

12 May 1989

Mr. T. SCOTT CRAIN
P. O. Box 11
Port Matilda, PA 16870

Dear Mr. Crain:

Sir, I am responding to this letter (see enclosure A) that I received today Thursday 11 May 1989, from Mr. GRANT R. CAMERON.

I am responding to the two questions that Mr. CAMERON has asked of me; i. e., -

"In a telephone call with Mr. Hastings he stated you had objected to three items he printed in his paper. I should like to obtain from you"

"1. What Hastings has wrong related to his conversation with you?"

"2. What exactly did you state?"

What I stated was wrong and IS recorded in my letter of "20 March 1989" (see enclosure B-2 through B-4) to Mr. HASTINGS is as follows-

"1. On page 7 of your paper titled-

"'THE MJ-12 AFFAIR:

Facts, Questions, Comments'"

"you state-

"'Graham had previously said, on several occasions, that he had gotten documents from an individual who worked for the U.S. Government, presumably in an intelligence capacity.'"

"'I believe that I tried to communicate to you the idea that I BELIEVED that the individual from whom I received the indicated documents worked WITH the U. S. Government because

2

it was stated to me by the AFOSI agents ALEX NAVAL and JAMES KIRK who interviewed me on the 19th of March 1986 (with regard to to the "SNOWBIRD" document) and is recorded in my letter of "8 May 1986" to the "Defense Investigative Service" -

"On the 19th of March 1986 I was interviewed by two agents (Alex Naval and James Kirk) representing the Defense Investigative Service (see enclosure" D-3/E-4/E-17/E-25). "In the course of this interview, Mr. Kirk stated that this document (see enclosure" E-21) "had been sanitized for release and that I was free to share same with anyone or make FOIA requests as to its authenticity (see enclosure" E-19 and E-20 example) "and the agency of its origin. I asked if there were agencies within our Government who approach individuals (researchers) like myself for the express purpose of 'leaking' such documentation and was told... 'Yes, the Office of Air Force Investigations is one of them!'"

"2. Again on page 7 you state

"According to Graham, Moore indicated that he was working for the U.S. Government for the purpose of releasing sensitive UFO-related documents to the public."

"I had tried to communicate to you that I BELIEVED that Moore was working WITH some element of our Government that wants the public to know the truth about the documents that I was provided."

"3. You also state on page 7-

"He" (Graham is implied) "responded that Bill Moore's ID badge was identical to the badges shown him by the two DIS agents. When I skeptically retorted, 'you mean to tell me that Moore's badge looked like the DIS badges?', he replied, 'No it was identical to them.'"

"It was NOT an ID Badge as I am familiar with ID badges like the one I wear. It was like an ID card similar to the ID card I was shown by the people who claimed that they were from DIS. Your word is- "'identical'"; my word is SIMILAR!"

These are my "objections" Mr. CAMERON/CRAIN.

I have shared the above with Mr. WILLIAM L. MOORE and he has

stated that his remembrance of the experience is as I have related it.

Mr. Crain has stated in his letter (see enclosure A) of "May 7, 89"-

"Anything you could contribute to the Doty/Collins affair would also be appreciated."

I am sorry, but I have never met Mr. RICHARD C. DOTY; i. e., I have never met the individual in the tape (I have) who introduces himself as "RICHARD C. DOTY".

Mr. Crain also (see enclosure A) states-

"Any response you might wish to make should be sent to T. Scott Crain...".

YES I do have a response to make; i. e., a challenge.

In the following, please DO NOT interpret it as though I am trying to "blow my own horn", but

Of all of the Ufologists in the United States they picked one individual to leak the BRIEFING DOCUMENT: OPERATION MAJESTIC 12 and PROJECT AQUARIUS documents to the American public; namely LEE MARVIN GRAHAM!

If you doubt my statement, consult the records and/or ask "FALCON" and BILL MOORE.

No one, however, bothers to print this fact, or why I was investigated AND CLEARED for circulating a phoney(?) "TOP SECRET" document. I make note of the fact that this is NOT permissible (under the law) to do so and retain a secret level clearance.

