

with pseudo-scientific, pseudo-religious or other fantasies. Cases of this kind range from slight disturbance to those who are manifestly in need of psychiatric care. The latter form an extremely small minority of all the persons encountered in this study. While the existence of a few mentally unbalanced persons among UFO observers is part of the total situation, it is completely incorrect and unfair to imply that all who report UFOs are "crazy kooks", just as it is equally incorrect to ignore the fact that there are mentally disturbed persons among them". (p. 10).

Question 9. Is it expected that the conclusions of the "Condon Report" will meet with general agreement among scientists?

A. "If they (other scientists) disagree (with the conclusions) it will be because our report has helped them reach a clear picture of wherein existing studies are faulty or incomplete and thereby will have stimulated ideas for more accurate studies. If they do get such ideas and can formulate them clearly, we have no doubt that support will be forthcoming to carry on with such clearly-defined, specific studies. We think that such ideas for work should be supported." (p. 2). (Insertions in parentheses made by author of this brief).

Question 10. Did the Committee find that Project Blue Book had done an adequate job of investigating UFOs?

A. "Material on a number of older cases was obtained from the Aerial Phenomena Office (Project Blue Book) at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio. In many cases, these files were not sufficiently organized or complete to permit an intelligent evaluation of the report." (p. 76).