

at that time carry out a physical examination of the Metal at all or even photograph it. I had with me a 3 pound steel hammer and 2 ~~set~~ steel chisels. (This was in Sept 1969) with which I managed to break off a few pieces, one large piece (sketch enclosed) was a small part of one of the layers that I managed after ± 20 minutes heavy pounding to break off, that in my estimation was a basic physical examination of the Metal from which a researcher can deduce a certain amount of knowledge of the Metal. The Metal is in layers, its outer surface is very ragged, it is very dense, one piece measured 9" in length weighs $2\frac{1}{2}$ pounds, its width varies from $\frac{1}{2}$ " to $2\frac{5}{8}$ " its thickness from $\frac{1}{4}$ " to $\frac{13}{16}$ " and that is part of a layer that had been heavily impacted into other layers. The idea that such material could come from a bridge is preposterous, following closely on the ~~of~~ other theory of slag from ~~Steel~~ reminds one of the many cases of funny Air Force answers from sources that were thousands of miles away from the scene of a sighting. History is full of remarks made by so called authorities on subjects subjects and material that they have neither