Why provide a "nobody" with a phoney(?) document that if true only creates an embarrassment for those who ostensibly created it?

What is the pay off? I get nothing! I have no deal with Mr. WILLIAM L. MOORE for anything! I try and authenticate or repudiate the documents I was provided because I believe in obeying the law and would be the first to turn in a forger.

And, why is there NO agency that will repudiate the documentation, most specifically when it is so embarrassing if true. The United States Air Force will lie, they did so to the American public about the existence of the the stealth fighter (AKA F-117A). Why won't they lie(?) about the authenticity of the BRIEFING DOCUMENT: OPERATION OPERATION MAJESTIC 12 and PROJECT AQUARIUS documents and state that they are phoney? Would you care to see all of my documentation?


It used to be believed that Mr. MOORE had created these documents himself. Now, with RICHARD C. DOTY, we are involved with the AFOSI. Soon (with "FALCON") we will be higher up the scale and much deeper into the machinations of the United States government's intelligence community. Will it end with the National Security Council? I think not (see enclosure F-1 through F-5). With the Vice-President (in 1988) of the United States? Nope (see enclosure G-1 through G-19).

So, where do we go from here. Perhaps the BRIEFING DOCUMENT: OPERATION MAJESTIC 12 and the PROJECT AQUARIUS documents are authentic after all. If they are, a lot of people are going to have to rewrite their stories about WILLIAM L. MOORE and those he has associated himself with.

I would appreciate your comments. And, you may publish any of the material that I have provided you with, but only as it is so stated!

Thank you for your interest.

Sincerely,



Lee M. Graham
526 W. Maple
Monrovia, CA
91016

21 May 1989

Mr. T. SCOTT CRAIN, Jr.
P.O. Box 11
Port Matilda, PA 16870

Dear Mr. Crain:

Sir, it is now Sunday 21 May 1989 and I have had more of an opportunity to review your letter to me dated "May 16, 1989".

I do have more documentation to present, and, since I HAVE tried to answer your specific questions, I believe that you owe me the courtesy of answering mine.

In his paper dated "March 1, 1989" Mr. "Robert Hastings" makes reference to the "'Project Aquarius' document (Enclosure L)." The only "PROJECT AQUARIUS" document that I am familiar with is this one (see enclosure A-1 through A-3), which specifically states-

"EXECUTIVE BRIEFING- PROJECT AQUARIUS (TS)- THIS DOCUMENT WAS PREPARED BY MJ12. MJ12 IS SOLELY RESPONSIBLE FOR ITS SUBJECT MATTER." (see enclosure A-1).

The document that I have come to call the "SNOWBIRD" document is but one page of the "PROJECT AQUARIUS" document (see enclosure A-3).

I was provided with a copy of the "PROJECT AQUARIUS" document by Mr. WILLIAM L. MOORE on May 2, 1987 along with a copy of the "BRIEFING DOCUMENT: OPERATION MAJESTIC 12", thus, again, I claim priority in circulation.

Mr. Moore has indicated to me that the "PROJECT AQUARIUS" document is the typed results of an oral briefing accorded President JAMES CARTER on June 3, 1977. Mr. Moore does have more pages of the "PROJECT AQUARIUS" document and has promised that I WILL be given a grace period in which to circulate same before the public release.

1. Are you aware of this fact and of the difference between enclosures A-1 through A-3 and L?

*Project
Aquarius
document*

*Aquarius telex
SC*

In looking over my documentation I find that the "Sunday, MAY, 31, 1987/PRESS-TELEGRAM" article (see enclosure C) indicates no earlier of a date for their acquisition of the "President Eisenhower on Operation Majestic-12"- "document"- from "Timothy Good"; i. e., "MAY 31, 1987".

I had already sent you a copy of this letter (see enclosure D-1) to "DALE L. HARTIG, Chief, Office of Information and Public Affairs, defense Investigative Service."

That letter is dated "5 May 1987", and in it I had stated-

"On Saturday May 2, 1987 I received THIS documentation"... (the "BRIEFING DOCUMENT: OPERATION MAJESTIC 12")... "from my good friend William L. Moore. I am on the Board of Directors of the 'Fair-Witness Project'."

