



but assume it was related to the otherpiece. It was found in the latter part of June and sold on the 4 July 60. The reason No 1 was not treated in the same way was that it was too heavy. This chap pushed it 50 feet or so with a small tractor then gave up. We do not know how CARDE found out about it, but about 3 weeks later they came down and picked it up.

Where the lad had pushed object No 1 we picked up 6 or 8 pieces, none over a pound. The object itself is in Valcartier we understand.

All the local people spoken to knew about the rusty old iron but none supported the idea it fell from the sky. It seemed odd that the object was known to have been found but no detail was forthcoming when we tried to pursue the circumstances of its occurrence. It wasn't there, then it was. They spoke of an object yet there were two, perhaps the one CARDE picked up was the most noteworthy so it became the conversation piece.

A follow up check with the cottage owner may turn up something about the circumstances. A point in this regard is the absence of any crater or other mark on the shale bed at or near where the objects were found. With about six feet of tide the possibilities of its being deposited by river bourns means are limited.

To reconstruct a conversation the shapes are somewhat as follows.



Perhaps they were placed to attract attention.