

Mem^o from

Aug 30-78

L. H. STRINGFIELD

Dear Grant.

Sorry I didnt have more
time to talk with you
in Dayton. It seems that I
never had a minute to
do what I had hoped to
do — which was complicated
by the threats on my life
before & during my talk.

I was advised, following
my talk, to switch rooms
~~rooms~~ in the Stauffer Hotel
and was told to remain

Memo from

(2)
L. H. STRINGFIELD

in my new room
until dinner time. In a
sense, I was in command.

Anyway, I received some
data re Welbert Smith
following re Dayton Tech
which may be of interest
to you. Scott Cain
gave me some papers
which I have duplicated
for you. Perhaps you
already have this material?

Memo from

(3)
L. H. STRINGFIELD

Since Dayton I received
both compliments and
some criticism for my
disclosures. I feel that
I did contribute some
new & valuable data
re retrievals, and despite
my critics, I'm glad I
did!

Best regards

Len S.

PRESS, Bristol, CT - Dec. 2, 1987 CR: L. Whitehurst

Government won't go public on UFOs

Lottie Michaud

A phenomenon has existed on this Earth for uncounted years. It is the UFO phenomenon, and unlike the cultural fads which grow and eventually fade away, UFOs have remained as much a part of human existence as ever before. In fact, the numbers of UFO sightings are growing.

Since the times of Ezekiel as told in the Bible, people have been seeing strange, unidentifiable objects in the sky. The plains of Nazca in Peru remain a mystery even to this day. Before airplanes were even thought of those primitive peoples constructed "landing strip" type geometric designs shaped like spiders, birds and strange beings, all of which are only distinguishable from high in the air.

While most reported sightings of UFOs can be explained away as meteorites, stars, weather balloons, swamp gas, birds and satellites, a good number of sightings have even the most learned scholar stumped. For example, who on the face of this earth ever saw a bird travel at speeds faster than the speed of sound? Or an airplane able to make 90-degree turns with speeds in excess of 300 MPH? And what star in the entire universe ever landed on earth, left behind burned patches of grass, then took off vertically and disappeared into the sky?

It's amusing to think that the United States government (and other world governments) actually expects its citizens to believe that each and every UFO sighting can be explained away as a usual common occurrence (fast-flying

birds, incredibly agile airplanes or periodically visiting stars). How naive we have been to believe this!

Consider the JAL (Japan Airlines) encounter a year ago over Alaska. The pilot saw a UFO the size of two football stadiums. It also appeared on radar. The incident made a small appearance in local newspapers and even a spot on the evening news. Soon the reliability of the pilot was questioned and the public was led to believe that it was just a cloud that the experienced pilot saw. What is startling, is that the public believed the explanation, and thus both the public and the government were happy.

Readers must wonder why I mention the government so often. It is because the government has known about the existence of UFOs for many years now, and is not letting the people of the United States of America know the truth. Senator Barry Goldwater tried to obtain top secret information on UFOs from the Air Force but was denied access. President Carter wanted NASA to study UFOs. President Ford wanted investigations of UFOs since a wave of UFO sightings in 1966.

Why has nothing surfaced? It couldn't be because UFOs do not exist, for if they did not exist, then President Ford and President Carter's investigations would have been made public, and the UFO



Lottie Michaud

matter would be put to rest. The government would have offered irrefutable proof that UFOs do not exist, and the case would be closed. But that's not what happened.

Never has the American government been able to provide proof of positive that UFOs do NOT exist. In fact, strong evidence exists which proves that certain segments of the United States government have been conducting their own secret investigations on UFOs for many years now.

The NSA (National Security Agency), after repeated requests by UFO researchers for UFO data, denied having anything at all in their files on UFOs, until a leak by the CIA implicated the NSA's involvement in collecting UFO data. Only then did the NSA admit to be in possession, or "control" of,

nearly 100 UFO documents. the knowledge of the NSA's UFO documents was only possible through the FOIA (Freedom Of Information Act).

The FBI (Federal Bureau of Investigation) has been involved with UFOs since at least 1947, and CAUS (Citizens Against UFO Secrecy) a locally-based UFO research group, has been able to obtain approximately 1,700 pages of UFO files from the FBI only with the help of the FOIA.

The CIA (Central Intelligence Agency) has also been involved in UFOs since at least 1949. And, like all the others, denied having any UFO-related information until the UFO researcher's requests were accompanied by the FOIA.

But this is only the "tip of the iceberg."

