

have witnesses. You were also careful that they did not approach too closely, for fear the space visitors might be frightened away. You, therefore, left your witnesses between a half mile and a mile away. I repeat, your sole object was close range observation (to establish a contact). Therefore, why in the world did you need the 6" telescope? Surely it would have been more logical to leave the telescope with your witnesses who were so far away from you and yet were expected to watch every detail which might occur. All you would need, it appears, under the circumstances as outlined, would be your camera, and possibly a pair of binoculars to watch the saucer land and take off. This does not strike me as being very careful planning, especially when you went so far as to equip your group with such things as plaster of paris for footprints. You stated on page 191 that you wanted your witnesses to "watch closely for anything that might take place". Certainly, under circumstances of such tremendous importance, you would want your witnesses to see closely every detail. I felt that you, fully expecting close range observation, had no need for your 6" telescope.

Point No. 2. On page 193, second last paragraph, you "decided to take a couple more pictures with the Brownie just to show the general terrain in this section in case my space craft pictures turned out well."

An earth-shaking event like the landing of a space ship from another planet, and you use your film for scenery! Surely you would have used every available film for pictures of the space ship, especially as you admit you did not know whether they would turn out. You could have taken scenic photographs after departure of the saucer, or lacking any more film, could have returned later.

Point No. 3. You neglected to explain, or even offer suggestions as to how the Venus man managed to breathe in our atmosphere. Our scientists tell us that Venusian atmosphere is not like our own, in fact that we could not survive on Venus without our own atmosphere. This may or may not be correct as our scientists have often been proved wrong and have