE CRUCIBLE BBS <ParaNet P1> 416-244-9999 - 24Hrs - 12/24/96 - 44 Megs

=====

On November 6th/88, Lawrence (Larry) Fenwick, noted Canadian UFOlogist was interviewed for ParaNet on Sunday afternoon at the Toronto residence of ParaNet P1 Sysop, Tom Mickus. He had previously agreed to this interview, with the full knowledge that it was being taped, and that the transcript would be released for distribution on the North American ParaNet System.

He spoke for approximately 4 hours, of which approximately 170 minutes were taped. During that time Larry spoke frankly and in some depth on a number of issues and events current to UFOlogy. The interview was done at my request, and Larry graciously assented to agree to the exchange, without any strings attached. Additionally, no money was exchanged. Although an interview, much the dialogue is in conversational style, appropriate for the informal setting which we were in.

Regarding the content you are about to read, Larry neither makes the claim that this is original information, or that he knows all of it. But as you will see, he does have some pieces of the puzzle, in my estimation. Before the interview, I gave him every right to protect his sources, as well as have certain portions of the interview "off the record". While he has withheld some names, none of the substance of what we discussed was held back. Its all here for you to examine and evaluate.

As I've mentioned, approximately 170 mins of our exchange was supposed to have been on tape. However, after the interview, and to my extreme chagrin, I soon realized that the first 45 mins of the tape was almost blank, and the A-B, C-D order of the 4 sides seems to be haphazard. I am puzzled as to what happened, but at this point blame the recording device for screwing up...and of course also blame myself for not ensuring the integrity of the recording device, although the process was monitored throughout...and I am genuinely perplexed as to how this had happened. What I have therefore done, is to paraphrase the dialogue as much as possible, in order to bring out the 'highlights' of what we discussed in the first 45 mins. About one third of that had contained an in-depth bio of interviewee Larry Fenwick. Perhaps on a subsequent occasion, Larry can recount some of the information which we covered, a good portion which included commentary on the two recent TV productions, namely that of "UNSOLVED MYSTERIES - the Gulf Breeze segment", and of course "UFO-Coverup LIVE". For the time being, to the best of my recollection, some of what Larry brought up will be

included in an appendix at the end of the formal transcript.

One last note...this entire effort on my own part has consumed almost exclusively 4 days of my life. The transcribing process took close to 40 Hours, using simple tape recorders. Every effort has been made to insure the integrity of the dialogue of the part of Larry Fenwick. I can confidently say that I have reproduced accurately 99% of what Larry Fenwick said in the 125 mins of taped dialogue which was intact. The 40 Hours of time I think reflects this. My own dialogue, sparse as it is, is about 90% accurate. In some areas I have clarified my questions.

Throughout, you will notice the use of square brackets []. these are added in by myself in areas that required some clarification...especially when certain mannerisms, inflection of speech, pause in speech etc., didn't "translate" into the written word sufficiently. Wherever you find words capitalized, that will indicate a word strongly emphasized, and indeed I have added this clarification in most of the instances.

Lastly, although you may find some hints as to my interests

have been no good at all. 'Cause that's what they wanted. They wanted that show to be a catalyst, so that Reagan would talk publicly to the American public about what's going on. They had hoped that."

<Mickus> "And what is Reagan's connection?"

<Fenwick> "He's part of the whole process...he's briefed."

<Mickus> "Is he a member?"

<Fenwick> "Of Aquarius? Sure."

<Mickus> "So its basically everyone in the upper hierarchy of the government."

<Fenwick> "We're talking about director of the CIA, director of the National Reconnaissance Organization, the National Intelligence Agency. The NKA wasn't mentioned there, there's also the Continental Army Intelligence Command. Those are some of the other agencies that weren't mentioned on that television show, but they were involved as well. The heads of them, the directors of them. Only them: who would have what they call, 'a need to know' basis. And on that basis, they are given the information...and they are not told what the other people know. They are only told what they need to know, for their own particular purposes. Their specialties are used by Project Aquarius. Reagan is not part of Project Aquarius, he is briefed on what they know."

<Mickus> "So he's not one of the 24?"

<Fenwick> "He's briefed, that all. And the CIA director is not one of them necessarily. We don't know exactly who. It changes over the years. People die off obviously, all the original MJ-12 people are dead...except for one person."



<Mickus> "And who is this?"

