


 J. M. S. E. M.
 J. M. S. E. M.

June 2, 1966

November 10, 1959

Dear Mr. Siegfand,


I finally got around to answering your letter, and to try and explain my position with respect to the gravity research project underway here.

In the first place, we are no where near being able to produce a gravity motor, and I am not altogether sure that this can be done in precisely this way. I believe that eventually we will be able to make use of energy differentials in nature which are inaccessible to us at the present time, but in no case will we ever "get something for nothing". Our hydro power is an example of using energy differentials but we still have to pay a hydro bill.

Our work here is directed to learning the rules for gravity control. We know what gravity is, and something about its behaviour, but we have much to learn yet before we will even be able to lift a brick. So far our work has resulted in gravity control of about 1%, so you see, we have at least 99% to go.

I am sorry that I haven't anything more substantial to offer at the present time, but I hope that eventually we will be able to learn enough about gravity to put it to work for us.

Yours truly



A. B. Smith

August 5, 1959

Dear Bill,

Your letter was most welcome, as I always like to hear from you as you seem to gather quite a bit of information. I shall try to deal with all the points raised, although I am afraid I can't contribute much beyond what you already have.

I think that you might be a bit hasty in dismissing "telepathic" communication with these people from elsewhere, since I know that the system they use does resemble telepathy to some extent, but it actually is electrical, even though they have cut out most of the intervening equipment such as microphones, earphones, vocal cords etc. I have generated this energy in the lab, but I am not smart enough to do anything with it; I only know that it exists, and the boys from topside admit that it is what they use.

I cannot comment on the True Sept. 52 article as I just don't know. I do have knowledge from excellent sources that saucers HAVE crashed and fallen into the hands of earth people. I have even handled some "hardware", but all this is buried deep in classification and may as well be in the fourth dimension for all the good it is in establishing the reality of the craft or the people who manipulate them.

This summer we have seen quite a few saucers. One in particular has kept almost a schedule, coming out of the north-west, passing directly overhead to about 30° above the south-east horizon, turning sharply to the north east and proceeding about 30° and then reversing direction abruptly and back tracking to a bit beyond his first turn, abruptly reversing direction again and dropping down towards the horizon and out of sight. He seems to be very high and goes about twice as fast as a sputnik, which we have seen many times. Through the binoculars he seems to be just a bright disc. It is NOT an airplane as we live $1\frac{1}{2}$ miles from the airport and are quite familiar with all modern planes. Furthermore, planes just don't suddenly reverse direction!

Don't worry too much about conventional science as it is hopelessly complicated, very much more than necessary. True, it is useful, but does it the hard way. Gravity CANNOT be explained by orthodox science, although it might seem to be possible at first sight. Such attempts will go only so far and bog down because a concept is needed which is not in our science. We think we have this concept, and our efforts with gravity seem to bear this out. There are three basic fields in nature, which manifest mathematically as gradient, divergence and curl, or; tempic, electric and magnetic. Time we think is the reciprocal of the derivative of the tempic

The enclosed essay is an attempt to bridge the gap between conventional science and what we are doing with gravity. I hope that you will find it interesting. Our experimental work as yet is far from complete, but we have altered gravity by about 1%, and extrapolating within our technology we should be able to pass 100%. Of course it is slow when we have to do all the work ourselves and pay for the bits and pieces out of our own resources. But it is probably better this way as we are sure that it isn't going to be exploited or secured for military only, as too many open people know the basic principles.

Sincerely

Wilbert

Wilbert.

W.B.S.

J. MORTON DUNLAP, 7100 S.W. 106th, PORTLAND 6, Ore.

May 2nd. 1959

Dear Mr. Myers,

I am so sorry that you didn't get my letter thanking you for the tapes, because we certainly appreciate them very much. Did I send you enough money to cover them? You see I don't know how much they cost in the States and I go only by Canadian prices.

We are playing the tapes at our Ottawa Flying Saucer Club meetings which we hold every month on the second Tuesday. We play two episodes each meeting and then discuss them. The club find them most interesting. There is one general question which seems to be raised at almost every meeting; that is; How much of the information on the tapes has been cross checked with other INDEPENDENT contacts? In our own investigations we have a formula that information received from only one source is only 50% probably correct; two sources which are entirely independent telling the same story raise the probability up to 75%, and three sources raise it to 87½%, etc.

I have taken the privilege of referring occasional people to you who wanted to get material for radio or TV shows on flying saucers, and whom I thought might be able to use the tapes to advantage, if you folks and the boys topside were agreeable.

I shall be most pleased to hear from you again, and I sincerely trust that this letter arrives OK. Please give my best regards to Miss Dowd. I see Russell every few weeks, but somehow I always manage to be pretty busy, so please forgive the inevitable delays in writing.

As ever



W. B. Smith

April 8, 1959

Dear Mr. Tomaszewski,

I have your letter of April 4th, but I am afraid that it doesn't help me to form any opinion at all as to the matters referred to in your original letter.

You must appreciate that it is not possible for anyone to arrange for any financial commitment on as scanty information as you have presented. While I do control a very well equipped laboratory and staff, I cannot divert effort onto any project without knowing just what it is.

I am doing research work myself on gravity control and making good progress in collaboration with other people engaged in the same sort of activity. We enjoy a complete interchange of ideas and findings and I feel sure that eventually mankind will be given this knowledge.

Would you be good enough to outline just what sort of material you have, and whether or not it is in the idea stage, or has some experimental work been done and if so to what degree of success. I am not asking for anything like a complete disclosure, but in order to evaluate the matter I must at least know what it is.

Yours truly



W. B. Smith

March 3, 1959

Dear Mr. Trench,

I am glad that you liked my little parable on science; there are those who won't. People don't like to be lampooned particularly when the lampooning is justified, but the squawks will come from those with the narrowest minds, and it is just possible that it MIGHT start a few thinking.

I regret that I am not at liberty to give information regarding people who are contacts as they have expressed a wish to remain completely anonymous and since I appreciate their views and the reasons behind them, I feel that I must respect these wishes. All I can say is that there are MANY who are contacts, some sporadically and some continuously. There are probably several among your close friends. I knew one man for about a year before I found that he was a contact.

