

STANTON T. FRIEDMAN
NUCLEAR PHYSICIST-LECTURER
79 PEMBROKE CRESCENT
FREDERICTON, NEW BRUNSWICK E3B 2V1
CANADA

(506) 457-0232

Mr. Philip J. Klass
404 "N" St. SW
Washington, DC 20024
USA

Jan. 20, 1988

Dear Julian:

I am pleased that my IUR article was of great interest to you. I must admit that your letters of Jan. 7 and 8, 1988, interest me as excellent examples of chutzpah. You make it sound to the uninitiated as though you were interested in truth, objective review of evidence, etc. In fact, you have for 20 years been guilty of massive misrepresentation about UFOs in general, and for six months or so, MJ-12 in particular. You have flat out lied (about the FBI dating of the Cutler Twining memo paper), persistently and apparently been intentionally misleading about date format, "Erroneous" Roscoe, Materiel Command, Truman - Forrestal "Letter" etc ad nauseum. I surely cannot treat your questions as though they were intended to elucidate truth... but, based on past experience with the intent to commit more fraudulent misrepresentation

I will answer your questions when you supply full copies of the supposedly authentic Truman memos. I cannot accept (since you have provided no evidence whatsoever) your label of "counterfeit" for the Sept. 24, 1947, TF memo. Why should I accept your labels of "authentic"? Merely the fact that the three Executive Orders were any Classified? What was the heading on the stationery? Did any have Recipient addresses? Did you not even notice that all three have DIFFERENT FORMATS?? (in just the small portions shown) The three were not all typed on the same typewriter. With clearer copies it might even turn out that one was typed on the same typewriter as the Truman Forrestal 9/27/47 one. Perhaps that is why the copies are so poor, to avoid such a comparison.

Other questions requiring answers before I answer yours:

1. How many visits have you made, ever, to the Truman and Eisenhower Libraries?
2. When was your first letter sent to the Truman Library?
3. How many days total would you say (assuming 8 hrs/day) you have spent at each Library of Congress Manuscript Division, the National Archives, and the Truman & Ike Libraries, and Harvard Archives (Menzel Files) and Forrestal Files at Princeton??
4. Why do you assume that no useful information about the coverup could have been obtained without actually having a copy of the briefing, or prior to Dec. 1984, when you already have examples of such info (data re Menzel, memos from Cutler to Twining from 1953 etc)?
5. Why do you not understand that "no direct linkage" means that the CT memo and Ike briefing must stand on their own... i.e. they were obtained separately.
6. Why have you totally ignored (except for bizarre psychic presumptions) the content of the briefing, the date of the Truman-Forrestal memo and the briefing?
7. Why do you ask questions of me that should be asked of Bill Moore?

I await your replies and copies of the "authentic" memos (presuming that they will not be bogus such as the one you admitted faking).

Sincerely,

Stanton T. Friedman

Stanton T. Friedman (Non-Propagandist)

PS, Why have you not given Zobrist permission to release information about your "research"? STF

Science Consultant • Lecturer • Author • Broadcaster

January 20, 1988
9115 Autumn Storm
San Antonio, Tx. 78250

Grant Cameron
649 Silverstone Ave.
Winnipeg, Manitoba
Canada R3T 2U8

Dear Mr. Cameron,

Enclosed is a copy of my paper as you requested. Thank you very much for the kindness of sending a few dollars to cover the cost of the postage. This will be the tenth copy I have sent out. I am somewhat surprised at the number of people who have asked for the paper and have been slightly burdened by it in that I tend to run off one copy at a time thinking that I'll probably not have to send any more out.

In answer to your questions:

I did not pursue MUFON to present the paper. I was one of the founding officers of the Fund for UFO Research, who sponsored the symposium, and I am presently a National Board Member of the Fund. In that capacity, and having sponsored a previous symposium myself, I was in regular correspondence with Fund members as the symposium developed. At some point it was lightly suggested to me that I might think about delivering a contributed paper. I took up the suggestion and submitted the enclosed paper.

I have not written any other paper that has been published. I have other papers but they are in general hand written notes for delivering public talks on the general subject of UFOs.

In response to your third question concerning the letter of Dr R.L. Sarbacher: I would not be an expert in this matter but I do not take this letter as the ramblings of an old man. The letter has received quite a bit of good review in the UFO circles but has not got very good coverage in the press because there is little there to build sensational stories on these days, especially since the author is no longer available. We do not necessarily want nor desire a great deal of press coverage on this kind of material because it is generally presented wrong, supports an unexplainable apathy on the part of the public at large and more often than not tends to set work back rather than helping it go forward. This is my opinion.

Thank you for your interest and please consider supporting organizations such as the Fund for UFO Research in an attempt to see the UFO mystery solved.

Sincerely,

Tom Deuley
Tom Deuley