<Fenwick> "I don't know his name. I have a photograph of him with President Eisenhower...which was sent to me by one of our members [CUFURN] in western Canada, who has been in touch with a MUFON State Director who knows this man; knows where he lives, knows his name. Our Winnipeg member refuses to disclose the name to me, Harry and Joe [the 3 CUFURN Directors]. He will not give us the name of the man. The man is either 78 or 82 [years of age], somewhere in that area. He was in charge of

back to his original feeling that he should release the notes. And he mentioned also...the Winnipeg member mentioned that...his name is Grant Cameron (sp?) by the way in Winnipeg. He said that at the meetings, there were two meetings at which he presided, that he knows of; at those meetings were pieces of UFOs, dead aliens, and about 100 stenographers and secretaries taking notes, with the old stenographers and dictating machines and go on,...and they're all dead. Except this one man. Everyone is gone, every single person who was at those meetings is gone...except him. If we can track him down, that's the biggest story of all time...to get him to talk. One of the MUFON directors of one of the states was in touch with him AND his son. Now his son wants the father to release the information, but his father refuses now."

<Mickus> "Why isn't he 'silenced'? Why don't they just do away with him?"

<Fenwick> "Because no one knows what's going on, besides the few people in the UFO community. This is very confidential."

<Mickus> "Until now."

Fenwick> "Well yes, obviously. Bill Moore and Stanton Friedman, particularly Bill Moore...is sort of on the outs with everyone else in UFOlogy; because he criticizes and debunks everyone except...anyone connected with the Roswell incident, fine, or Gulf Breeze now. He has made a lot of enemies...Bill has. And people aren't passing information along to him in the UFO field..."

<Mickus> "Anymore, or not much...?"

Fenwick> "No, not very much at all. He will either debunk it, or keep it for himself and try and make money out of it. Because he's got no other income, other than his little lists of books he sells and magazines whatever, and pamphlets. So there is a lot of people who just don't want to talk to him...about what's going on. Now I talked to Stanton; Stanton's okay...but he's sort of under Bill's thumb. Whatever Bill says, [Stanton will say] 'Oh yah...', which is very unusual for Stanton because he's a very independent type of guy. But they work as a team, with Shandera. And Linda Howe...and John Lear...are quite aware of what's going on, of that aspect. They are quite upset by it."

<Mickus> "What...the secrecy...the cliques?"

Fenwick> "Yes the secrecy, and the cliques...exactly. I mean there's no room for cliques. I've written several editorials...one of which appeared in 'Flying Saucer Review' in England...about this situation. About the secrecy between UFOlogists. Work as a team as I've stated. Why don't we all work as a team...we could discover so much more as a team, than bickering among UFOlogists."

<Mickus> "There's so little time..."

Fenwick> "Yes. And they have rumours flying around. That's a waste of time! John's repeating rumours he's heard from Linda Howe...Linda Howe's repeating rumours she's heard from John Lear. Then other people hear about those rumours...and they get exaggerated even more so. And what's the truth? Who knows if there is anything to it. We have to get at the essence of what's going on. We want to find out all the other information about Project Aquarius. That's what we have to centre on. I was talking to Allen Spragget [sp?], who is probably Canada's foremost authority on ESP...I took a night school course on ESP from him. He's interested in the UFO phenomenon, and he got a million dollars recently, from a Canadian benefactor to do a TV show, a series of shows on ESP and UFOs. Only one show on UFOs. He want the opening one [to be on UFOs]. And he

thought that he would do one on MJ-12, and he told me about 3 days before the TV show was on, and I said, 'You're a little late, in a matter of fact the show [UFO Coverup] is going to be about MJ-12.' [and then Spragget said], 'Oh...well that's out. What else can I do?' And I said, 'How about Project Aquarius. Only one show only on Project Aquarius.' He said, 'Fine. Where am I going to get the information?' 'Well', I said to myself, 'You're not going to get it from Bill Moore, but maybe you'll get it from Stanton Friedman.' So I gave him Friedman's address and phone number, in Fredericton. I haven't called Allen to see whether he's spoken to Friedman, and what information he's gotten from Friedman, or how many leads he's gotten. I doubt very much if Friedman even knows Allen Spragget. Allen's published a few books on psychic phenomena and so on."

"If that were to come to pass...that a Canadian show, or series...with the opening segment on Project Aquarius, [that] would be the most astounding media event in history. To get that on the air...all the information...if Stanton would talk openly about

. ickus> "What do you think he knows?"