I have never met any of these people from elsewhere myself face to face, although several of my friends have, and I have confidence in their veracity. I have communicated with them by radio and by "tensor beam", and indirectly through contacts, and I can honestly say that I am as well acquainted with some of these people from outside as I am with people with whom I work at my office. There is no doubt in my mind as to their existence or that they are what they say they are.

As you say, the time is running short, and I feel that big events are brewing, which will lead us to a better understanding of many things. How near, I can't say; I can only guess. Maybe someone really knows but if he does he hasn't told me.

You ask me why there is no official story about the reality of saucers. I think it is a combination of circumstances, the most important one being that it is not in the present sequence that we should be told. The present order with its distributed responsibility does not lend itself to readjustment in thinking or action, and any change must start at the bottom and work its way up. As you know, the only time a government takes an interest in something new is when it can tax it!

There is one factor regarding saucers which Bender and several others knew, and which may not be stated at the present time, and extreme action may be taken to prevent such disclosure. Enough said.

As for the inconsistencies reported by the various contacts, I am not in the least disturbed by them. There are many times as many people off this planet as on it, and they live under all sorts of conditions. They are at a variety of stages of evolution, and their interests are bound to be more varied than ours. Consequently, it is logical to me that the views which we get of them are bound to vary quite a bit.

Furthermore, we have mistakenly drawn a heavy veil between our spiritual world and our physical world, and there are even many to whom the spiritual world is something vague to be mentioned only on Sunday. I understand from the people from elsewhere that such is not generally the case with them. For many of them there is no real veil between the physical and the spiritual and communication and participation between the two is quite the normal thing. After all we understand so little; so that when anything is a bit beyond us we suspect it.

There is an occult saying that when the student is ready the teacher will come. I think this is true. The only trouble is that many of us think we are ready long before we really are. In my own case I have received no help so long as I could progress on my own. I don't ask for help now, until I have reached my limit.

The foregoing are my own views and are NOT FOR PUBLICATION, as there is still quite a bit of learning and readjustment of ideas to be undergone by Yours Truly. However, I do not mind in the least discussing them with you.

This has developed into more than a note, however, we have covered quite a bit of ground. Please excuse my typing but sometimes I get ahead of my fingers and mistakes result.

Sincerely yours



W. B. Smith

Feb 26, 59

Dear Russell,

Many thanks for your prompt reply to my letter and your kind acquiescence to my request to act as director of Space Foundation, if and when such comes to fact.

I got a phone call from Young the other day and he says that the motor should be up in about 6 weeks. I had understood that it was the first of March, but Young says that Carr is having it built out west and wants to test it himself prior to sending it up here. It sounds reasonable, but it could be a stall.

Our gravity work is proceeding well. We have positive lab results, and a good bit of the theory worked out. I feel quite confident now that we know what gravity really is, and some of the rules. There is a good chance that we might be able to develop spacecraft quite on our own if we had to. So I am not worried about Carr, if he has something, the chances are that I will find it within my understanding; if not, there is a good chance I can get there by myself anyhow. His spacecraft design certainly seems to be consistent with what we have found out, so I am inclined to believe that it is as represented.

Since the motor tests are to be a month or more away, do you plan to visit Ottawa soon? Remember, the welcome mat is always out, and there are many things which we can find to discuss.

Sincerely

Wilbert

February 23, 1959

Dear Mr. Caswell,

I appreciate your efforts in trying to bring to the public attention the facts concerning flying saucers, but I must advise you that many sequences are yet to be fulfilled before the public generally will be able to accept the reality of the people from elsewhere.

I have no objection ~~XXX~~ to your efforts to have my articles published by your local paper but I would request that ALL biographical material concerning myself be omitted. I feel that the articles themselves should stand on their own two feet. I have no objection to having my name appended or a reference to my HOME address.

For your information EVERY nation on this planet has been officially informed of the existance of the space craft and their occupants from elsewhere, and as nations they must accept responsibility for any lack of action or for any official position which they may take. There is nothing more to be done ~~XXXXX~~ along these lines, and effort in this direction is effort wasted.

Since you are not too far from North Bay I would suggest that you might find Mr. Richard H. Frampton 32 Gertrude St. West, worth getting in touch with. He and two friends visited me last fall and I found them most interesting.

Yours sincerely



W. B. Smith

Feb. 2nd. 1959

Dear Bill,

Many thanks for your most interesting letter and enclosures; I enjoyed them very much. To supplement the matter Bob Lamb was here last week and we had a very short talk and he left me a couple of tapes concerning the same sightings.

I am much amused at your attempts to get a copy of my Project Magnet report. You will recall that I remarked last March that I didn't think you had the chance of the proverbial snowball of prying it loose. No Minister in his right mind is going to release ANY report which in any way might prove embarrassing or give rise to questions which he or his colleagues might find difficult to answer. However, I can assure you that the report does not PROVE anything as it is based entirely on probability weightings. What is in the report is quite correct, but a 91% probability that the objects are real, and a 60% probability that they are alien vehicles cannot be said to prove anything. This is precisely the same situation as exists in the States, and the boys from outside have said that they WILL NOT at this time give the nations PROOF. They wish to be accepted on the basis of decisions freely made by the individual on evidence which he himself has, and not through direction.

I am enclosing a reprint of two articles which I prepared for Flying Saucer Review. They were published last year and our Flying Saucer Club reprinted them for distribution to new members as a sort of preliminary indoctrination. Incidentally, these two articles contain very much more than my report because we didn't really get into step until after the Project Magnet was officially dropped.

Lately we have been experimenting with gravity and with some success. Prof. Hooper of Principia College, and Joel Fisher of New York announced last August a breakthrough in gravity. I felt quite fortunate to be present at such an event because, whether or not it is immediately recognized, that day was a turning point in the history of our race. It was shown that WE, within our resources, can monkey with gravity. I spoke to Prof. Hooper at the Conference and have been in correspondence with him since and we have exchanged many ideas. We don't quite see eye to eye on what is behind the experiment, but it is too early yet to worry about such fine points. Our experiment gave artificial gravity of about 35 miligals, and was set up somewhat differently than the Fisher-Hooper experiment. We want in particular to study the structure of the artificial gravity field, both from the point of view of its distribution and the corresponding tempic field structure. If you are interested I shall be most pleased to pass along more information.