Most of the released documents are vague generalizations. And when researchers pressed for more detailed information, the usual governmental agency response is that the requested information falls out of the reach of the FOIA due to "national security." But my question is, if UFOs pose "no threat to national security" as stated time and time again by the government, then how can the UFO documents be withheld from the public for "national security" reasons? Why would UFO-related documents be withheld if they (UFOs) pose no threat to our national security?

Furthermore, a good percentage of the released documents are blacked out with a magic marker, making it quite impossible to read.

I have obtained a good part of this information from a book en-

titled *Clear Intent* by authors Lawrence Fawcett and Barry J. Greenwood. In their book they state, "Foreign governments, just as the U.S., have said publicly that UFOs are a nonsense subject. Yet, they broadcast UFO data over their most important intelligence channels such that the NSA intercepts the broadcasts and retains the information under top security!"

And, "Since the government has declared many times that UFOs are no threat to national security, we feel fully justified in asking that data about UFOs be released and put out before the public for a fair and open-minded assessment of what is one of the most intriguing topics in recorded history."

I urge readers to write to their congressman/congresswoman. Tell them to "support the free-flow of public information, particularly UFOs" as suggested by the authors of *Clear Intent*.

I also challenge any member of government to disprove the existence of UFOs based on the information now in the possession of UFO researchers. I do not believe that people will "panic" when the truth is finally told, so I cannot accept that theory as the one which explains the government cover-up.

I believe people are intelligent and mature enough to realize that we humans are not the only intelligent species in God's great, infinite universe.

EDITOR'S NOTE: Lottie Michaud is an occasional contributor to the Bristol Press Op-ed page. She watches the skies from Terryville.

LOST IN TIME

He Hardly Believes It Himself

By Joan S. Rodgers
JOURNAL REPORTER

GREENSBORO
ONE SEPTEMBER night in 1968, when David Oldham was 16, he and two other boys were riding around about 8 o'clock killing time.

For some reason, he says, the boy who was driving, Ned, turned onto an isolated road in Guilford Courthouse National Military Park. As soon as he turned off, he adds, the boys saw a huge light "sitting right on the trees."

"It was as though you could just climb up on the trees and reach and touch it with your hand. It felt that close."

"The set of circumstances at that point froze. Everything was put into a suspended state, and we just sat in the car and observed. And then one of the comments came up out of the car — I don't know who said it, whether it was me or one of the other guys — they said, 'Let's get the hell out of here.' And then we said, 'God, that's a UFO.'"

They drove on to a nightclub outside of Greensboro but did not talk to one another about what they had seen. At the door, they asked what time it was. It was 10:35.

That is when they realized, Oldham says, that they were missing 2½ hours of their evening. What had happened when they saw the UFO?

He says that he eventually found out what happened during the missing 2½ hours.

"It's so far-fetched that even I can't believe it."

SIMILAR REPORTS THAT are surfacing all over the country seem to have in common a mysterious gap in time.

Oldham has serene, bright blue eyes and a salt-and-pepper beard. He seems to be a gentle person and describes himself as a man who is not afraid

to show his emotions. Outdoors, he appears to love the earth and enjoys the sighting of forest animals.

AS HE WALKED the grounds of the park where he says the event took place, and with his terror showing through at times, he told the Journal his hair-raising story:

He did not give the UFO event much thought until nine years after it occurred. He was in an automobile accident, almost died and was in the hospital for quite a while, he says.

Then he started having nightmares. He began a spiritual search and also began to study UFOs and investigate reported sightings.

Once on a visit to New York, he looked up Ted Bloecher, a well-known UFO expert. They talked about the subject, and Oldham eventually told Bloecher his story. Bloecher arranged for him to see a psychologist for hypnotic regression.

Oldham had tried hypnosis with a therapist in Guilford County, he says, but would always reach a point in the recollections at which he would cry. He could never get past that point.

Dr. Aphrodite Clamar, the psychologist in New York, induced hypnosis, and Oldham had a breakthrough, he says. The information that emerged was terrifying.

He recalled the events that he feels happened to him during the lost 2½ hours:

He found himself outside the car in the park but does not remember getting out of the car.

"All of a sudden, out of the distance, I see a shadow of a person, and, naturally, I freak out." When he turned to get back into the car, he could not move. A human-like being approached him. The sight of it terrified the living daylights out of Oldham.

"You turn the corner, and immediately you're under the control of something. . . . The being — he came at me without me being able to move."