And-

"Please note enclosure B3; especially the names on page 002. This is the MJ-12 document that I related to your agents Alex Naval and James Kirk; I was briefly allowed to read a copy of the VERY SAME night that I was given a copy of this (another document..." (the "SNOWBIRD" document).

Since my DOCUMENTED date- "May 2, 1987" preceeds the date of the alleged release of that of Mr. Timothy Good in this article (see enclosure C; i. e., "MAY 31, 1987"), it would appear that I have worldwide priority for the circulation of the "BRIEFING DOCUMENT: OPERATION MAJESTIC 12".

2a. Is this not correct?

2b. Can you provide me with documentation for an earlier circulation of the "MJ-12" document?

Prior to the release of the "MJ-12" document, my wife and I had dinner with Mr. WILLIAM L. MOORE and Mr. TIMOTHY GOOD (see enclosure E-5). Aside from an occasional beer, I never drink alcoholic beverages, but on this occasion Mr. Good persisted and persuaded us to make a toast. While my wife and I were "in the dark" as to the nature of the toast, Mr. Moore and Mr. Good knew what it was all about. Later, at another dinner engagement, my wife and I were informed that the toast was for the simultaneous release of the "MJ-12" document in

England and the United States. It is, thus, my opinion that the "we had to do it because you did it first story" (see enclosure E-6 and E-7) was only a ruse.

3. Are you familiar with this fact; i. e., the experience of my wife and I?

4. Are you familiar with the fact that many times when our Government wants something released to the American Public it will "leak"/release the information for publication in England first? May I suggest that you read a book about the "Lockheed SR-71 Blackbird" reconnaissance aircraft by Mr. "Paul F. Crickmore".

5. Are you aware of the fact that Mr. Timothy Good and Mr. "AL FRICKEY" (see enclosure F) are friends? Yes!, I do know the true identity of "AL FRICKEY".

Mr. Hastings, in his recent enclosure to me (see enclosure G-1 through G-9) included a letter from "PHILIP J. KLASS" indicating a receipt date of "4/19/89" (see enclosure G-3).

6. Are you aware that Mr. Hastings is circulating material from Mr. Klass that is incomplete? I share a complete copy of the letter (FOIA) that I sent to "TRUDY HUSKAMP PETERSON" on "22 January 1989" (see enclosure H-1 through H-6) in which I have stated-

"NOW, I am quite certain that Mr. WILLIAM MOORE would (if I ask) be willing to make out a notarized statement that he did NOT plant this document"... (the Cutler Memo)..."in the National Archives, but that in point of fact it was found to be there BEFORE he arrived. Noting that you HAVE certified the document..., I should like to ask if you are now prepared to state that the document is bogus and that someone in YOUR OFFICE planted the document in the National Archives?" (see enclosure H-3).

7. Are you aware of the fact that a member of the National Archives deliberately misinformed a United States Senator about the existence of the Cutler Memo and had to recant their position? (see enclosure H-9).

8. Are you aware of the fact that Mr. "PHILIP J. KLASS" threatens people that do not tow his line? (see enclosure I).

9. Has Mr. PHILIP J. KILASS ever shared a complete copy of this (see enclosure J) letter with you? Or this one (see enclosure K)? Or this one (see enclosure M)? Or this one (see enclosure N)?

10. Has Mr. Hastings ever provided you with a copy of this letter (see enclosure O) that DEMONSTRATES that it is he that has avoided meeting Mr. Moore, not the other way around?

In a letter from "ROBERT HASTINGS" dated "3/18/89" it is stated-

"As I have already indicated, I consider Mr. Graham to be an honest and sincere individual. I accept the fact that his recollections of our communications may differ from mine on some points." (see enclosure P).

11a. Mr. Crain, Sir, since it is primarily "our communications" that Mr. Hastings is using to attack Mr. Moore, do you not think that I have the right to attempt to get Mr. Hastings to meet with Mr. Moore to correct what he may have published in error?