You enquired about infra red detection devices. I made one up with an infra red photocell tube and audio amplifier, together with a modulated light (infra red) transmitter. It worked

very well technically, but the boys topside ignored it completely. Upon enquiry I was informed that as and when they wanted to talk to me they would arrange matters, regardless of any equipment I might provide. I know from experience that they are quite capable of doing just this. It is most frustrating because I find, along with many others, that we just don't control this situation.

I am returning the clippings and the letters, and wish to thank you for your consideration in providing them. I would appreciate hearing anything further along these lines which you might uncover.

If you are interested, I would suggest that you write to Dr. W. J. Hooper, The Principia College, Elsau, Illinois.

I must close now, as I still have some more ground to cover, and I never seem to have quite enough time to get all the way round.

Best regards

Mike Hart

b
d
s
+
y

January 11, 59

Dear Dave,

I don't know who really owes who a letter, but it seems that I havn't written to you for quite a while, and I was very glad to get your letter of the 4th.

You ask a number of questions about Ottis T. Carr. I know something about this setup, so I shall try to enlighten you. In the first place it is a large tangle of corporations and subsidiary companies, all of which have a good credit rating. While I have visited their establishment in Baltimore, I did not see any working models. I did see several mock-ups and bits and pieces. I met most of the people involved and they seem most sincere. The whole setup was explained to me as being a deliberate camaflage for the eventual introduction of some of the technology from Topside, which would raise Cain with our economic structure, and so it must be sneaked into position. Apparently, they have gone out of their way to establish reputations as affluent, but harmless screwballs.

Carr assured me that the plans which are sold are WORKING plans, and will need only the eventual exchange of the immitation part for the real thing. The real parts will be provided later, and only to properly qualified people. I do not know how the units are supposed to work, but I do know enough about the basic principles of the new science to have confidence that something along these lines ought to work.

I read with great interest the items about the sight without vision. I have heard about this phenomenon from time to time but I have never come very close to it, and I have never had the time to run it to earth. I would appreciate greatly any further information which you might be able to round up.

It is amazing the number of references that filter back to me about this coil. I have explained to many what it is and suggested that they investigate its properties, but every one seems to expect me to tell them the magic words to make it perform miracles. IT IS A CONVERTER OF ENERGY FROM ORDINARY RADIO FREQUENCY TO TENSOR. After the conversion comes the trick of learning to control it, as it must be done MENTALLY. I cannot teach this because I do not know how myself. The boys topside say that it takes them at least 10 years to learn how to do it.

Yes I know about Pelly's efforts with the transcendental TV, but I have no details. All I can say is that I think the veil is getting pretty thin and may be torn away at any time.

I doubt if I will be at the NY IRE this year. I am very busy at the office and have other irons in the fire as well. It was very good to hear from you.

Best regards

October 14, 1958


Dear Mr. Loomis,

I have at last been able to get around to answering your letter of last 26th August. I hope I can help you.

Project Magnet was a semi-official investigation of the flying saucer phenomenon and a study of physical principles associated with it. No published material is available, but the investigation quite parallels that of the USAF, which was reported on by Edward Ruppelt in The Report of Unidentified Flying Objects.

Our recent studies have been on the basic principles upon which this Universe is founded, but it is yet too soon for this information to be made public. However, I can direct you into what I think will be a profitable field of study. I would suggest that you write to The Gravity Research Foundation, New Boston, New Hampshire, and ask for further direction.

Yours truly

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'W.B. Smith', with a stylized flourish at the end.

W.B.Smith

November 29, 1958

Dear Mr. Popowitch,

I am answering your letter of Nov. 21, privately, as Project Magnet was officially dropped by the Government of Canada in 1954, and there no longer exists an active official group within the Government. Unfortunately all the activities of Project Magnet within the framework of the Government activity are classified, but in response to the great many requests which I have received as to what became of the project I have prepared the enclosed statement.

I regret that I will be unable to answer most of your questions for the reasons set forth above, but I trust that you will find some of that for which you seek in the two Review articles which I prepared.

In posting your representative with questions please be sure the questions ask exactly what you want to know. If you ask, "Does the Airforce have any saucer hardware?" you will get "No", truthfully. The hardware is not held by the Airforce.

I am informed that all governments have been informed officially of the existence and reality of the people from elsewhere, but there is no pressure to get governments to accept them; this being the responsibility of everyone.

We have a saucer club here in Ottawa, and we would like very much to receive any sighting data which your group could provide.

With respect to Mr. Mathews, I have not as yet investigated this lead, but expect to do so in the near future. I do think that Mr. Carr may have what he claims. I have done some work myself on gravity control, enough to satisfy myself that we can do it if we put the effort into it. Also, we have the fact of the spacecraft which obviously use these same means for energy, support and propulsion.

I trust that I shall hear from you again, in due course.

Yours truly



W. B. Smith

October 4, 1958

Dear Don,

I note from the last issue of the Investigator that you are having your problems. I have a few suggestions in this regard, the majority of which are contained in my answers to your questionnaire.

I think that it is a futile battle to try and knock down the wall of secrecy erected by officialdom around the subject of UFOs, although I must admire you for trying! I also think that there is already in the hands of the public through published data, more than enough evidence to convince the most hard shelled skeptic, if they will only study it, and the only merit in unveiling the archives of the Airforce is to add a bit more evidence.

I feel sure that NICAP is precisely what it seems to be; a rather loosely knit organization trying to get at the facts regarding UFOs.

I do NOT agree with NICAP policy on contact stories. I have spent too many hours conversing with people from elsewhere to have any doubts about their reality or that they are what they claim to be. Those of us who have been fortunate enough to have made contact with these people have learned a great deal, and profited greatly through this knowledge, in those things which really count, which we can take with us.

I am quite satisfied with the present Board of Governors and vote for their re-election.

