

1187 Agincourt Road,
Ottawa 5, Ontario,
Canada.

April 1, 1969.

The Director,
National Investigations Committee
on Aerial Phenomena,
1536 Connecticut Ave., N.W.,
Washington, D.C. 20036,
U.S.A.

Dear Sir:

As a UFO researcher and author ("Science, the Public and the UFO"), I want to mention that I was pleased to see the report on CANADIAN PROJECTS in your Vol IV, No. 10, of the UFO INVESTIGATOR.

You may also be interested in the fact that I have submitted a brief on UFOs to the Special Senate Committee on Science Policy, which is now holding hearings in Canada. This brief is due to be considered by the Committee in the near future. It contains some pertinent remarks and observations on the Condon Report, among many other things. I will be pleased to forward a copy to you after the ~~brief~~ report has been published by the Senate Committee, if you are interested.

A further comment concerning the same issue of UFO INVESTIGATOR, concerns the article on page 7 about the Madrid UFO which you state has been definitely proved to be a conventional object. Does the statement of the Director of a Meteorological Institute constitute proof of the identity of an object? You know as well as I that a high proportion of such "official statements" are designed simply to close the whole matter as quickly as possible. I would appreciate knowing whether you have been presented with any factual evidence of any sort to support the claim of the Director of the Institute concerned, or did you merely accept his word for it.

Yours truly,

Arthur Bray
(Member - NICAP)