

Finally, plaintiff conjures up another discrepancy between the de novo search and George Owens' letter of February 1, 1979 to a Larry Bryant. Owens wrote concerning Bryant's FOIA request with respect to George Adamski, a purported "UFO contactee." Owens' letter states "[f]or your information, this request is not associated with the Ground Saucer Watch litigation and therefore the search fees have not been waived." The context of the remainder of the paragraph as well as the other documents in the record referring to the CIA's policy on search fees leave no doubt that the quoted sentence refers to the fact, correctly, that this request by Bryant was not associated with this case as were two of his prior requests listed in the amended complaint. Plaintiff's contention is without substance.

In summary, we have carefully considered each of plaintiff's numerous arguments and conclude that none raise a genuine issue of fact challenging the defendant's good faith in conducting the de novo search pursuant to the Stipulation.

Exemptions

Plaintiff does not challenge the defendant's assertion of exemptions 3 and 6 and after our de novo review of the record in this case, including the defendant's affidavits, we are satisfied that those exemptions have been properly claimed. ^{6/}

With respect to exemption (b)(1), which except for portions of three documents was asserted congruently with (b)(3), plaintiff objects to the way the documents were classified pursuant to Executive Order No. 12065, 43 Fed. Reg. 28,949 (1978), and to the way the defendant applied the exemption in two instances. The fact that the analyst preparing D-120 did not delete "WAS" from the document identifier on the second page although it apparently was the item deleted on the first page, hardly

^{6/} In contrast to the affidavits and indices in the Scientology case, the CIA affiants have not only provided detailed explanations of the categories of information withheld, see, e.g., R. Owen Supplementary Document Index at 1-2, items A-J; DDS & T Document Disposition Index at 2, items A-I, but have provided supplementary comments when possible to do so without disclosing the information to be withheld. See, e.g., DDS & T Index, documents T-10, T-16; DDO Supplemental Index, documents D-136, D-137.