I most certainly will do all I can to promote NICAP and secure new members, but I think if NICAP took a more realistic view of the FACTS behind the UFOs it would be more appealing to the general public.

I have a very recent communication from one of the boys topside, to the effect that our physicists are going to carry out an experiment dealing with the lifetime of mesons at high velocity. The results of this experiment will, if interpreted correctly, establish definitely the field nature of TIME and the fact that it is not a constant immutable quantity. I have been requested to bring this to the attention of the scientists involved, so that they can follow up the lead to the obvious advantage of our world. Could you try, through your connections in Washington to find out about this and advise me?

I would appreciate greatly hearing from you, if only a note. With kindest personal regards.


W.B. Smith

September 8, 1958

Dear Mr. Norman,

I was very pleased to get your letter and the copy of Understanding with a portion of your article in it. I am, naturally, quite interested in your contact and source of information. I would appreciate any information along this line you may care to send me.

The material on the tape which you heard was prepared in response to many requests for a statement as to what I thought about the UFOs, and their background of philosophy. I have since put this material in typed form and I am sending you a copy.

I have no other tapes or material that I can disseminate, since most of my efforts have been along the lines of "convincing myself". I have done quite a bit of work along the lines of basic physics, but I have been requested by the boys from topside not to publish any of this yet, as the world is not yet ready. You see, there are many earth people who have each been entrusted with a portion of the new knowledge, but until certain events have taken place this information may not be assembled into the whole.

I think that Mr. Fry is doing a splendid job of bringing the new philosophy to the public, and he and many others deserve much credit. However, we have a long way to go yet before we will be ready for the acceptance of our brothers from elsewhere.

Yours truly



W. B. Smith

Dear Professor Allan,

Last March I promised to write to you and send some information on flying saucers, but between my many other commitments and a bad memory I just didn't get around to it.

I am enclosing a cople of documents which I have prepared in answer to the very many enquiries which I have received from time to time regarding my saucer investigation. I hope that these will prove interesting and possibly of value.

Last week I attended a conference on Gravity at the Gravity Research Foundation, New Boston, New Hampshire. The highlight of the conference wa a progress report on work being done by Joel Fisher and Prof. Hooper on the creating of artificial gravity. True the artificial gravity so far produced is small, but it is well within the limits of modern measuring instruments, and so far as we know all extraneous effects have been eliminated. It looks at long last as if we on earth have broken through in this field, and since it has been shown that WE can monkey with gravity a little bit, the next step is to control it fully.

My own work in assembling the basic science continues, but like everything else when there are few hands, progress is slow. I would like very much to hear further from you and exchange ideas on various matters of mutual interest.

Yours truly



Professor W. K. Allan
2025 - 29th. Ave. SW
Calgary, Alberta

W. B. Smith

May 28, 1958

Dear Don,

Many thanks for your letter, which I much appreciate particularly since you are so very busy. I have noted your remarks about my manuscript, and I quite understand the position. You will certainly not hurt my feelings by not publishing it, if you feel the timing is not appropriate. This article is essentially the substance of a number of talks which I have given to local organizations, mostly church groups and strangely enough, embracing many denominations.

I realize that the publishing of this article would take the organization past the point of no return in the interpretation of the saucer phenomenon. The decision on this is of course a matter for the board to make. I will leave the article with you since I think that things may happen quite suddenly and the picture change sufficient to warrant its use.

Incidentally, I was quite impressed with your presentation on FRONT PAGE CHALLENGE. I was tipped off by the CBC that you were going to be on, otherwise I'm afraid that I might have missed it as I seldom watch TV. I heard a good many comments after the show, and none of them derogatory. In fact, arising from the show I was invited to give a talk which I did last night, to a local scientific group.

Things are much the same with me as they have been for some time, quite busy as usual, with personal projects and the inevitable saucer work. I am on the trail of several phenomena which may prove interesting in the field of gravity control. I am advised, though I can't say with what accuracy, that the Russians have mastered the rudiments of gravity control, and expect to have a vehicle operating in this manner aloft in about a year. Maybe this is how they launched their big sputniks. However, we shall see.

Sincerely yours



W. B. Smith

PS. We now have house mail delivery, so my address is,-
10 Lotta Ave.
OTTAWA 5
Ontario

May 9, 1958

Dear Professor Cullwick,

I stupidly mislaid your letter and didn't locate it until just now, so I shall hasten to reply in the hopes of again becoming established in your good graces.

I was most interested in your comments about the ageing and velocity of light and the theory of relativity. I agree with you in principle but not quite for the same reasons. I am informed by the people from "elsewhere" that our universe is actually twelve dimensioned, built of four fabrics of three dimensions each. The basic reality is spin, which is a fundamental concept and from which all is obtained. Our space fabric comes from the structure of spin. Our field fabric comes from the behaviour of spin. The higher fabrics are in turn derived from more complex properties.

I am informed that what our clocks register is not actually time, but rate of change of entropy and that time is actually a field which makes the entropy change; that there is very little difference between time and the other two fields which make up the field fabric, magnetic and electric. It is true that there is a "skew" between the time field and what our clocks do, otherwise there would be no components between the space and field fabrics, and no interchange of matter and energy.

The people from elsewhere are quite capable of altering the time field in their vicinity to their own ends, much the same as electric and magnetic fields may be manipulated; and therefore, having three variables to play with there are many more combinations possible, and so the saucers can do things which seem to contradict our physics, because we hold as constants some of the things which they vary. There is ample evidence about us to confirm these things, if we will but look at it squarely and not try to explain it away as something else. Lately there have been a surprising number of references in the literature implying the field nature of time. I don't know how much of this is inspired and how much our people figured out for themselves, but the fact remains that this is the trend. Of course, at best we are still in the kindergarten in these matters, and it may be many long years before we can join our brothers from elsewhere in space, but at least we now have the clues.

With best regards

W. C. T.

May 9, 1958

Dear Bob,

As you requested in your letter of Feb 12 I had a look to see if I could locate The Identity Theory, but no luck. However, in my own work I have been able to pass well beyond the material in that book, which was an excellent stepping stone, but in the light of information which I now have it is hardly adequate. Furthermore, it is horribly complicated as I think I remarked to you at the time.

