

dered his later work with material drawn from these earlier sources (I prove, for example, that his 'Science of Life Study Course' of 1964 was merely a re-hash of his 'Wisdom of the Masters of the Far East East', written in 1936), or that he possibly had extraterrestrial contact since boyhood. I conclude my contribution by discussing Adamski's philosophy briefly. After 20 years research into his story, I am convinced that he actually did have physical, actual contacts with a certain group of extraterrestrials, who for various reasons were obliged to feed him with false information which would tend to discredit him, thereby protecting their own interests.

There is an extensive photo section, including much unpublished material. Miss Zinsstag's part is 40,000 words long approximately - as is mine - making a total of roughly 80,000 words. I can say with some authority that our book makes a definite contribution to the literature, since I have over 250 books on the subject.

You are free to be frank with me about such a publishing venture. I have had little success in this country with publishers, most of whom feel that there is no market for a book on Adamski. Even Neville Spearman (who published two of GA's books) thinks that he should be forgotten. Certainly Adamski has just about the dirtiest name in ufology that one can think of, and I am continually reading references to him of the most derogatory kind - usually inaccurate - but Miss Zinsstag and I feel that it is time to re-habilitate the man. By presenting new evidence for and against, present and future generations of researchers will be able to make a more informed assessment.


I am also convinced that there is a market for a book on Adamski: at every lecture I give I am constantly asked about him. I state that although I do not accept all his claims, there is much evidence in favour of some of them being genuine, and that as a contact story it is still by far the best-attested in UFO literature (GA had six witnesses to his initial encounter in 1952, for example.)

I do hope that you will ^{give} serious consideration to this synopsis. I am loathe to send you a copy of the M/S unless you are seriously interested - but not necessarily committed - owing to the expense. Also, some of the material is 'sensitive' and I would hate to see it in the wrong hands - or published elsewhere!

I enclose a brochure which may be of interest, and look forward to hearing from you in due course.

With best wishes

Yours sincerely


TIMOTHY GOOD