

27 July 1987
Freedom of Information Act Request
(5 U.S.C. 552)
LEE S. STRICKLAND
Information and Privacy Coordinator
Central Intelligence Agency
Washington, DC
20505

Dear Mr. Strickland:

Sir, this is a formal Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) Request for-

1. A copy of that record which "OFFICIALLY" identifies the CIA/USAF flight test facility at Groom Lake, Nevada indicated in THIS documentation (see enclosure A-10).

My purpose in seeking this information is to DEMONSTRATE that the following documents are either genuine or they are DISINFORMATION created by some agency of the United States government to mislead the American public; just as you have suggested in THIS response (see enclosure B-1, B-2).

Please note THIS document (see enclosure C-1 thru C-3). I was approached and provided one page (see enclosure C-3 & D1-4) of THIS document (see enclosure C) to authenticate same through Mr. JOHN ANDREWS (of the Testor Corp., who designed the plastic model kit of the alleged USAF/Lockheed F-19 stealth fighter) and to "leak" same into the Aerospace industry in which I am currently employed.

THIS document (see enclosure C-3) is ostensibly the follow-on briefing mentioned in THIS document (see enclosure E-2).

Because I do not have a Top Secret clearance and because I then believed the document to be a phony; when I received THIS document (see enclosure C-3) I made THIS request (see enclosure D1-2 & 3) to my security office manager.

Because I made THIS request (see enclosure D1-2 & 3); I came to be investigated by the Defense Investigative Service (see enclosure F1-F2). I was NOT however, informed at that time that my security office manager believed that THIS document (see enclosure C-3) was authentic (see enclosure D1-5). It was not until I made this request (see enclosure F) and the release of my file (see enclosure D1-1 thru D1-10) that I came to know that the WHOLE investigation on me was because

of THIS document (see enclosure C-3>D1-4>D1-5). Quite curiously the Defense Investigative Service suggests (see enclosure D para. 7) that I contact the agency that first gave me THIS document (see enclosure C-3) for a complete copy of it. Their response (see enclosure D), however, omits my request (see enclosure G-2 #1) from my released file leaving the "outsider" to believe that the authenticity of THIS document (see enclosure D1-4) is a separate issue from the investigation on me by DIS. THIS letter (see enclosure G-1 and G-2) WAS submitted, however, BEFORE the investigation was closed. The Defense Investigative Service seems now to be no longer interested in my activities (see enclosure G-1 & G-2 thru G2) and THIS newly released document (see enclosure E-1 thru E-8).

I AM led to believe that there IS an OPSEC Department of Defense Directive #5200.1-TH that would apply to THIS document (see enclosure C-3) and was ostensibly reviewed prior to the closure of THIS investigation (see enclosure D1-1 thru D1-10).

2. I respectfully request a copy of the OPSEC Department of Defense Directive #5200.1 TH.R

After THIS file (see enclosure D1-1 thru D1-10) was closed (see enclosure D) I received a partial copy of THIS document (see enclosure C-1 thru C-3) and later, a complete copy of THIS document (see enclosure E-1 thru E-8). I MUST presume that the OPSEC Directive applies to THIS document (see enclosure E-1 thru E-8) as well; at least it did when I tried to authenticate it at Castle AFB, CA recently (see enclosure H1-H11)

I am led to believe that the agency of primary responsibility that released THIS document (see enclosure E-1 thru E-8) STILL EXISTS, though "they" are unwilling to identify themselves, preferring to let others authenticate or repudiate the material "they" have begun to circulate.

To the present, however, I have found NO agency of the United States government that is willing to "OFFICIALLY repudiate THIS document (see enclosure E-1 thru E-8).

With regard to the authenticity of THIS document (see enclosure E-1 thru E-8) there DOES exist a considerable body of evidence; please note the following-

At the time of the crash of THIS (see enclosure I) "DISC AND BALLOON", Maj. Gen. NATHAN F. TWINING DID "visit Kirtland and Sandia Army air bases near Albuquerque." (see enclosure J) "...due to a very important and sudden matter that developed here." (see enclosure J1).

The individual (Lieut. Col. JESSE MARCEL) who was charged with the responsibility of collecting SOME of THIS (see enclosure I>K) crash material HAS stated that "...it was not a weather balloon," (see enclosure K1-1)!