<Fenwick> "I think he knows it all. But if he would talk about it, and he thinks it should be done... if they can get MJ-12 on a show, then why can't they get all that Project Aquarius stuff? it's not that much more of a leap...at least I don't think so, maybe it is.... Certainly if you can even mention Project Aquarius, as it was mentioned several times on the show [UFO Coverup], if you could even have mentioned that, then you've really got something. You've got your foot in the door...to breaking the whole thing wide open. But the key is 'the old man', what I call 'the old man'...whoever he is. If he will change his mind again: if Friedman can get to him. If he's right, Friedman says, 'I think I know who he is.', but he's not certain. Now whether he's gone after the wrong guy or the right guy...I don't know. I haven't spoken to Stanton in a while, it's been about 2 months since I've spoken with Stanton. If Stanton can get the old man to talk...then that can go on Spraggett's show."

<Mickus> "When is Spraggett's show scheduled for?"

<Fenwick> "I don't know. He has to get information before he can do a show...so he's waiting. He's trying to find out more."

<Mickus> "So you're encouraging Stanton and others to..."

<Fenwick> "...to be open. And join in a group effort. You've got to have that."

<Mickus> "Do you think a lot of this reticence has to do with people wanting credit and..."

<Fenwick> "...and Ego. Bill Moore has the highest ego that you ever want to see in a UFOlogist...there's no question about that. There's no room for ego in this deal. We need co-operation. I was talking to Allen Hynek...I've met him in person several times, the late Allen Hynek...and each time I did, he said the same thing I'm telling you. Co-operate, CO-OPERATE...as a team. We need a team effort. There shouldn't be a MUFON, an A.P.R.O., an a NICAP, and all these other organizations. Well, there's no longer any NICAP or A.P.R.O.. [but still ones like] CUFOs. There should be ONE the entire world...with translation facilities and so on. Then you get the heart of the matter, then you can find out what's going on."

<Mickus> "You have a network of people..."

<Fenwick> "Yes. And that's why the computer end of things is a very good idea. Because you do have the burgeoning network of people in the computer field. How many people are involved now in the computer field in UFOs. It's growing all the time. I think that there have to be more people who are in it full-time. That's the problem....computers are a help."

<Mickus> "Do you think that we have got to have less 'armchair' UFOlogists, and more people like yourself who are giving money for research and..."

<Fenwick> "...yes. And speaking...I've spoken at libraries and so on. And giving the information to the public...it has to be out there. Because, sure a lot of people may be bewildered by it, may not believe it, may not understand it...but there may be the odd one...all you need is one or two who have information..."

<Mickus> "...you touch a nerve."

<Fenwick> "And touch a nerve....and [hope] they'll talk. Someone will say, 'I know someone who knows someone...', and then you go after that person, and say, 'Uh...what's his name, where does he live...give me his phone number and his address. Get that...if you can't get that, then forget what you've heard because it could be distorted."

<Mickus> "Yes, it's amazing how that can work. For instance I have someone on my BES, not involved with UFOlogy at all...but yet he has a degree in Physics which he received at the University of New Brunswick, where Friedman taught. It just so happened that this particular individual was in charge of getting good speaker's for special physics department lectures, and when a good speaker from the states was available and he didn't have enough money to

Tom Mickus
Box 388, Station 'W'
Toronto, Ontario
M6M 5C2

February 7th, 1989

Grant Cameron
649 Silverstone
Winnipeg, Manitoba
R3T 2V8

Dear Grant,

Well, as usual, things rarely remain static in this field. I have come across the name of the "old man", and have known this now for several weeks. His middle name is "Eric"...and I have photocopies of his bio from 4 "Who's Who" type scientific publications. However, I have kept this information to myself, and have not yet released it onto ParaNet. I'm fully aware that for me to prematurely release this person's name...with little incriminating data to follow, that I may be doing more harm than good. So, in a spirit of good faith, I will wait until just before you decide to go public, and then release the information onto the Computer Network. Per our phone conversations Grant, if you could supply me with a couple of pages of summary a week or two before you go public, then I would be happy to use this information as the "release". Just enough to whet people's appetites, and not upstage your entire body of work.

As you know, several people are pursuing similar lines of investigation. I was just talking last night with a friend from Oakland, CA, who has a friend who has seen the manuscript for the Howe book. According to him, there is supposed to be "significant" information contained there within. I have no doubt that there will be further revelations regarding the Jason society.