"He looked me in the eye, and I was freaked. I didn't know what to do, and he, through telepathy, told me to close my eyes and relax, that I was frightened and that there were other people that wanted to see me."

"All of a sudden, out of the distance, I see a shadow of a person, and, naturally, I freak out."

— David Oldham
Business consultant



JOURNAL PHOTO BY ALLEN AYCOCK

David Oldham stands in his yard in Greensboro next to what is left of a tree that was splintered unexplainably several years ago.

Oldham was taken — he does not remember by what means — aboard a craft. He remembers lying on a table in a cylindrical chamber, surrounded by smoke, and having difficulty breathing.

The light in the room was like light in a movie theater, he says, where you see the light but cannot determine its source.

A group of beings stood over him as an instrument passed over his body. The instrument did not touch him, he says, but might have been shining a light on him. It was like being X-rayed.

The beings took him off the table and moved him to another room, but they did not carry him, and he did not walk.

"There's no way, physically and verbally, that I can tell you how it feels to levitate."

(continued on page 5)

JOURNAL, Winston-Salem, NC

Sept. 20, 1987 CR: G. Fawcett



University of Cincinnati

Cincinnati, Ohio 45221

DEPARTMENT OF ANTHROPOLOGY

Nov. 15, 1980

Mr. Leonard Stringfield
4412 Grove Ave.
Cincinnati
Ohio 45227

Dear Mr. Stringfield:

Earlier this month at your and Professor Harry Mark's request I examined photographs in your possession. Pictured were some unusual kind of creature which gave the appearance of having a simian (or ape) like thoracic anatomy at least insofar as one could tell from the rib cage. The limbs, however, displayed no particular simian like features. The upper arm was significantly longer than the forearm in proportions one does not see in apes. The carpal or wrist region was quite indistinct; the hands gave the appearance of having fewer than five fingers; the fingers seemed to have claws instead of the more common nails one finds among higher primates.

This organism, if it is just that, is quite peculiar and one that I can not classify as to genus and species. Moreover, I would hesitate on the basis of a photo or two to suggest that it is some extra-terrestrial form vis a vis a fabrication.

Best of luck in your work.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Anthony J. Perzigian".

Anthony J. Perzigian, Ph.D.
Associate Professor of Anthropology



University of Cincinnati

Cincinnati, Ohio 45221

DEPARTMENT OF ANTHROPOLOGY

Nov. 15, 1980

Mr. Leonard Stringfield
4412 Grove Ave.
Cincinnati
Ohio 45227

Dear Mr. Stringfield:

Earlier this month at your and Professor Harry Mark's request I examined photographs in your possession. Pictured were some unusual kind of creature which gave the appearance of having a simian (or ape) like thoracic anatomy at least insofar as one could tell from the rib cage. The limbs, however, displayed no particular simian like features. The upper arm was significantly longer than the forearm in proportions one does not see in apes. The carpal or wrist region was quite indistinct; the hands gave the appearance of having fewer than five fingers; the fingers seemed to have claws instead of the more common nails one finds among higher primates.

This organism, if it is just that, is quite peculiar and one that I can not classify as to genus and species. Moreover, I would hesitate on the basis of a photo or two to suggest that it is some extra-terrestrial form vis a vis a fabrication.

Best of luck in your work.

Sincerely,

Anthony J. Perzigian, Ph.D.
Associate Professor of Anthropology



University of Cincinnati

Cincinnati, Ohio 45221

DEPARTMENT OF ANTHROPOLOGY

February 17, 1981

Mr. Earl D. Jones
P.O. Box 12049-A
Cincinnati, Ohio 45212

Dear Mr. Jones:

I have had an opportunity to examine the photographs and documents concerning the Mexican UFO incident on July 7, 1948. The photographs, of course, allow but a limited evaluation of the body's authenticity and/or origin. There seems to be a good correspondence between the appearance of the specimen in the photographs and the descriptions by the eyewitness. Therefore, I will address his comments which provide far greater details than are readily observable on the photographs.

From the descriptions it is obvious that we are hardly dealing with an organism indigenous to the earth. No known man-like or ape-like creature of adult age would have such a large head size:body size ratio. The absence of lips, tongue, teeth, thumbs, toes, ears, nose, genitals and hair rules out an identity with known forms or classifications of life on earth. In sum, a specimen with those characteristics gives no appearance of a natural, earthly origin.

