

Is it beyond his intelligence and egoism to grasp the possibility that in this vast universe there may be beings who are spiritually as well as technologically more advanced than we are? He darkly urges that UFO researchers should try in-depth analysis into the experiences of saucer witnesses and find out what happened to them before and after their sightings - what sinister connotations are implied here? Speaking personally, this writer has, on 2 occasions, witnessed what she firmly believes to have been flying saucers, but nothing alarming happened to her either before or after the events - in fact, she found the experiences uplifting. What then, is Mr. Clark cryptically hinting at but not spelling out? It would seem that he would serve the UFO cause a lot better by keeping out of his book reviews such negative implications.

If, as he implies, he knows all the proper approaches to the solution of the UFO problem, then let us have a book from him when, for the good of his soul, he might find out from others of his ilk just how it feels to be on the hot end of the toasting fork! It might be added here that his own 'prose' is not above reproach.

It is a poor reviewer indeed who cannot find one good thing to say about another man's work and this is where Mr. Clark has overshot his mark, raising grave doubts as to his critical judgment. To any reader with an ounce of intelligence and insight, he has failed miserably at his negative objective. The facts of the case belie his statements. "Science, the Public and the UFO" has received excellent press notices and many favourable reviews. It has been purchased not only by hundreds of laymen but a large number of scientists, philosophers and universities (including Colorado), and it is now in its second printing. Poor Mr. Clark seems to be alone in his boredom, "agony" and bitterness.