So, from my estimation from our last telephone conversation, you should be releasing the majority of your work in about a month's time. I don't know if this timetable has been advanced or set back, but again, it would be appreciative if you could supply me with some kind of short article or synopsis, which would be enough to make people our Network, aware of what is going on. Like you, I'm very excited about this information, and the potential it has of advancing the UFO debate in a very positive direction, namely that of full disclosure by the U.S. Gov't.

I have included Bill Cooper's *final* file, and I hope it's of some use for you. I have spoken with Bill again, and indicated your desire to speak with him. However he has informed me that you have yet to contact him.

And, it looks like Chris's friend has yet to contact my BBS, however when he does decide to do so, the information will be here waiting for him.

Please let me know your thoughts to my above proposal Grant. You have been more than gracious so far in informing me of the progress of your research, and I'm grateful for that. I will hold off on disclosing EAW until I hear from you again. I'm interested in getting out the complete picture, instead of bits and pieces...and a short file from you, will go along way towards accomplishing this end. Naturally, you and your colleagues will get full credit for it, and if you are planning to print and sell your research findings, I would be happy to supply you with some free advertising. I'm open to a number of suggestions.

Thanks for your time. I hope that all is going well with you.

Yours Truly,


Tom Mickus

THE CRUCIBLE <<UFONET I>> BBS

Tom Mickus
P.O.B. 388, Station 'W'
Toronto, Ontario, CANADA
M6M 5C1

April 18, 1989

Grant Cameron
649 Silverstone Ave.
Winnipeg, Manitoba
R3T 2U8

Dear Grant,

Much apologies for the delay with the disks, but I found out from my computer consultant that 3.5 inch floppy disks can't be formatted at 360k. Thus, I am assuming you have the older 5 1/4 inch style floppies. Regardless, I will phone you this very evening and find out for sure. As I don't have a 5 1/4 inch floppy disc drive with my set-up, I will be sending the files you requested to a friend who will then transfer them over to 5 1/4 inch format, and then have them mailed out to you. Hopefully then, you will receive the disks a few days after you have gotten this letter. In addition to the files you requested Grant, I will send you some new files which may be of some interest for you.

Enclosed you will find a 60 min. tape cassette with 2 different conversations recorded. On one of them, Fenwick, Tokarz and Muskat talk to Lear, and in the other, Fenwick speaks with Bill Moore. Hope the quality of the recordings are good enough that you can hear the dialogue clearly. Many thanks for the tape you sent me. It was definitely a qualitative difference to hear the conversation first-hand, than through second, and third parties. In my earlier conversations with you Grant, I think you also alluded to having some taped conversations with Walker himself. Is there any chance that I could get a hold of some copies of these? Again, I am anxiously awaiting the full release of you and your associates "final" report. In the interim, any further material would of course be appreciated. I've already reproduced on-line several of the letters you sent me for that purpose, in part to clarify the chronology as to whom discovered whom vis a vis Eric Walker. I'm sorry to hear that you won't be speaking, or attending the MUFON '89 Symposium. It seems to me that this story, researched as you have done, would be an important and significant contribution. I hope you will re-consider. In addition, Bill Cooper is hosting his own "Coverup" Symposium later in July in Ahaheim. He is looking for speakers, and will be paying an honorarium (of around \$600 I believe). Perhaps you can use this as an alternative forum, providing the housing market hasn't totally fallen flat by then, and that you're still financially viable. Think about it, and you can let me know.

Sincerely,



Tom Mickus

July 1st/89

Dear Grant,

Greetings from Vegas! Its about 12:40 pm right now as of writing. The morning MUFON session was a real bore, with the "good stuff" to come this afternoon (Howe, Good), this evening (MOORE) and Sunday morning (COOPER, BEAR, ENGLISH). After the convention is over, I'll let you know if there really were any "bombshells" dropped.

Regarding Walker & the "Alim Letter", I've been passing around my copy of the "censored" version, and trying to elicit opinions and such from selected individuals. I've so far touched base with Bill Cooper, John Bear, Timothy Good, STF, Andrews, V. Cooper, and a few others. Some were interested in it, others were not, although its hard to fully gauge what their "real" reaction was. When I speak to you on the phone next, I'll go into more detail with you.

Enclosed in this letter is \$30.00 (U.S) in order that you can ship me out ASAP the CAMERON/CRAIN research paper. I'm assuming its already or very near to completion, and as such would like to get a hold of it from the initial release batch which you will be sending out. Please send it direct to my P.O. Box number "SPECIAL DELIVERY" so that I can get it right away. I hope that the amount enclosed will be sufficient to

(2)

cover the costs, if not, please let me know and I will send out additional funds.