As you may have heard I have been actively engaged for the past eight years in studying the UFOs and we have found out quite a bit about them. They are real spacecraft, operated by people like us, who are keeping an eye on things here. We have been in touch with them and have obtained considerable data upon which we are working towards a better understanding of the laws of the Universe. These people are away ahead of us in all ways, but nevertheless our friends, and are willing to help us; but not to the extent of giving us a technology with which to make better and bigger bombs.

They tell me that our universe is actually twelve dimensioned, built in four fabrics of three dimensions each. The fabrics are all at right angles to each other except for the "skew" which is responsible for the frozen reality which we call matter. If you wish to follow this approach it is not too difficult, just think of nothing at all and then inject into it a single concept of spin. Furthermore arrange the spin so that it is distributed according to an inverse distance law from its center. Then, remember that "A field is a region which has an unique characteristic", and sort out all the characteristics of the spin, and identify them with known fields. It is much easier than you may think and the result will be an understanding of the true basis for the matter of our universe. When you feel that you have beaten this into submission, drop a note and I shall tell you how to approach the energy fabric.

All for now, best regards

W.B. SMITH *Smith*

unpublished

March 31, 1958

Dear Mr. Burrridge,


Many thanks for your letter of Feb. 17 which I am somewhat slow in answering. I very much enjoyed the monograph on the Biefeld-Brown effect, and hope that before long I shall be able to do a little laboratory work along these lines.

While I have been quite interested in gravity, I have felt that one must creep before one walks, let alone, run. So I have been spending my effort along the lines of the real fundamental principles upon which our Universe is built, starting from a complete void, absolutely nothing at all, no matter, no space, no time, no anything. I can assure you that the concept of nothing at all is most difficult to get, and is quite terrifying when you do get it! However, from the primoidal concept of spin the entire universe as we know it and inhabit it can be derived, and quite simply too. The conventional theories of space-time-fields which we are taught are actually special cases, and derived from the fundamentals by holding several factors constant. Unfortunately, the models of atoms, etc. which we conjure up are inadequate and misleading and conventional science which is based on them, and using the restricted theories, naturally can't take us very far. It is as if we have climbed the tree of knowledge, crawled out on the first branch we came to and are now busily engaged calipering the twigs; ignoring completely the other branches.

I would much appreciate anything you could direct me to in regard to gravity matters, as I feel that I now have a reasonable background to start working along these lines. I do not subscribe to the Round Robin although I see the occasional copy, and find it quite interesting. However I get so much material that I find it hard to do it all justice.

I am returning the monograph, and I again wish to thank you very much for letting me study it.

With kindest personal regards

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'W. B. Smith', with a stylized, cursive flourish at the end.

W. B. Smith

February 10, 1958

Dear Dave,

I was very pleased to get the nice long letter from you. I do not know who owes who a letter, but I hasten to get back in your good graces by answering yours promptly.

Several of the items covered in your letter we already know about. We have a good friend in Toronto, Russel Stelk, who knows his way around in UFO circles as well as in the Borderline Sciences, and he keeps us pretty well informed. However, we sure appreciate your passing along information because we often miss things. The note re Adamski and the press release is interesting, but I am rather dubious of it. I cannot imagine such a release being made and me having no indication here in Canada about it.

I am so glad that you and the Buchlis have found much in common. I am afraid that I owe them a letter or tape or something, and my conscience twinges badly.

I do not see how I can get to the N.Y. IRE as I will be winding up a lecture tour about the same time. I have to go to about a dozen IRE meetings across Canada and talk about Engineering in Spectrum Management, and in between times inspect three monitoring stations which have been recently established. I expect to return to Ottawa the evening of March 26, so I don't see how I can make N.Y. However, if it should be at all possible for you to do so we would like very much to have you stop off here on your way back. Our welcome mat is out!

I enjoyed your reference to the Soulcraft Chapels. Mr. Stelk is a friend of Mr. Pelley of very long standing, and we are quite familiar with his work. I am much intrigued with the Ethervision gadget and I sincerely hope that it works as it is supposed to do. If souls can commune directly across the ether barrier, it will do much to civilize those on this side! (Do not be concerned about my passing along confidential information; you are probably aware yourself how little I tell people until they have opened the subject.)

I did not know that Williamson had returned from Peru. Do you have his present address? There is much more here than meets the eye, as you may know.

I do not know of the LIFE LABS although I have seen the odd reference to items of that general nature. I presume that you are aware of the work done by George De La Warr in England? This seems to be quite parallel to the activities of the LIFE LABS.

With regard to "anti gravity", I know of several types of experiments which are being investigated, some of which are cleared through the Gravity Research Foundation, New Boston, New Hampshire. However, from what I have learned from the boys topside, the idea is good but the approach is bad. We must understand what gravity is before we can use it. It is not quite what we fancy, nor do our "anti gravity" experiments actually do what we think they do.

I received a couple of letters from Gaston Burrige, and

I've written to him. He seems to be quite concerned about that "coil" I sent you some time ago. I have told him very little about it as I would much prefer that he investigate it himself. I am aware of some of the coil's potential properties but it is not my place to explain. When the time is ripe others are to do that. I have no intention of trying to patent anything I get from topside. So far as I am concerned these things are free gifts to humanity, as soon as they are ready to receive them. There are a great many things just waiting to be passed along.

With reference to Keyhoe's clipped presentation, I did not hear about the talk until too late to listen so I missed it, interruption and all. I haven't heard from Keyhoe since but I'll bet he was fit to be tied. He has a pretty low opinion of American Liberties and Freedom of Speech anyhow, and this episode won't improve it any; and he won't be bashful about telling of it either.

You would like something to work on? How about investigating time field variations. You might cross check with amateurs on frequency changes of signals originating from different areas. I think that you will find that the variations, while oscillating about a mean will also have a long term component injected which is impossible to explain on Doppler alone, unless you assume that the path lengths vary by amounts beyond all reason. WWV and WWVH are two signals that might be compared.

