


It could also be the work of some other ufologist, but it would have to be someone who had as much knowledge of the so-called Aquarius Project as Bill Moore without Bill's knowing that he or she knew. If it is a hoax, the document is a very skillful fabrication. It certainly looks authentic — it's almost too good to be phony — and whoever did it had to know that the details could never be checked out completely. No one can say if it is or is not true. But what benefit would another ufologist get out of this? Certainly not the respect of his fellow ufologists, because too many of them have been taken in by this thing, too much time and effort have been spent on the controversy surrounding it, and too much bitterness and hard feelings have resulted. Anybody who claimed authorship now and said it was a prank would be lynched.

If it is a hoax and the government is behind it, what's the purpose? Such disinformation would be useful only if the government really does have crashed saucers and alien bodies hidden and wants to continue to keep this a secret. So why would it fabricate a document that claims that the very thing it is denying is true, and thereby strengthening and prolonging the beliefs of so many ufologists?

I wouldn't be surprised if ten years from now the issue still hasn't been resolved.

What are you doing these days and how extensive is our research?

Best wishes,



Bob Pratt

RECEIVED 26 APR 1983