

How long we have been forced to listen to the nonsense and rhetoric of scientists. In their own field of endeavor they might be experts, but that of course doesn't mean that they are experts in all fields.

The serious error we have made is that we have assumed that a Ph.D means intelligence. We assume that because a person is addressed as Doctor, that that makes him smart. In our diverse society that idea is most definitely wrong. I would not ask a medical doctor to invest my money, nor would I ask an archeologist to do open heart surgery. Why then is it that we trust an astronomer to evaluate all our data on UFOs? He knows no more than the man on the street what a series of navigation lights on a plane look like, or what a soil analysis at a landing sight might indicate. Yet it is upon the accomplished scientist that we rest our hope for answers to the UFO problem.

From an established world view, we expect a scientist to evaluate data from a field that is completely new and whose problems deserve new approaches. Today in the field of UFOlogy the major conflict arises when consideration is given to whether UFOs are extraterrestrials or interdimensional. The vast numbers who defend the interdimensional (Hynek, Keel,) are either parapsychologists or astronomers. As Stanton T. Friedman says,

"It is very interesting to see that the differences between the negative and the positive results seem to stem primarily from the differences in background of the people doing the study." (N)

So it is that a parapsychologist quickly notices the few strands of evidence that point to a psychic element, and turns the whole affair into a multi-dimensional nightmare. Likewise the astronomer seeing the apparently vast distances between us and the stars ~~are~~ around us, opts for "beings around the corner" that pop in the back door of our minds.

Both have instantly become experts in propulsion, stating that travel between stars is impossible. Theoretically they ~~tell~~ ^{state} us that the ETI is impossible. Again Friedman states,

"The people who don't get involved in propulsion systems design, who don't dirty their hands with hardware, who haven't worked with engineers, who spend their time in their ivory towers, seem to be traditionally the ones who say things are impossible." (N)

(N) ibid

(N) Friedman, Stanton T., ~~the~~ "Flying Saucers and Physics" presented at the MUFON Symposium 1974, published by UFORI, Union City, California 94587, 2nd Printing © 1974 P. 4.

"As for what I have seen. - I will apologize for nothing."
Bob Diment

"Of history has taught us anything - it has taught us
that we have learned ~~an~~ nothing from history."
Michel - Godfather II

Introduction

Preface

History of the Area:
Carmen: where it all began

Line flap: Antensity and Question: Polls

Ground Phenomena.

Landings

World Reaction

Close Encounters & Comparison to other Flaps

Sasquash.

Gov't Reaction and Involvement.

Evaluation and Conclusion:

Astronomers possess no particular advantages in the study of UFOs. The majority of their work is done thru a telescope. On the field of Vtology telescopes are rarely used, because of the extreme angular velocity of the object.

Years 1949-1954

Papers Dayton Ohio paper
Chicago Tribune
Toronto Globe & Mail
Albany N.Y.
Hampden News
Winnipeg Free Press
Winnipeg Tribune
Cleveland Ohio
S. Carolina News May-June '51

Periodicals News
20th Century - Steinbock
Fate
Flying Saucer Review

Dumont - Monday

- 1). Name of fellow who theory of grid power system?
- 2) Names of people with collections of UFO books.
- 3) Name of women at Fuel Lot.
- 4) Interview on Willie Smith

- a) Take 50 mags copy of flying saucers
- b) Pick up books dealing with downed craft.

Monday

Morden - teacher

4:00. bid - books on UFOs, people involved

5:30 phone M^cEachern

6:30. 3 phone calls

7:00 M^cEachern

7:45 Dumonto

From.

- 1) How many scientists involved in original investigation - names.
- 2) When did it move - and how
- 3) Is this the only one. How many.
- 4) Are there no names associated

Write for V&O quarterly. III

Write to Carl

Phone Rich

Monday. - Used Book Store
Library at Carl's

Plantation →

(Wed. Sat.)

~~the~~
256-3916

688

Romania

Polo Park

Provencher

for 3 pp

Dear Mr. Menta,

I had written to you last year, with regards to the UFO sightings that occurred in the Carman area, and received your response. My thanks for your interest and cooperation.

I have written this letter to you again to ask your assistance on a couple of problems that have arisen. Firstly, I am doing research on a man by the name of Wilbur Smith who filed UFO reports for the Department of Transport in the 1950's. Smith did quite a bit of work on the 1950 sightings and was known by quite a few people including Bob Stummet in Carman.

I have quite a few sources in the D.O.T. as my father is an aviation inspector for D.O.T. here in Winnipeg. Many people at D.O.T. had met Smith, but none of them was able to tell me what ever happened to all the material that he collected.

What I would also like to know from you is where these reports were kept. Mr. Smith died in the early 60's and therefore I have lost my contact to his family to see whether any of his personal papers are still around. I am wondering if you could trace if his wife is still alive, or whether you could give me a government source where I could locate this material.

I have also included a copy of a story that Bob Stummet and myself are trying to trace. I have it from a good source that this incident did occur, but have not been able to get anyone within Air Canada to give me any further details that those outlined on the enclosure. Bob and I would appreciate it if you had any method of obtaining further

Spurling

2-3.

west of Starbuck

white light crossing path got out. Lincoln "dark shape"
orange white NE. gone.

Chris - Canyon

South.

west - drove other side of Starbuck
tower huge orange light off ground. 2 miles

3 second turned left "like lightbulb"

ground turn around south (end of road) mile & half