Let me briefly consider the possibility of an extra-terrestrial origin. Considering only the specimen itself and not the radar sighting or the remains of the craft, I would have some doubts about the specimen's origin as outside of the earth. As a scientist and evolutionist I would basically subscribe to the darwinian view that now existing species have descended from previously existing species. Also, I subscribe to the view that evolution has been, essentially, an unfolding process whereby species gradually transform in directions partly determined by (1) their previous shape and size and by (2) mutations which are altogether unpredictable. In short, the history of life on the planet has been a unique and unrepeatable accumulation of events. Therefore, it is highly unlikely that an organism of non-earthly origins would so closely though admittedly only superficially resemble something earth-like. For example, creatures capable of inter-planetary travel should not be expected to have two arms, two legs, round heads, and holes for both nostrils and ears. They, moreover, should not be expected to have arm and leg joints in positions similar to ours. I would expect some visitor from elsewhere in the universe to look literally like something from out of this world. If it is from out of this world, then perhaps some extra-terrestrial civilization has fashioned a somewhat crude, man-like robot to communicate with and relate to us. Still, I am bothered by the fact that it resembles too closely an earth creature if, allegedly, it had originated elsewhere in the universe.

Mr. Earl D. Jones
Feb. 17, 1981

page two

In conclusion, I realize that at one point I have indicated that it is not a known creature from the earth. Then I have argued against that it is of extra-terrestrial origin. From these seemingly conflicting statements you can see that I am puzzled as to the origins of the specimen. I am sorry that I cannot provide you with a definite or positive identification. I hope that this report is of some value to you in your work. Keep me posted of your progress.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Anthony J. Perazigian". The signature is written in dark ink and is positioned above the typed name.

Anthony J. Perazigian, Ph. D.
Associate Professor of Anthropology

AJP:ss
Enclosures

Oct 12-88

Dear Grant,

Sorry for delay in answering your interesting letter Sept 24 re work/retrieval sources. Your letter came at a time when my brother was critically ill, following surgery, and this not doing too well in recovery. By mail, ^{including} heavy ~~with~~ new C/R sources, got log-jammed and in past two days I'm playing catch-up.

Of course, Hart is your key source, the pivot man to De Bohus and Drqstol — and, with his adroit abilities in the business world and tax affairs, he might be able to steer you closer to the informants. Of the two, I think Drqstol may be the most important. Wow, wouldn't it be a bombshell to get data on the Spetzberger incident so long contested among researchers? It would be worth a trip to his "sanctuary" in Alaska; that is, once you have strong evidence that Hart may have more data up his sleeve ^{would be willing to} and even ^{from you} join you in the hunt. A real challenge! Any way to check on Drqstol's residence in Anchorage through Police or Chamber of Commerce? Of course, he may be using an alias to avoid the long arm of the RCMP.

The Baker affair seems less traceable and could be worse than a wild story. I've run into many of this kind. In fact back in 1979 or 1980, as I recall, I got a lead to an attorney (and I believe his name was Baker) in California. After much time & expense, I finally reached Baker (?) who, in turn, told me I had the wrong Baker, and referred me to his brother in another city. Reaching him, believe it or not, I was told that the person I wanted was his brother. I got nowhere. I have lost my notes on this affair but if the name was truly, Baker, wouldn't that be a coincidence?

So, in my opinion, Ingot, as your man to pursue. Does he have relatives that Hart may know of?

In meantime I'm still waiting for promised info from several new sources. But, so far, I've got some back up info for the Roosevelt crash and in touch with an intelligence officer (retired) who was at IVC House AFB at time of the shooting incident in 1978. I plan to do an update article for MUFJ/Journal Hopefully soon.

Sincerely Len Humphrey

Dear Grant,

Oct 12-88

Sorry for delay in answering your interesting letter Sept 24 re crash/retrieval sources. Your letter came at a time when my mother was critically ill, following surgery, and she's not doing too well in recovery. My mail, suddenly very heavy ^{including} ~~with~~ new C/R sources, got log-jammed and in past two days I'm playing catch-up.

Of course, Hart is your key source, the pivot man to the Bakers and Ingstad. — and, with his adroit abilities in the business world and tax affairs, he might be able to steer you closer to the informants. Of the two, I think Ingstad may be the most important. Wow, wouldn't it be a bombshell to get data on the Spitzbergen incident so long contested among researchers? It would be worth a trip to his "sanctuary" in Alaska; that is, once you have strong evidence that Hart may have more data up his sleeve and ^{would be willing to} even join you in the hunt. A real challenge! Any way to check on Ingstad's residences in Anchorage through Police or Chamber of Commerce? Of course, he may be using an alias to avoid the long arm of the RCMP.