Another investigation has to do with bonding of matter. I think I told you some time ago of the bonding meters we made up. They are quite simple and consist of a small steel spring stressed well below its elastic limit and a nylon fiber which is stressed at just under its elastic limit, pulling in opposition. The fiber, close to the spring, is wound a couple of times around a spindle carrying a pointer, so that any change in stretch causes the pointer to move over a scale. With this device you can locate "vortices" or regions where there are anomalies in one or more of the three basic fields of the earth. (If the vortices are pronounced they constitute a menace to aircraft flying through them if the craft contain parts which are stressed close to their limit. A fertile field to investigate is close to where one or more aircraft have crashed from defects which developed in flight. We here have knowledge of several such vortices, but needless to say, orthodox officialdom will have no part of it even though I presented it through the "proper channels".

Must close now as tempus fugit and I still have a few other things to do before retiring. Muriel sends her best wishes to you both.

As ever

W. C. C.

Feb. 7, 1958

Dear Mr. Rye,

Thank you for your letter of Dec. 1 1957, concerning the activities of Project Magnet, and my work on flying saucers.

The primary effort lately has been the study of the basic technology of the people from elsewhere. We have quite a bit to go on, but as yet we are still in the kindergarten. While we know something about the nature of gravity we are really quite a way from making it work for us rather than against us.


I am naturally very interested in your work along these lines and would like to hear more about it. Could you give me some idea of the order of magnitude of any gravitational modifications you may have been able to attain?

Our experimental work has largely been along the lines of establishing the validity or otherwise of some of our basic assumptions which seem to be at variance with the science of these people from outside. We have conducted several crucial experiments which satisfy us that some of our ideas about fields and their behavior are wrong, and we have been pointed in the right direction but from there on we must propell ourselves, and the way is long and hard.

We find that a thorough knowledge of the philosophy of these people from outside is necessary before their science can be learned, and that a correct apportionment of effort is necessary to get any appreciable progress.

I will appreciate hearing from you and we may be able to exchange material within the ranges of our mutual understanding, which I am most willing to do.

Yours truly



W.B. Smith

February 3, 1958

Dear Mr. Harnett,

I regret the long delay in answering your letter of last August 19, but I have not been able to cope with the quantity of material which has descended on me, together with my many other obligations.

You asked certain questions about Project Magnet which I shall try to answer.

The project was official in that files were set up, and procedures established within the Government to handle the sighting reports. There was practically no money spent on the project and when the official report was made recommending that a more extensive financial effort be made, the government felt that such could not be justified in the face of the publicity which the project had suffered from. It was therefore dropped officially and reverted to an entirely private effort, which is its present status. So far as I know, there is no other saucer investigation being carried on in Canada, and most sighting reports sent in to the government are referred to me.

With respect to the technology of the saucers, I can only state that we have learned much. The technology under which they operate is much more advanced than ours; my estimate is about a 500 year differential. Naturally, much of what we think we know is either not quite correct or is inadequate. Our greatest failing is assuming that each bit of information which we glean must be fastened firmly onto something which we already know, or think we do. As a result our science is a terrible hodge-podge of patch work, perturbations and correction factors, and ever so much more complicated than it need be.

With regard to my personal background, I am an electrical engineer, M.A.Sc. author of a few technical papers, holder of a few patents, active in a number of associations and societies of a scientific and engineering nature. My present position is Superintendent of Radio Regulations Engineering, Department of Transport.

I trust that the foregoing will at least partially answer your questions.

Yours truly
W.B. Smith

December 9, 1957

Dear Mrs. Milne

Thank you for your two letters of Nov. 26 and Dec. 4. I must apologise for the delay in answering but I have really been quite busy with personal matters associated with the season.

I appreciate your interest in extraterrestrial phenomena and only wish I had more of the answers. However, I would refer you to the rather copious quantity of literature which is currently available on the subject, and in which I am sure you will find at least some of the answers.


With regard to radio signals, I can only say that there are so many stations on the air all transmitting all sorts of material, that it is not at all surprising for someone to hear anything unusual. For your information there are about 50,000 radio stations of all types licensed in Canada alone. Unless one has good reason to think that a certain signal is significant and is prepared to make tape recordings of it and spend a lot of time on analysis, merely reporting its existence doesn't help much. Here in the Department we monitor quite a few signals of all types, and at times find some which are quite interesting. However, we have good reason to believe that the UFOs don't use radio as we know it.

It is my own opinion that the people from outside are so much like us that they could mingle with us and we would never be the wiser. Also there is some indication that they do when it is necessary for their plans to be here. I couldn't comment on any particular case, particularly if I were not directly associated with it, so your visitor at Hardrock will have to remain a mystery.

I cannot tell you what the prerequisites are for a contact with the people from outside, beyond standing by and being ready should an occasion present itself. My suggestion is however, to let them make the first move, as the craft can be dangerous if incautiously approached.

Again thanking you for your interest and kind letters, I am

Very truly, yours.



Wilbert B. Smith

... bombard us with bombs instead of 'angels' hair' & such.

November 4th, 1957

Dear Don, Your letter of October 31, served to remind me that I had not yet produced that article which you requested. However, I got busy promptly and assembled it. It is a composite from my records of work done for Project Magnet and is of necessity quite abbreviated as the original work is horribly heavy and cumbersome.


I hope that this will meet your needs, but I won't feel offended if you can't use it, as I realize that it is to say the least, an oblique approach.

With regard to the memo on the binding forces, I got exactly no reaction, which was what I half expected. However, there is a sequel, in that a friend of mine had occasion to drive through Quebec and he took two binding force meters of different types with him. Both indicated a definite vortex near Quebec City! Furthermore, he found a strong one out over the North Atlantic where he flew in connection with the NATO manoeuvres, but as the plane was flying easily nothing broke although both instruments took on a permanent deflection showing that the vortex drove them beyond their elastic limits. I am still convinced that this is one explanation of aircraft and other structural failures.

I am also enclosing a picture of myself as you requested, but I would suggest that you think of your circulation before publishing it.

With sputnik and mutnik, things are progressing rapidly. I feel quite sure that something is coming to a head fairly soon, and this is all part of a very elaborate plan. I would like very much to have another visit with you, but I can't say when as there are as yet no plans afoot for another trip to Washington.