One other thing for now Gant, I asked Timothy Good when I had him away from others, if there was any truth to the story that he & MOORE "toasted" each other over the synchronized release of the MS-12 papers. He denied knowledge of A) the rumorm itself, B) That the story was at all true. However, T. Good is a hard person to read, and comes off as quite introverted.

Harry Tokarz spoke with him at length and could tell you some more interesting background stuff (nothing nefarious) regarding Good. By the way, Timothy Good had good things to say about you and your research. Truly if for now, will talk to you when I get back. Look forward to receiving your paper.

Yours Truly,

for Mark

Tom Mickus

P.S. - Enclosed are a few pictures of some of the area immediately adjacent to AREA #51. HARRY TOKARZ also appears in some of the pictures (in one of them he is relieving himself). We made a day trip up to Nellis, and made it 16 miles up a isolated dirt road to the border of the restricted area before being turned away by military personnel in a Bronco.

Tom Mickus
UFONET BBS Network
Box 388, Station W
Toronto, Ontario
M6M 5C1 CANADA

September 22, 1989

Grant Cameron
649 Silverstone Ave.
Winnipeg Ave.
R3T 2U8

Dear Grant,

Again, I have been a delinquent in responding to you with more haste than I should. To make up for this, I have some new info on Walker, which although not startling, will nonetheless add to the accumulated body of information now collecting.

Enclosed Grant, you will find a copy of both of the two cover letters which I sent to Walker. Also, a transcript of the 8/11/89 phone interview is included. In addition to all this, a copy of the envelope, and accompanying "post it" (presumably written by Walker) which accompanied a returned xerox of my second cover letter, is present. Yes, Walker has finally responded to me, albeit in a most limited fashion.

The letter is post-marked on the 11th of this month. The "post it", containing five words, handwritten, seems to be from the hand of Walker. Further analysis should show this to be the case. Furthermore the letter was sent in an envelope with Walker's Penn State address embossed on the outside, in blue colour. The copy of the letter he returned, was definitely a photo-copy, thus Walker has evidently acted in a manner consistent with his past behaviour. At this point in time, he must be amassing a considerable file on the subject. One question that is pertinent...how many copies is he making, and who is on his mailing list?

Walker has finally delivered the "No comment" we've been waiting for. However, he must surely know that such a response is wholly inadequate. The manner by which he "signed" the "post it", is most interesting. Obviously its in reference to my somewhat crude remark towards the bottom of my second cover letter. If anything, this shows that Walker does have a sense of humour. He has demonstrated this in the past...but ironically, this may be his downfall. His "games", may ultimately be his undoing.

Walkers' weakness may turn out to be his perverse sense of humour. If we can capitalize somehow on this, then we can turn his words back on him, and compel him to explain. We can only hope. For the record Grant, in my second mailing, I neglected to include a copy of the cover page of your report, along with a copy of the "Right to Know..." article, both of which were sent in the original mailing.

Thank you for a copy of your letter to Moore. It was well written, and helped once again to put Walker's involvement into perspective. I would think that a similar type file would be perfect for an article in the MUFON Journal or in CUFOS' IUR.

I will keep the Moore involvement under wraps for now. I hope you don't mind if I trust this information with one of my California UFONET users. He has given me some privileged info, and in return I have reciprocated by merely stating that Moore is starting to involve himself deeper into the EAW affair. The reason why I have decided to confide in this person, is because he seems to be trustworthy. Furthermore, he seems to live quite close to Moore's "publishing empire", and thus has related to me some potentially interesting details. Moore seems to be moving shop to another locale. I don't know where exactly, but apparently his phone has already been disconnected. Another tidbit of info related to me by this individual (who by the way has done "research" of his own...visiting Dulce, talked to Bennewitz, Torme, etc.) is that he said he was "tailed" after leaving Moore's shop. This guy may be a wacko, but I doubt it. I will continue to speak with him via private mail here at UFONET I, and try and get to the bottom of this. There may be nothing to it, its hard to say right now. If its inappropriate that I even bring up Moore's name in connection with Walker, let me know, and I'll cease and desist immediately. I certainly don't plan to mention it to anyone else until the time is right.

Have made some inquiries about the whereabouts of Mike Troyer, but so far nothing. He seems to have "disappeared" from the computer BBS field.