11b. Will you attempt to get him to contact me SPECIFICALLY so that the two of them might meet in private?

Mr. Crain, Sir, there is a law (Executive Order 12356, Sec. 3.2 Transferred Information.- see enclosure Q, page 381) which states-

"(b) In the case of classified information that is not officially transferred as described in Section 3.2(a), but that originated in an agency that has ceased to exist and for which there is no successor agency, each agency in possession of such information shall be deemed to be the originating agency for purposes of this Order. Such information may be declassified or downgraded by the agency in possession after consultation with any other agency that has an interest in the subject matter of the information."

If I might reiterate the statement-

"...EACH AGENCY IN POSSESSION OF SUCH INFORMATION SHALL BE DEEMED TO BE THE ORIGINATING AGENCY FOR PURPOSES OF THIS ORDER. SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE DECLASSIFIED OR DOWNGRADED BY THE AGENCY IN POSSESSION AFTER CONSULTATION WITH ANY OTHER

AGENCY THAT HAS INTEREST IN THE SUBJECT MATTER...".

Now, suppose some gullish yahoo like Lee Graham, who believes in the law, is provided a copy of the "BRIEFING DOCUMENT: OPERATION MAJESTIC 12". He might be just dumb enough to turn such a document into some Air Force base to see if he might be violating any of the laws they have by circulating the document (see enclosure R-1 through R-8).

If Graham wasn't too dumb, turned around, making a Freedom of Information Act request to that Air Force base for the document to be declassified, they (according to the above law) would have to comply; i. e., unless of course they had destroyed the document in their possession.

12. AFOSI would NEVER destroy a document in their possession just so they might not be responsible for it would they? (see enclosure R-8/S-4).

I would appreciate a response to my specific questions.

Thank you for your time, Sir.

Sincerely



Lee M. Graham
526 W. Maple
Monrovia, CA
91016

25 June 1989

Mr. T. SCOTT CRAIN, Jr.
P.O. Box 11
Port Matilda, PA 16870

Dear Mr. Crain:

Sir, My wife and I have just returned from vacation (3 weeks) to find your letter dated "June 7, 1989" and those from Mr. CAMERON (see enclosure B and C).

Thank you for recognizing my efforts to attempt to authenticate the MJ-12 Briefing document and the Project Aquarius document.

As to the questions #1 and #2 (see enclosure B) that Mr. CAMERON asked of me- I do not know, but I will ask Mr. MOORE when I have dinner with him next week.

As to who Mr. "Al Frickey" is- I offer a copy of a page from Aviation Week & Space Technology Magazine dated "May 29, 1989" (see enclosure D).

As to Mr. HASTINGS, yes, Mr. MOORE told me that he had repeatedly phoned Mr. HASTINGS in an attempt to meet with him but to no avail. According to Mr. MOORE, he (Moore) wanted to confront Mr. HASTINGS as to why HASTINGS had published material about Mr. MOORE without his (Moore's) permission. When Mr. HASTINGS refused to respond by telephone, Mr. MOORE went to visit him, and I understand that on approaching his residence, Mr. MOORE observed Mr. HASTINGS on a balcony, but Mr. HASTINGS would not answer his door. Mr. MOORE then again telephoned Mr. HASTINGS but without response, thus demonstrating that Mr. HASTINGS was attempting to avoid a confrontation with Mr. MOORE. That, to me Sir, smacks of guilt; i. e., monitoring one's own telephone messages, but refusing to respond to the very individual who wishes to confront you with the allegations you have published about him. Again, one must ask why did Mr. HASTINGS publish without asking Mr. MOORE for his permission to do so, and why is he now afraid to meet with Mr. MOORE to recant that which he may have published in error?

To my knowledge, Mr. MOORE has not published anything in which he (Moore) makes allegations about Mr. HASTINGS (or does he [Hastings] claim so?) thus, in my opinion, Mr. MOORE has the right to confront HASTINGS in person. Any legal action taken by HASTINGS would simply demonstrate his guilt, as can be shown by these two letters (see enclosure E-1 and E-2).