Best regards


W. B. Smith

September 5th, 1957.

Dear Don,

° Many thanks for your prompt answer to my letter. I hope that I was able to provide a bit of useful information about the Galt landing, and from what you have that you will be able to assemble a reasonably accurate story about what actually took place. I have good reason to believe that the landing was pretty well as represented and that it was an alien vehicle and that it landed to take samples of the water in the catch-basin for analysis for radio-active fall-out.

I am enclosing a carbon copy of a memorandum which I drafted following the recent air crash. I do not know what will be done about this memo but knowing what I do about the real structure of matter, I felt obliged to call attention to this aspect. You may publish this memo if you wish.

I am working on an article "Some UFOs Are Not Space Ships" in which I give a couple of explanations resulting from detailed studies of a couple of actual sightings, and in which you might be interested. I am doing what Menzel did not do, find a natural physical explanation for an actual sighting! While I would very much like to prepare an article along the lines you suggested, I am not at liberty to do so at this time. I may not even provide clues from which this technology could be worked out for belligerent purposes. When we become civilized, maybe.

Meanwhile, best regards,

June 10, 1957

Dear Mr. Cheatle;

I thank you very much for your prompt response to my last letter. I am MOST interested in your contact with the people from outside, and I certainly would like to know more about it.

So far I have not enjoyed a direct contact with these people, all my contacts being through some intermediate means or person. The boys topside have advised me that in my case there is a definite reason for this but don't elaborate. I am also told that there are quite a few contacts being made.

While I don't want to discourage you, I am sure that you will arouse very little interest in official circles. You will be interrogated no doubt, but will be told little or nothing that you don't already know. Officialdom is up against something with which their orthodox rule book will not provide the answer.

Referring to your questions; the boys topside make extensive use of significant events for all sorts of purposes including communication. I am told also that they navigate their ships by what we would call astrology. They also make use of a type of energy which we do not understand "Tensor energy, or Deann" which is space-time independent, for all their mental tasks and communication. I have more on this which I shall send later. The second question; I am informed that ours is by no means the first civilization which has existed on this planet. There have been many others, and even some of the souls at present incarnated here participated in these previous experiences. There exists in very inconspicuous places in several museums artifacts which represent a culture at least as high as ours, and which existed during the coal age!

I shall close for the present, but would welcome further correspondence with you.

Yours truly



W. B. Smith

March 28, 1957

Dear Dr. Buchli,

Thank you for your phone call and your prompt letter. I am extremely interested in your magnetic detector, but I am not surprised that you get all sorts of fluctuations on it. If you put a pair of ear phones on the output of your amplifier and listen for a while you may hear some "whistlers". These are sounds quite well known to science but for which there is as yet no explanation that is worth taking seriously. There is good reason to believe that they are energy bursts from UFOs transmitted during rapid take off from a hovering condition. Their characteristic is a tone starting at about 3000 cps and dropping to a few hundred cps in about one to two seconds. Your gadget should have no trouble at all picking them up. Also you will get "burps" or grunts, in fair number. There is good reason to believe that these are due to the sweeping past of tensor beams from UFOs used for navigational purposes.

A very simple gadget used by quite a number of UFO watchers is a simple magnetized needle balanced on a metallic point with one end sticking through a hole in a metal plate, or wire loop, which just clears it nicely. The needle and plate or loop are wired into a bell circuit as a switch, so that if the needle is disturbed a contact will be made and the bell will ring. There have been a large number of sightings triggered off through the use of this gadget. When the bell rings everyone rushes outside and has a look. Of course there are the usual false alarms, such as when there is a magnetic storm, and compasses all over the world act up.

At Shirlye Bay we had a similar but more refined gadget set up on which we got several indications. We were also set up to detect radio noise, radio activity, and variations in gravity. This latter instrument gave us quite a few clues. Unfortunately, although we operated the Shirley Bay station for a year and got several indications we were never able to coordinate conclusively a sighting of a UFO with any of the meter indications. When the pressure of the press became too annoying we closed up the station and turned our attentions to what we felt were more profitable lines of investigation.

The information which I gave Keyhoe in regard to a possible magnetic sink was some of our earlier speculation, and needless to say was pretty well obsolete when I gave it to him. In the light of what we now know about field behaviour, this approach is more of a mathematical exercise than a technological possibility. However, the fact does remain that the study of the field structure associated with UFOs has indicated


a distinct possibility of our ultimate exploitation of the manipulation of the various fields for the generation of energy and the support and propulsion of craft.

You asked about my own sightings of UFOs. I have seen quite a few in the past several years. Mostly they are little more than lights and are identifiable as UFOs only through their unorthodox behaviour. For example, a hovering UFO is usually quite red or orange in color, but during its period of linear acceleration the color changes through yellow, green and blue quite rapidly, along with a rapid change in the object's position. Curvilinear acceleration does not usually produce a change in color unless accompanied by a linear acceleration. A second feature is their extremely rapid passage through a given subtended angle. Make as accurate an estimate as possible of the time taken to get from one reference point to another, and assume a speed about that of the fastest aircraft suspected of operation in your area, and calculate the probable distance to the object. If the distance works out to be so close that a normal aircraft should have been audible, then a UFO could certainly be suspected. A sudden change in direction either sideways or vertically is something that our aircraft can't indulge in, much as their pilots and designers would like to. I did see one which subtended an angle about $\frac{1}{4}$ to $\frac{1}{3}$ that of the full moon. It was in broad daylight and some detail of structure was visible. My family and I watched it for about a minute after which it rose vertically very rapidly and then just vanished. It looked much like Adamski's pictures, of the bell shaped UFO.

With regard to your suggestion that you try simultaneous detection of UFO bursts, I would suggest that you communicate with A. David Middleton, Tijeras, New Mexico, who is a clearing house for the UFO patrol which is engaged in this very kind of work.

With kindest personal regards

yours truly


W. B. Smith

Dear Mr. Clark,

Thank you for your kind letter of September 25, and your comments with respect to the tapes.

While I cannot vouch for the authenticity of these tapes I think that they are probably substantially correct. At least the information contained therein checks quite well with similar information obtained through other sources.


