

Regarding your fourth paragraph, I do not understand your suggestion that my statement may have been "intentionally in error". I made no "intentional errors", and if there are accidental ones, I will be pleased to hear about them. I have attached a copy of Smith's notes on this interview. My info on Sarbacher's background came from American Men of Science 11th edition, plus another paper from Smith's files (undated). Copy also enclosed. You can take it from there. I assume "Georgia University" means "University of Georgia" but I may be wrong. I would think that Sarbacher is dead by now and National Science Labs Inc. probably no longer exists. Thirty years is a long time.

As for my self-publishing, I am not convinced that the imprint of Jupiter Publishing makes it obvious to a prospective buyer that Jupiter is me. Without claiming my book is any good, you may not be aware of the fact that some of the world's great literature was initially self-published and was only picked up by a professional publisher after its worth was recognized. I state this only to show that there is nothing wrong with self-publishing.

Anyway, my experiences with Canadian publishers and my reasons for self-publishing are no one's concern. Likewise, the number of copies I have sold is no one's business but mine.

Finally, my concern with Stork was that it was referred to in a Canadian government report (with no mention of BMI) and as I was unable to locate any other mention of Stork, it seemed that it could very well be a significant study unknown to most of us. Finally, when I acquired a copy of Bruce Maccabee's paper Historical Introduction to Project Blue Book Special Report #14, the pieces fell together. He identifies it as "White Stork".

I hope the above clears up a few misunderstandings you seem to have.

Yours sincerely,

Arthur Bray.