Enclosed, is also a copy of the letter I sent to Scott. In addition, there is also a copy of a UFO report received by the NRC earlier this year, on March 22. I had a UFONET user who relayed this info to me after he made inquiries to several govt departments. This is unrelated to what we are discussing, but out of the fifty odd sightings to date this year, it was the most intriguing of the bunch. Here at UFONET, we are trying to follow up on this Gorley CE-II, and if I get any results, I'll let you know, assuming you're interested. Understandably, you're busy with the Walker affair, so perhaps we'll leave this to another time.

On another unrelated matter, are you aware of the Vancouver based UFO organization UFORIC? They have only been in operation 12 wks, but from what I've seen, they seem to be a semi-professional outfit. If you like, I can send you some of the materials they sent me. I learned of their existence at the conclusion of the recent CTV Dini Petty Live Show, featuring Budd Hopkins and Debbie Tomey (aka Kathy Davis of "Intruders"). Was a good show, and got the chance to talk with Budd once again.

One last question for now Grant. Which UFO crash/retrieval do you suspect Walker was involved in? Was it the one referred to in the MJ-12 Briefing Papers? Do you think it was Roswell? Aztec? If not, at this point in time, what is the date in question which seems the most plausible for both the UFO crash, and subsequent meetings by the R&DB board in response?

Finally, I did talk with Vidmar about a week and a half ago. I phoned him on a Saturday afternoon I believe, and talked for about 10 minutes. He sounded reasonable enough. He said that he has only seen 80 pages of the report so far. In addition, he indicated that he was not in direct contact with Crain at this time, but only via some of his junior associates. Perhaps yourself or Scott should talk to him directly, assuming you haven't already done so.

Vidmar also informed me that ownership of "Penn State, The Magazine" will be transferred to a new publisher in and around November 11th. Did you know this? This indicates to me some urgency in the matter, as the next publisher might not be so well disposed towards this issue as is Vidmar. Then again, Vidmar is still (as of this writing) sitting on this story, so maybe a changing in the guard is in order. He did indicate to me that the next publisher was also of similar like mind (my words), and would probably go with this story if there is enough to go on. A subjective appraisal of course.

I will make an attempt to contact Steinman this wknd, in order to further clarify some of the points you raised. Hopefully this will go well. I'll let you know in a subsequent letter, or phone conversation.

In the meantime, keep up the good work and continue to plug away with Walker. Seems that progress is being made, however slight. Something has to give soon. Let me know what you think about a possible 3rd letter to Walker. With his reply to me, he has broken the ice so to speak, and maybe another letter from myself will elicit even more details. Let me know what you think as soon as possible. Time, as they say, is of the essence. By the way, I agree with your appraisal of Walker's response to the military contractor. At this point in time, Walker is no doubt hyper-sensitive to anything to do with UFOs. The contractor may not realize it, but he has already been compromised. Walker is no fool. If anything, he will be even more cagey now. Lets just hope he continues to play his "games". That way, he will make another slip sooner or later.

Sincerely,



Tom Mickus

Voice: (416) 239-1094

Data: (416) 237-1204

Tom Mickus
UFONET BBS Network
Box 388, Station W
Toronto, Ontario
M6M 5C1 CANADA
(416) 239-1094

August 16, 1989

Dr. Eric Walker
222-A Hammond Building
University Park, Pennsylvania
16802

Dear Dr. Walker,

As per our telephone conversation last Friday morning, I have sent along some excerpts from the 180 page report co-authored by researchers Grant Cameron and T. Scott Crain Jr. As I mentioned to you in our exchange, it is entitled "UFOs, MJ-12 AND THE GOVERNMENT" and is centered around yourself, with approximately 80 pages devoted to your reputed activities and involvement in the matter relating to the analysis and discussion stemming from a crashed UFO.

Due to copyright provisions, and the fact that this is a "pre-publication copy" subject to change or correction, I have elected to send you only a few excerpts, so that you may respond to some of the allegations therein. Several of the documents contain examples of your handwriting and signature, which you can confirm or deny as being authentic. In addition, could you please confirm whether or not you made those statements in both your phone and letter correspondence with William Steinman of California. This is the person I alluded to in my phone conversation with you, and which you denied ever having knowledge of.

