ator, and the lighting is particularly strange also. This, along with the fact that a "survey of helicopter agencies by the National Research Council Wednesday failed to turn up any which had a craft operating at that hour" leaves your conclusion standing on no apparent evidence to support it. But perhaps you have other evidence which was not reported by the press.

It does not surprise me that no agency could be located which was operating a helicopter at that hour in view of the weather conditions at the time. It would have been most unusual for such a craft to be operating under such conditions.

I am quite familiar with the many distortions, illusions, reflections, misinterpretations etc which can occur in such sightings, and the causes of them, but I see no evidence in this report for assuming that the object sighted was a helicopter.

As one of the greatest problems facing the public in respect to UFOs is misinformation as well as lack of information, I will be very grateful if you can enlighten me as to why you reached the conclusion reported in the press, if such is indeed the case. I can assure you that my interest is only in ensuring that the facts are presented, and I have been assured many times that it is the desire of NRC to make all facts available to the public. It is my belief that government agencies and private researchers should work hand in hand in studying the UFO problem because often some information is available to one group which is not available to the other, and thus we stand a better chance of an eventual solution to the mystery.

Yours truly,

Arthur Bray