

Carol & Buck

- Questions
- ① What qualifications did Wilbert Smith & his colleagues have for metallurgical analysis?
 - ② How experienced & knowledgeable were they in the reactions of various metals to exposure to acetylene flame, under varying conditions of heat exposure? (See Report p. 5)
 - ③ Re "Topside" No. 27 p. 9 - Was one of these government scientists Dr. E. Smith of EMR? If so, it then took 4 years for the report to be issued, not 2 years. He admitted (6/10/69) that he had done a fair amount of work on "pieces" of this metal. ^(Yes. See Topside Feb. '70)
 - ④ Was analysis made by Dr. Smith using his "new" equipment or by use of the usual equipment?
 - ⑤ Did Millman ever confirm or deny to Carol that he was the "federal anthropy" who invited Arthur Matthews to test the metal? (Carol's letter of 9 Dec '69, p. 2)
 - ⑥ Can a large chunk of slag be lifted by crane simply by the insertion of a "pipe" in the middle? Would it not require a hook, or a loop (eye) for insertion of a hook? How can we find this out? i.e., what is actual procedure?
 - ⑦ Carol's letter of 9 Dec '69 to Millman says that CARDE stated there was a small electronic pitting device found and an electronic component which appeared to be a transistor.
But in Topside No. 20 says that CARDE's investigation "did not reveal any electronic components"
Conflict here - whose report was this latter one? Show me.