

Ottawa New Sciences Group,
95 Centre Street,
Aylmer East, Quebec.

Dec. 9, 1969.

Dr. P. Millman,
Head, Upper Atmosphere Research,
National Aeronautical Establishment,
Montreal Road Laboratories,
OTTAWA, Ont.

Dear Dr. Millman:

You will recall that when, earlier this year, you very kindly addressed our group on the subject of UFOs, etc., during the discussion period that followed, the question was raised concerning the 3,000-lb. chunk of hardware over which some mystery has existed as to its positive identification, that you kindly offered to investigate this matter further. I understand that Mr. Arthur Bray passed on to you some samples of the metal for this purpose, but on checking with him recently, I was advised that to date, he has not yet received a scientific report from you on your findings. Naturally, we are all very interested in the results of your investigation and look forward to receiving your full report as soon as possible.

In the meantime, however, there have been some recent developments which, if anything, have only served to add further mystery to the metal and I am therefore writing to you in the hope that you may be in a position to throw some light on certain aspects of the situation. In October of this year, I received a letter from Mr. Arthur H. Matthews of Lac Beauport, Quebec, a co-worker of our group, requesting permission to test the mass of metal on site with what he described as a Tesla Bridge device. As we have always welcomed any scientific attempt to solve the mystery of this metal, we agreed to this and arrangements were made for Mr. Matthews to carry out this test on October 28, during which a number of us were present. About a week later, I received Mr. Matthews' signed report in which he stated in effect that he had been requested to carry out this test by a person whose name he was not permitted to divulge, and he concluded with the brief statement: " -- my study of this (test) on return to Quebec proves without any doubt it is a man-made form of iron and is without any doubt composed of earth-found ores. This piece of metal was never in space. Further test to prove my statement can be found if the complete block is applied to a reverberatory furnace. Please do not add any remarks to this statement, which is final and complete".

As a scientist yourself, I am sure you will be the first to appreciate that this bald statement, with no scientific or technical details to support it, was far from satisfactory to our group who found the report unacceptable in its present form. Therefore, at the request of interested parties, I wrote to Mr. Matthews asking if he could make a fuller statement giving some scientific explanation as to how he arrived at these conclusions, and in particular, raising the following 3 questions: (1) What was the actual composition and percentage of the various metals found in the chunk? This information is required in order that your data may be compared with the analyses which have already been carried out on the metal by professional metallurgists unaware of its background. (2) What is your explanation as to the origin of the inclusions on the outer surface of the metal which were believed to be micrometeorites? (3) What scientific method did you use to determine that the mass of metal was never in outer space? This question is considered far more important than the actual composition of the metal as it is possible that other planets may have the same minerals as Earth.

In reply, I received a letter from Mr. Matthews dated November 7, 1969, in which he stated briefly: "I have referred your request re my findings on the metal to the Federal Authority who requested the test, as these findings are confidential." As