The Baber affair seems less traceable and could be no more than a wild story. I've run into many of this kind. In fact back in 1979 or 1980, as I recall, I got a lead to an attorney (and I believe his name was Baker) in California. After much time & expense, I finally reached Baber(?) who, in turn, told me I had the wrong Baber, and referred me to his brother in another city. Reaching him, believe it or not, I was told that the person I wanted was his brother. I got nowhere. I have lost my notes on this affair but if the name was truly, Baber, wouldn't that be a coincidence?

So, in my opinion, Ingot, is your man to pursue. Does he have relatives that Hart may know of?

In meantime I'm still waiting for promised info from several new sources. But, so far, I've got some back up info for the Roswell crash and in touch with an intelligence officer (retired) who was at IVC Guse AFB at time of the shooting incident in 1978. I plan to do an update article for MUFON Journal hopefully soon.

Sincerely Len Humphreys

10/17/87
10/17/87
10/17/87
10/17/87

Dear Len,

Received your letter of Oct 1, 87. Thanks for taking the time to write. I have seen the last C-45 article and Steinman's notes. I agree with all of what you say. A couple times.

- had previously said Steinman said he secured the MS-12 stuff as it goes against what he says of FETEC. But this is a MS-12 finding why didn't it mention FETEC. Either the document or Steinman is wrong. Also according to the Smith information Bush was the head, and therefore should be MS-1.

- agree Steinman maybe should have left out the MS-12 document from his book, especially since he used the sanitized copy by Moore, and "released under FOIA." The copy I got from Friedman was clean.

- However Steinman has an important piece of information. I thought he would have given you regarding MS-12. Since you wrote the intro to his book. He released it to me in confidence because I had uncovered the same information. I would tell you the details but after reading Steinman's book, he seems to take a dim light to people looking his discoveries. I'll I can say is he took a piece of information a couple researchers had discovered, and ran with it. As with his Sabacher material he again struck gold. He has a very valid reason for withholding it. I just thought since you wrote the intro you would know.

- I can see Moore putting down Steinman's work. I do however think he will be the loser, as now even

his Project Umanis material seems invaluable.
- as to the RCMP material. There are 1638
pages "pertaining to UFO sightings." 661 pages
are from 1947 to 1968 as per my request. I will
be receiving them tomorrow.

- make a request to Dept of External Affairs
relating to the whole Wilbert Smith - Canadian
Embassy affair. I have been informed some
"relevant documents" have been found. I don't
yet know what or how much.

- Mention Mrs. Smith will be taking, since
I will take my time before I write as I promised
only to ask 12 questions. She is a bit out of touch
with UFO work or researchers.

Chat

November 9, 87

Dear Grant

Got your letter and enclosures today re Walker, Sarbacher, Friedman and Steinman, etc and will answer immediately before I tackle my backlog of other mail. Appreciate trust in me with this confidential material and, of course, will not disclose any of it to anybody. Such information as yours helps me assess MJ-12, Aztec-Roswell, etc easier, even though it's still early to make any conclusions. Walker is, indeed, a key informant and I hope he can come through with more details with Steinman before he shuts up or, ~~is~~ is shut up.

Coincidentally, last week I received a letter from Stevens, in response to mine concerning Steinman's "inside" sources, and he ^{also} told me about Dr. Walker being a key source. He also asked me to keep his name confidential, so now I feel better. But your material goes deeper, involving Friedman, but I plan to make no "noise" in this sensitive area.

Other items of interest:

Received word from French source with good connections that he witnessed uncut version of NASA movie showing 6 UFOs descending to area on the moon. Taken by astronaut Borman on Apollo 8 mission. A few weeks later I got word from US airlines pilot who knows a pilot who is friend of Borman's with similar info. I have long heard of these rumors, but now I have direct sources.

No new word from police officer in New Mexico who knows aging man who witnessed an object being hauled away from Aztec on flatbed truck, etc. Called four times for more info but officer claims he's been too busy for follow up. This is important to me as we need all we can get to substantiate the Aztec case. I'm sure that Bill Moore will try to shoot down this case and Steinman's book when he can.

disentangle himself from the MJ-12 mess he created. Moore's role seems curious at times making one pause and wonder if he's a double agent. While he is being taken apart by Fawcett & Greenwood, he claims he's got some heavy support material, hidden away, to back up MJ-12 and may release it yet this year. He apparently let Moseley in on it, and others, but prefers to sit out while his "Rome" burns. In meantime researchers are getting impatient.

