

insufficient Canadian content in CUFOR. I believe Magor has aimed for quality rather than quantity.

Do you know Magor or his background? I do, and in my opinion he has done a fine job with what is available to him. It is easy enough for you to criticize him, but can you do better?

On this point, I have a few comments about UFO Canada. I have selected your July 1977 issue as an example. On page 11, you refer to a "vast amount of electronic devices" used by Smith. The fact is there were only four instruments. Is this a "vast" amount? I hardly think so.

Another error, on the following page, concerns the establishment of Project Second Storey. You state that this project was set up following Smith's recommendations. The reverse is true. This will be made clear in my forthcoming book.

In the following paragraph you claim that Project Magnet was established "to concentrate on covering-up UFOs". Nothing could be further from the truth, I assure you. Again, my new book will make this quite clear.

With respect to Keyhoe's story of the "UFO base" (p.13), where in your evidence, other than Keyhoe's word? I am well aware of this story and have done a lot of research to establish its validity or otherwise. Although I believe it may be true, I cannot back up my belief with evidence and I am sure you cannot either, otherwise you would have presented it. I have been as "close to the horse's mouth" as you can get on this one, but cannot break it open. Hence, I omitted this from my new book.

The above are just examples that make me wonder why you think your publication is scientific. Your "facts", in many cases, are not facts at all. To be frank, your research is inadequate. A good reason why your organization does not appear qualified to act as the national UFO group.

I hope you won't be too offended by my remarks but I am doing my utmost to raise the standards of UFO research in Canada, and therefore hope you will regard my comments as constructive criticism. In this regard, please see my article "A Word to the Wise" on page 16 of CUFOR, vol. 3, no. 8, 1976.

You raised an interesting point in your article on Second Storey files on page 5 of the March 1978 issue. For your information, I was the one who for two years prodded DND (Directorate of History) to declassify these files. It was done at my specific request and I will make known some interesting information in my new book. You