I MUST confess Mr. CRAIN, I do not understand all of this vituperation on the part of Mr. HASTINGS towards Mr. MOORE and/or those who are attempting to authenticate the MJ-12 documentation. I am simply trying to tell the truth and want NO part of all of this jealousy and vindictiveness!

As to Mr. CAMERON's question about the "GOOSE" aircraft (see enclosure A-1 through A-3), I spoke with Mr. MOORE (by phone) after reading Mr. CAMERON's letter (see enclosure C) and he (Moore) had no problem with me sharing these sketches (see enclosure A-1 through A-3).

Permit me to explain-

A-1 is the sketch made for me by Mr. MOORE himself; the extension to the nose section of the aircraft drawing was what I did as I asked Mr. MOORE questions about the aircraft's appearance. The other lines that you see are "bleed through" from the back side of the paper (poor xerox copier) upon which I was making drawings in response to Mr. MOORE's responses about the very unusual "flying T tail" section of the aircraft. The notes down on the bottom right hand corner are my (poor handwriting) notes in response to my questions of Mr. MOORE's recollection of the the aircraft's appearance.

A-2 is the sketch provided to me by Mr. MOORE of the ostensible witness #2 who he (Moore) claimed to be with him at Groom Lake, Nevada.

A-3 is the sketch provided to me by Mr. MOORE of the ostensible witness #3 who he (Moore) claimed to be with him at Groom Lake, Nevada.

Mr. MOORE is the individual who indicated to me that this aircraft is called "The GOOSE", that it had all rounded surfaces, and was flown on occasions to show off to various people. He thought that it had but one engine, did not make very much noise, but did accelerate and climb out faster than

A

two escort aircraft that were with it. Mr. MOORE indicated that "The GOOSE" had (he was told?) unusual flight characteristics. He identified the escort aircraft as A-4's. Since Mr. MOORE is not knowledgeable of aircraft identifications (I have tested him), I do not know how he knows what an A-4 is, however, the A-4 does have an unusual shape, and he did identify that shape (for me) as that which were escorting "The GOOSE". Mr. MOORE made no statement as to indicate that there was any association between "The GOOSE" and/or any acquired "Alien" technology, including "PROJECT SNOWBIRD".

Of considerable interest to me is the fact that the CIA, when provided with the facts (see enclosure A-4 through A-6) of Mr. MOORE's alleged visit to Groom Lake, Nevada voices no concern (see enclosure A-7), however, when I make reference to the allegations put forward by one of their own ostensible agents (see enclosure F-1 through F-4), they state-

"You should also be aware that impersonation of a Federal official is a Federal crime, and should be reported to the FBI." (see enclosure F-5).

Quite obviously Mr. "JOHN H. WRIGHT" did not read my letter (see enclosure F-1 through F-4) very carefully, or he would have noted that I had sent a copy of it to- "Mr. Richard Huff, Department of Justice".

Mr. Richard Huff (Department of Justice, Office of Legal Policy, Office of Information and Privacy, Washington DC, 20530) is the individual who refuses to provide Mr. MOORE with the missing (with-held) pages of his file, as well as my AFOSI (still classified) file.

I called Mr. Richard Huff at 202-633-3642 at about 10:00 A.M. on May 5, 1989 trying to explain much of this to him and get some answers. I pointed out to him that I had sent copies (with a return envelope) of the MJ-12 document to the FBI and they had not responded. He indicated that is just what they would do if the document was still classified. After going around with him several times about ALL of this stuff he stated- "I am sorry but I can not help you Mr. Graham!" You are welcome to call him and confirm this.

I think that it is very obvious that there is far more to all of this than the idea that Mr. MOORE simply fabricated this material.

Since I am unaware that Mr. MOORE told anyone else that he had been to Groom Lake, Nevada, can you provide me with the name of the individual and particulars as to who provided Mr. CAMERON with this info. (see enclosure C)?

Also, Mr. CAMERON did not enclose "Doty's letter to Klass and Klass's letter to Doty"- may I have a copy of same?

Thank you for your time, Sir.

Sincerely



Lee M. Graham
526 W. Maple
Monrovia, CA
91016