

Does Cooper's story contain enough valid information to warrant further investigation? So much of it is still unverified and possibly unverifiable, in particular the information about the aliens themselves, the connection between Cooper's version of history and the alien question, the number of crashed or downed alien craft, the existence of named government projects, the much-rumored alien landing at Holloman, and a whole host of other matters. Some of his other information is simply incorrect. How and where could Cooper reliably obtain such information? He owes us an explanation if he expects us to take his position seriously and act upon it. On the other hand, we have discovered that there is more of a basis in fact for some of the issues he raised than is obvious from a casual reading of his paper. This is probably not recognized by most UFO researchers. Thus, in our opinion, this is enough to justify further investigation into at least some of these issues. However, each reader will have to decide this for him or herself. Choose the issues and act responsibly.