One last item for now. Last week I got word from lady living near Cincinnati who learned from United Parcel delivery man about a UFO crash in Brown County, Ohio. Not far from Hamilton where I live. He said the craft was about 12 ft diameter and that metal fragments were scattered about --also 3 humanoids. He also stated that his source told him that the military was called and came to collect everything including some fragments that he kept for himself. According to the story, the man managed to keep one fragment described as thin as tinfoil and indestructible (like Roswell). Needless to say I got right on the case, made all sort of covert arrangements to get to the site and talk to the source and hopefully get the piece of metal. Today was the day of truth, the day we all met. BUT, when the lady met the UP man, he denied the story completely. Somebody must have gotten to him first. He seemed awfully nervous she said and advised her that she would be in danger if she pursued the matter. The same old seenario. I will dig deeper, but I'm sure if the story is true I will get no further. Foiled again! Keep this confidential for time being.

Thanks again for the info you sent and your trust.

Sincerely

PS: And, pardon my typing goofs.



"flying saucers" are right up there with little green men and pink elephants on the believability scale. And we're encouraged in that regard by our government.

Why? Opinions vary. Some feel that the bureaucrats are simply reflecting the results of good, scientific investigation, and that written reports alone do not an alien make ... to which observers might counter that there are more upstanding citizens living who've seen space men than those who've seen, say, George Washington.

Others, presumably in positions to know, see federal reticence in quite a different light. According to those

Tucson Weekly News, Vol. 2, No. 1, January 7, 1981

**He related that he had
ess on a table within the
pain, with some sort of
his lower chest. Around
beings, which reminded
uman fetuses, dressed in
brown coveralls.**

from the sudden popular realization that there are other, and bigger, fish in the pond. But the government's non-response does nothing to bring its charges closer to being able to live with that fact. Tom would propose a metered release of information, a gradual heightening of UFO awareness so that when, and if, outsiders chose to make themselves widely known, the discovery would not prostrate a globe of humanoids.

If sources of like disposition are as qualified to offer opinions as Tom, he may yet see his approach adopted.

Holder of a security clearance above that of the president himself, Tom was asked to analyze the 624-page Grudge Report 13, the last of the series before the Air Force's UFO Project Grudge became Project Blue Book in the early 1950s, whose existence the service has consistently denied.

In the early 50s, of some 1500 sightings investigated to that date, nearly one-third were officially designated as "unknown," and only about 25 percent of the "knowns" were factually verified as ground-based aircraft or other man-made phenomena.

In this report, Tom indicates, the Air Force revealed the actual findings of its investigations. They didn't square with public pronouncements.

(b) No tracks or prints around the animal, including those found in soft sand

(c) Frequent instances of broken legs, as if the animal had been dropped

(d) A total absence of blood, within or around the animal

(e) A complete rejection of the carcass by predators, scavengers and maggots alike

(f) No rigor mortis, even after several days

—A composite of the physiology of the space traveler, reflected in the cover illustration and verified by at least one physician who had performed autopsies on alien corpses.

—The existence of approximately 30 such corpses at Wright Patterson and/or Langley air bases.

—The refusal by Wright-Patterson brass to permit Senator Barry Goldwater to view their UFO research facilities.

True? There is photographic evidence for some of it, qualified-witness testimony for the rest.

So what? So, say Tom, APRO and others, the time has come for government to stop patronizing those who authorize its existence, and reveal to them what they have paid it to find out.

individuals, the administrators who roam Washington's halls are engaged in a cover-up that would make Watergate look like child's play. The sources point to nearly a half-century of what they claim is official chicanery elevated to new and lofty heights. The government, they say, not only *knows* about space vehicles and aliens, but *has* at least one of each.

Fragments of facts emerge indicating that their suspicions may not be so far fetched.


Those associated with the government's UFO-related activities retire, or leave governmental service, or become disenchanted with what they perceive to be, at least, unnecessary bureaucratic shepherding.

Secrecy oaths, combined with the prospect of time in jail and \$10,000 fines, do an effective job of stemming single-source "whole story" leaks, but bits and pieces do come forth.

One example of a carrier of such information is "Tom," a pseudonym for a sincere, fully patriotic, yet disaffected former military analyst who endorses his government's aims, but not the methods for achieving them.