Insofar as the "tensor" beam is concerned, I am aware of the technology on which it is based, and it is sound. I have played with it but so far have been quite unable to manage it.

I am not in a position to comment on the termination of the activities of the Los Angeles group beyond the fact that there was some difficulty involving one of the members. However, I do not think that this in any way affected the validity of the tapes.

With regard to the November prediction I can only comment, "wait and see." I do not at the present have any other contact with this particular group of space people except through the California contact, so I cannot check very well. I hope to be able to locate another contact but this hasn't yet become a reality. Apparently, there are quite a few groups of space people operating in the vicinity of this planet, and while they have knowledge of each other they do not engage in extensive interchange of ideas. Some of them are working for the benefit of the human race, others to fulfil a "plan", and still others are merely "tourists". Something drastic is due to happen here shortly and I suppose it is natural that people would flock here to observe it.

I am sorry that I can't be more specific but I really don't have too much to go on. My efforts have been directed along somewhat different lines. However, in this day and age just about anything can happen, and usually does!

Yours sincerely,



W.B. Smith

1

March 28, 1957

Dear Dave.

Many thanks for your most welcome letter of the 22nd. I am extremely interested in your comments.

I received a large envelope of material from Mr. Schiess which I found most interesting. I have not yet by any means digested it all, so have not formed any conclusions. I would of course like very much to obtain more technical information on Radiant Energy. I have Dr. Maray's pamphlet on the subject but it doesn't tell much. Pardon me if I appear to be hard to convince but I feel that we must first prove all things before we can hold fast to that which is good. I have several times in my career encountered claims which would not stand up under rigid scrutiny, but I have also found some that would.

(I recall several years ago following up a lead on a TV system which lead to a fellow in Toronto who had invented a radically new TV principle, but the joker was that it didn't work at all like he thought it did. It did work however, and it took me many hours of hard engineering to find out what actually went on inside the system. Unfortunately, the fellow was so impossible to deal with that the invention just slid into oblivion.)

I understand from the revelations from the boys top side that their source of energy is the actual reality of the universe, cosmic spin as I call it and they confirm my understanding. To all intents and purposes this is the unwrapping of matter and they say that there are many ways of doing it. I have a hunch that this is really what is going on inside Moray's equipment. I also feel that his reluctance to let others into the secret of its operation is that he doesn't really know himself! As you may recall, Tesla also built a motor which ran by itself, but it would do it only for him, no one else. In fact there have been quite a few of these transcendental gadgets, and it is my suspicion that what really makes them work is the mental manipulation of tensor energy already present through some cause, by the fellow who "invents" the gadget. You see I know this can be done because the boys top side let me play with it a bit, just enough to convince me but not enough for me to get any ideas.

Many thanks for your remarks about the discussion group. We got on started about a week ago and propose to meet informally every two weeks. I find myself more or less in the position of leader so what I propose to do is have one person prepare and present a "paper" on some aspect of this new philosophy and then spend the rest of the evening discussing it, to the exclusion of irrelevant matter. Our first meeting I gave a summary of the activities of Project Magnet from its inception to its official conclusion and some hint of what we have been up to since. Next meeting they want to discuss sightings generally.

Also, many thanks for your kind offer of hospitality in the event that we are able to make the trip this summer. However, in view of the information received from top side, and confirmatory occurrences here, it may not be such a good idea. Before undertaking it we want to get something more definite from top side.

You asked a couple of pointed questions regarding Shelly Presentey's visitor. I don't think I can answer them. All I can say is that Shelly was satisfied of the authenticity of his visitor, particularly since it apparently wasn't the first time. He did say though that an obvious characteristic is that the people from outside seem quite able to follow our thoughts. Of course any one who is at all telepathically sensitive can sense a surprising amount, particularly since most people think like rock crushers. However, I shall try to get more information from Shelly, but sometimes he clams up and one gets nowhere. I am satisfied however, that regardless of how unclear the picture is at the moment he does fit into it somewhere, because he was told to come here, light and wait.

With regard to the two people whom you mention in your letter, Levenson, and Menger, I most certainly shall hasten to write to them both. I have a hunch that it is later than we think and that a climax is not far off. Many thanks for directing me to these people.

I got a phone call and letter from a Dr. Richard Buchli, 6621 El Monte Drive, Kansas City 15, Missouri. He is quite interested too in UFO, particularly with respect to the coordination of sightings with magnetic disturbances. He has a gadget which he uses, made up of a many turn loop about 18" diameter, electrostatically shielded, and connected to a high gain amplifier with a DB meter on the output. He said that several times when his instrument was disturbed there were reports of UFOs in the general area. He may be worth a note from you.

I have never to my knowledge had my written material tampered with, but I think I did tell you about some tampering with tapes. This has not occurred recently, as I have carefully avoided including material on the space people's technology. I still am not sure how much of it they still consider classified and to what extent. I hope to get some answers to this soon though. For your own information I have pretty well completed working out the basic science, from first principles to the point where Dan Fry's data matches on. My data shows the real basics from which all matter and energy are derived, the origin and characteristics of fields and particles, and above all, where our orthodox science falls short. This may all be expressed by the symbol which the space people use ∇ or as we write the same thing

$$\nabla_{xyz}^2 S = \frac{1}{\varphi^2} \nabla_{emt}^2 S$$

Our equation is in standard vector algebra, the inverted triangle with the subscripts is the partial derivative with respect to the subscript axis. φ is the spin velocity, the real constant.

I am afraid that the above is poorly set out and probably will be quite unintelligible, but it is the basic equation of the first two fabrics of this universe, and its solutions give us all we have regarding matter and energy. But just one thing, I cannot solve it completely, only in parts, and I am not sure that our mathematics is even capable of the process. One solution gives directly the field structure around matter, and why all the fields we have vary sinusoidally space wise. A very restricted solution gives Maxwell's equations for electromagnetic radiation; a more general solution should give data on tensor radiation. I want at this point to say that the six dimensions set forth in this general equation are not all there are. I am informed that there are twelve dimensions external to Diety and one internal.

Will close for the present, with best regards to you and yours.

Wilbert