Furthermore, there are several things contained within the correspondence to Steinman which seem rather strange. I refer to the "...ER60T QUIET QUIET QUIET" statement contained in a letter sent back to Steinman from you. Also, on one of the returned letters there is the encircled statement in your handwriting saying "Must reply Did Code (-1)". And then there is the equally strange "alien letter" that you typed and signed. Could you please respond and elaborate on the above examples? In addition, any further information pertaining to your knowledge of MJ-12 (whose existence you confirmed in a phone conversation with Steinman) would go a long way towards clearing up this matter. If you like, I can forward your reply to the researchers themselves, for inclusion in the revised paper, which will be due out soon, and publicly available. If there is no basis to their research linking you with a crashed UFO, then the paper should never be published.

In closing, please avail yourself of the chance here to respond to the allegations and assertions attributed by you in the excerpts I am sending you. If you wish to keep your remarks "off the record", that can be done also. Lastly, thank you for taking the time to speak with me last Friday (8/11/89), and I look forward to hearing your reply to this letter and the materials enclosed.

Sincerely,

Tom Mickus

Tom Mickus
UFONET BBS Network
Box 388, Station W
Toronto, Ontario
M6M 5C1 CANADA
(416) 239-1094

September 5, 1989

Dr. Eric Walker
222-A Hammond Building
University Park, Pennsylvania
16802

Dear Dr. Walker,

As of this writing, I have not received any reply back from you with regard to my first letter, sent on 8/16/89. Perhaps for some reason you didn't receive it. Assuming that this is the case, I've enclosed copies of some of the original material I sent to you, along with the initial letter from me to you. Please read them over, and comment on the examples listed.

As you may recall, I originally sent you this material at your request, in lieu of myself travelling down to Pennsylvania, and meeting you in person. We discussed this during our phone conversation on the morning of 8/11/89. At the time I may have been over zealous in my questioning, but such is a vice we reporters must engage in from time to time, and if I offended you then, I apologize. Again, my interest in all of this is that of ascertaining the truth with regard to the accusations levelled against you with regard to your reputed involvement with UFO analysis. I'm sure this matter will not die down until there are some direct answers from yourself in response to these charges. Without any serious rebuttal from yourself, there is nothing to stop the rumours and hearsay from multiplying, and masquerading as fact.

If by chance, there is some basis to the claims, then I would appeal to you to make the facts known. Nobody is asking you to reveal military secrets, nor your work record at the IDA, but rather some of the details surrounding the UFO crash which you and others had some role in analyzing close to 40 years ago. I know that you are an elderly gentleman, and not much time remaining on this planet, but I would ask that for humanity's sake, you make the truth be known, before it follows you to the grave. This, despite your distinguished past of achievements and service, may turn out to be your greatest legacy. It is vitally important that you do so, please believe me.

Sincerely,

Tom Mickus

No comment-

The elderly gentlemen.

Tom Mickus
UFONET BBS Network
Box 388, Station W
Toronto, Ontario
M6M 5C1 CANADA

September 6, 1989

T. Scott Crain Jr.
P.O. Box 11
Port Matilda, PA
16870

Dear Scott,

First off, let me apologize for the inordinate delay in my responding to your last letter. I had started to write you, but then had gotten sidetracked off the issue. I had assumed Grant was going to fill you in on some of the details of my activities anyway, so I thought perhaps a letter would be a little redundant. However, you did request a copy of the phone conversation between myself and Walker, and in part my delay in getting the tape dubbed, was in part responsible for the lateness of this reply.

Enclosed, you will find both a copy of the taped conversation, along with a transcript. Walker's responses can be interpreted in a number of ways. If anything, Walker's actions are consistent with his most recent, strange behaviour. Not only does he not answer questions directly, but is evasive and experiences convenient memory loss. The fact that Walker is still active at his late age, tells me that he probably is not senile, nor going through the throes of early Alzheimer's disease. Please send the tape, transcript, or both, to Vidmar if you think it would be useful. However, I would appreciate it if, for the time being, yourself or Vidmar doesn't directly refer to the conversation as being tape, when and if Walker is confronted. That essentially will compromise my position with Walker, and I'm sure he will not wish to speak with me again if he learns of this fact.

As you've no doubt learned from Grant, by the time I had received your letter, I had already sent out some selected excerpts to Walker himself. I cleared these first with Grant, and the specific page numbers I sent him are pages, 15,16, 24-28, 30,31,49 (alien letter), 53,54, along with a xeroxed copy of the cover page, and his article on "The Right to Know...". I have never received confirmation from Walker that he received this packet, sent by next-day courier on 8/16/89, and which he should have received on 8/17/89, 6 days after our original phone conversation on the morning of 8/11/89. Since then, I have received no replies from Walker.