The final objective, he states, is to enjoin panic. The government numbers among its responsibilities the protection of its citizens. Though all encounters with our space visitors to date have been benign in nature, they have shown their technology, and quite probably intelligence, to be so vastly superior to ours that should their objectives ever shift from observation to conquest, we would be virtually defenseless. Thinking its citizenry incapable of dealing with this circumstance, Tom feels, the government's response has been to stick its head in the celestial sand.

Tom disagrees with this approach. He too, thinks that chaos could result



This photograph was taken by Rex Heflen moments after the one on the opposite page. Those who claim the photograph is a fake say that the photographer suspended a saucer-like object with a thread outside the window. However, the photo is generally considered to be authentic.

From that report, and others, some of which are beginning to surface as a result of judicious applications of the Freedom of Information Act, a whole string of officially-denied claims and facts begin to emerge:

—The recovery of three operating space craft, one of which was actually flown by two Air Force pilots. The two were supposedly killed when the craft exploded attempting to leave the atmosphere.

—The capture, live, of three alien beings, one of whom lives to this day in Air Force custody.

—Cattle and horse mutilations across the western U.S., with amazingly uniform characteristics, including:

(a) Incisions of surgical skill

Given the real and potential conflicts we've proven ourselves capable of generating on this shrinking planet, perhaps the addressing of an internationally common concern will provide the incentive, and the tools, for international cooperation.

And is this writer a believer? Well, he's never seen a UFO. But then he's never seen George Washington, either.

Michael Sheehan, a Tucson freelance writer, has written for a number of Southern Arizona publications including Tucson Magazine and Today's Business. He is currently public relations director for Owens and Associates in Tucson.

MUFON Mutual UFO Network, Inc.

WALTER H. ANDRUS, JR.
International Director

103 OLDTOWNE ROAD
SEGUIN, TEXAS 78155 U.S.A.

A Texas Non Profit Corporation

Telephone:
(512) 379-9216

THEODORE SCOTT CRAIN, JR.
State Section Director

Leonard H. Stringfield
4412 Grove Ave.
Cincinnati, Ohio 45227

May 17, 1988

Please Address Reply To:
T. SCOTT CRAIN, JR.

P.O. BOX 11
PORT MATILDA, PA 16870

Phone
Office 814-692-4000
Home 814-355-9711

Dear Leonard:


As you may know, Grant Cameron and I have been working together on a joint investigation to uncover individuals who attended those TOP-SECRET meetings at Wright-Patterson AFB in the early 1950s, as outlined by Sarbacher to Steinman.

When reviewing your The UFO CRASH/RETRIEVAL SYNDROME Status Report II, in case A-4, you indicate a Major had attended a 1952 high-level meeting at Wright-Patterson AFB, and had even been given an opportunity to visit an underground chamber where alien bodies were held in deep freeze.

We would like to obtain more information about those meetings and was hoping you would supply more details about your informant. It's not so much we want to know who he is, as we are wanting to question him about the meetings. Obviously, we would like to contact him directly, but if you find that is impossible, would you consider directing a letter to him on our behalf?

In light of the recent MJ-12 documents, (The group exist whether the documents are real or not), do you have any other information about what went on and who else attended, besides who we already know about?

I'm sorry we didn't get more of a chance to talk to each other at the MUFON symposium in Washington, D.C.. The subject of UFO crashes and extraterrestrial visitors is gaining more acceptance in the field, in light of all the new evidence surfacing recently. Hope to hear from you soon.

Sincerely,

T. Scott Crain, Jr.

MUFON UFO JOURNAL

The Monthly Magazine of the Mutual UFO Network

DEDICATED TO THE SCIENTIFIC STUDY OF UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS

L.H. STRINGFIELD
4412 GROVE AVENUE
CINCINNATI, OHIO 45227 U.S.A.
(513) 271-4248

June 7, 1988

Dear Scott,

Regarding your inquiring letter re "Major," cited in Case A4 in my Status Report II, I hasten to say that he is not reachable at this time and, in my last chat with him by phone (while visiting relatives in Cincinnati) he was not disposed to discuss the subject of UFOs. He said he might call when he returned to Cincinnati, but I doubt it.

Retired as a Colonel, he said that he knew that I was "monitored", admitted that he was aware of MJ-12 but would not comment on any of my questions on past or current issues. Years ago when I talked with him re retrieved craft and bodies he seemed to be more open on the subject, however, he did not say much about the meeting at Wright-Fat. I believe that he had been advised since that time to clam up. About five years ago, when he lived in Cincinnati, I tried to reach him on a C/R matter, but he never responded to my calls. His wife invariably answered the phone. I gave up.