After waiting several weeks for a reply, I have sent out another letter to Walker, again by courier. Enclosed, I have sent him basically the same materials (no new ones), along with a copy of my original letter, and a more...you might say emotional...entreaty requesting that he please come clean. Since Walker is evidently good at playing games, I don't think it can hurt if we engage in some also. I admit that this second letter sounds something more like what Bill Steinman might have written, but perhaps Walker will warm up enough to it to at least reply, as he did several times with Steinman originally.

If I hear anything in response, I'll be sure to let you and Grant know immediately. I'm very much intrigued by the "code letter" which Walker evidently mailed back to you a couple weeks back. This is extremely interesting, and shows that Walker is not finished with this subject just yet. I suggested to Grant that perhaps there is some hidden meaning to this letter, which Walker is less than subtly trying to get your attention with. Perhaps some amateur cryptologists down at the University could have a go at trying to decipher it, it may yield some results, who knows.

Today, this afternoon, I phoned Walker's office for the second time, not wishing to speak with Walker himself, but trying to ascertain what his schedule is for the next little while. I learned that he is still active at University Park, and will be popping in now and then. Evidently his wife has just taken ill, so he's been cancelling some of his work days, such as tomorrow (9/7/89). However, I got the impression that he will still be around, and therefore it should be relatively easy for Vidmar and Co. to arrange some kind of confrontational meeting.

I don't know how committed to this Vidmar is, but it would be a shame not to follow-up on this matter in this way, after so much groundwork.

Please let me know how you intend to approach the matter. One other thing, Walker's secretary confirmed to me that the courier packet arrived today, and that Walker would probably be picking up his mail in the next day or two. This then confirms that Walker will be seeing the material, most likely for the second time.

Keep in touch.

Sincerely,

Tom Mickus

Tom Mickus
Box 388, Station W
Toronto, Ontario
M6M 5C1 CANADA

April 24, 1990

Grant Cameron
649 Silverstone Ave.
Winnipeg Ave.
R3T 2U8

Dear Grant,

Thanks for your two recent letters, and updating me on the Azadehdel situation. It really sounds like Henry is taking a bizarre turn, but I guess we'll have to wait a while before we see where it goes. Anything to do with "alien" communication is definitely tricky business, and I hope for his sake he knows what he is doing, and is not being duped.

I agree with what you've been hearing about the South Africa case. For all intents and purposes, that soap opera seems to have run its course, and despite all the hype, doesn't seem to warrant any further attention. The simple fact that the location is a world away, is another reason that this thing has been both difficult to investigate, and long drawn out. As additional proof as to this event's importance, I would merely point to the example of Azadehdel, who for the most part seems to have lost interest in this matter some months ago, and has moved onto other matters. At least that is my impression.

With regard to those South African transcript material I promised, I have had some problems with locating them in my files, thus will need a little more time in locating them (ie. running through a few hundred pages of looseleaf and xeroxed material...). Hopefully I can get them to you by next week Grant.

In lieu of the above, I do have a somewhat interesting letter which I received not too long ago from Richard Todd. As you may recall, I inquired about him to you since he was pestering me with demands for some files which I had, and which he wanted me to send him gratis. Well, as his most recent letter will attest, our Mr. Todd seems to have again reared his ugly true self, and is attempting to be a trouble maker of sorts. I don't know what you will make of his threat to start releasing Walker material, but I would suggest that this might be a good reason to publish your Report as soon as possible. Hopefully if Henry gets in gear, you will at last have the materials you need to publish. If I were you, I'd pull out all the stops with Henry, and tell him to produce the goods or else.

Good luck, and P.S....still no word from Henry on anything, via mail or phone. He's got my last letter though, so this should spur some action....I hope.

Sincerely,


Tom

ROBERT G. TODD
2528 Belmont Avenue
Ardmore, PA 19003-2617

April 3, 1990


Tom Mickus
Box 388, Station W
Toronto, Ontario M6M 5C1
CANADA

Dear Mr. Mickus:

Due to the tone of your most recent correspondence, I choose not to cooperate with you but choose instead to circulate copies of your August 16, September 5, and October 17, 1989 letters to Dr. Eric A. Walker. You may rest assured that they will receive wide circulation.

Quite frankly, I don't give a damn if you acknowledge this letter or not.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to be "R. G. Todd", written over a horizontal line.