Such behavior is typical of all my informants. Out of 29 firsthand sources over the years not one is currently available. Most became unreachable shortly after our initial communication. Even my source in Status Report IV, re Ft. Dix-McGuire case, left the States 17 months ago for overseas employment. Perhaps, safer there.

In past six weeks a new source surfaced to relate some C/R information and promised to return for more confidential exchanges. Then, silence. After a month, the source called briefly to relate that he was advised to shut up.

I do know from others that a clampdown on security is in effect since January in deference to high-level meetings on the UFO problem.

Glad to hear you're working closely with Grsnt for whom I have the greatest respect.

Sincerely,



Dear Grant,

Oct 25, 1987

Appreciate your informative letter re Cytex case and attempts to get additional information re Wilbert Smith from the Dept of External Affairs. I hope your efforts are productive, as things seem to be heating up on the UFO scene since Moore released the controversial MS-12 documents. Much is to be said for the criticism of Fawcett & Greenwood in JUST CAUSE and, by Moreley in Saucer Swear who believe the papers are a hoax. But, it's a bit too early to make any conclusions. Some believe that Moore is behind the hoax to build up his Roswell case or for other more sinister reasons. Double agent? - But, I believe if the MS-12 papers are a hoax then Moore was duped - and this will seriously hurt UFO research credibility. And, like you say, if MS-12 is for real then the Cytex case can be questioned. Time may tell or will it?

In this potpourri, the mysterious Steiner source re Cytex may play an important role if and when ~~the~~ challenged by research or by Moore.

I find it difficult to believe that Steinman has not shared his key source with me. Certainly he should know that I have always kept my trust with confidential sources and that he will need my support in the long run. But Steinman behaves in strange ways.

It was also hard for me to believe he may have handled the Sebacher disclosures. After he got the name in my Status Report III, I referred him to the address of my source and then, silence. Once he got responses from Sebacher he chose to give it to Moore, Wosley & others and ignored me. Had I known of his successes I could have helped him in many ways. But Nat's water under the bridge and he knows he made a major goof in sharing it with the wrong people.

Apart from Moore & Steinman, I was ^{recently} led to an independent source who allegedly served in A11-12. Making contact, I was unable to get admission, of course, but the individual was, indeed, well-informed on CTO matters.

Also, I'm working on another source, ~~who~~ a police officer in New Mexico who knows of two people who recall the Ceylan incident.

One is a gentleman in mid-80's, who related to the officer several years ago that he saw the flat bed truck hauling something, under protective cover, away from the crash site and was told that he would be prosecuted if he talked about it.

The police officer promised he would try to trace down the source and get a statement for me. Perhaps the source is dead by now, but the officer's word is good testimony.

Good luck in your quest with the RCMP, and again, thanks for sharing info and your thoughts with me. Be assured you can trust me with any sensitive material. Nothing will be published without your permission.

Sincerely
Len

Oct 1-87

Dear Grant

appreciate your letter of Aug 24 and the Sorbocker and Steinman enclosures.

This past week I received my "review" copy of Steinman's book, The Crash at Cylex, to which I wrote the Foreword. The book is not too well written, but it does contain a lot of good information in support of a crash in that area. Steinman did manage to track down some evasive leads. Bill Moore knocks the Cylex case - and Steinman - but he's now having problems with his documents re M-12. The latest issue of JOST CARS really puts some heat on Moore to come through with other data he claims to have. Will see.

I do have some info from good source of a 10 crash in 1953 in Arizona. The case I wrote up in my Status Report II "The 10 Crash / Retrieval Syndrome". I have only file copies of this monograph, but they are still available at MCFON for \$6.00. The books, according to my informant were sent to Wright Pat. Don't know about the whereabouts of the craft.

I have no address for Mrs Wilbert Smith. Arthur Bray may know as he's been in close touch with her over the years.

Good luck on your request from RCMP.
I rather imagine they are tight-lipped on UFO matters.

Stearns' book should be an eye-opener for researchers, but I should imagine he'll ~~be~~ be in for much criticism for not qualifying much of his material in MS-12. Also the book will be demigrated for including Wendell Stearns' name in the book. However, I feel that whatever Stearns may have done wrong to go to jail, it really has no bearing on the material he offered. I don't "know" the ~~more~~ ~~more~~ ~~more~~ contact case which Stearns supports, but it should have no bearing on other material I know he has from good sources.

Sincerely
Len Humphrey