Former Senator BARRY GOLDWATER HAS stated that he WAS denied access to a facility (the "BLUE ROOM") at Wright-Patterson AFB where SOME of THIS crash material (see enclosure I>L-1 thru L-6) IS alleged to be sequestered.

I DO have MORE documentation on the subject if you are interested!

Since it IS ostensibly some agency of the United States government (CIA/AFOSI) that IS "leaking" (see enclosure G-1 para. 9) THIS documentation (see enclosure C-1 thru C-3, and E-1 thru E-8) to the public; as fact OR as DISINFORMATION; I believe that YOU (CIA/AFOSI) ARE responsible for "OFFICIALLY" authenticating it or repudiating it as well. Quite obviously letters like this one (see enclosure B) will NOT suffice in that they do NOT cover ANY of the material I have provided YOU and MR. KOCH.

Please note THIS photograph (see enclosure A2-2). THIS photograph of the A-12/F-12 aircraft at Groom Lake, Nevada WAS (no denials have been made) provided (unsolicited) BY the CIA to Mr. JOHN ANDREWS. THESE books (see enclosures A1 and A2) ARE on sale at the Lockheed Employees book store in Burbank, CA and thus demonstrate that there IS a flight test facility located at Groom Lake, Nevada associated with the CIA/USAF!

Mr. JOHN ANDREWS now reports that there ARE discoidally shaped aircraft in flight at Groom Lake, Nevada. YOU MAY call him to verify HIS testimony. HIS number is 619-546-9494.

3. I respectfully request a copy of THIS photograph (see enclosure A2-2) that the CIA IS alleged to have sent to Mr. JOHN ANDREWS.

Please permit me to quote from "YOUR" documentation (see enclosure M-5 #a)- "Under the FOIA, any person, of any citizenship, or none, from any place in the world, and for any purpose or reason whatever, may request a copy of any document or record of the Agency. If the requester 'reasonably describes' the document or record wanted, the Agency must furnish it to him or her..."

4. I respectfully request a photograph of and the designation of the discoidally shaped aircraft that ARE being witnessed in flight at Groom Lake, Nevada.

5. I respectfully request a photograph of and the current designation of the..."recovered Alien aircraft." (see enclosure C-3 #2) alleged to be have been in flight test in Nevada ostensibly at Groom Lake (see enclosure A3-1 thru A3-4).

Noting that upon receipt of THIS document (see enclosure C-1 thru C-3) the AFOSI HAD to make a determination as to the document's authenticity (see enclosure H) I MUST presume that the CIA has similar regulations (see enclosure M-6 #b).


6. I respectfully request a copy of THAT record which indicates "YOUR" agencies (CIA's) regard for attempting to authenticate or repudiate THIS document (see enclosure C-1 thru C-3) AS reflected in "YOUR" response (see enclosure B).

You will note Sir that I have NOT made ANY request for ANY records and/or photographs that are not already in circulation. It is ONLY authentication I seek; NOT DISINFORMATION!

I believe that the material found in (see enclosure N thru N5) DEMONSTRATES that the AFOSI is evading my attempts to authenticate THIS document (see enclosure E-1 thru E-8); I hope that YOU will be NOT be as evasive. I DO believe that I am one of the "serious researchers" that you make mention of (see enclosure B).

Thank you for your time Sir.

Sincerely


Lee M. Graham
526 W. Maple
Monrovia, CA
91016

Central Intelligence Agency



Washington D C 20505

6 AUG 1987

Lee M. Graham
526 West Maple
Monrovia, CA 91016

Reference: F87-0904

Dear Mr. Graham:

This is to acknowledge receipt of your letter (with enclosures) of 27 July 1987 in which you requested a number of items under the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). For identification purposes, we have assigned our reference number F87-0904 to this request.

Specifically you requested:

"1. A copy of that record which 'OFFICIALLY' identifies the CIA/USAF flight test facility at Groom Lake, Nevada indicated in THIS documentation (see enclosure A-A10)." ...

"2. I respectfully request a copy of the OPSEC Department of Defense Directive #5200.1 TH." ...

"3. I respectfully request a copy of THIS photograph (see enclosure A2-2) that the CIA IS alleged to have sent to Mr. JOHN ANDREWS." ...

"4. I respectfully request a photograph of and the designation of the discoidally shaped aircraft that ARE being witnessed in flight at Groom Lake, Nevada." ...

"5. I respectfully request a photograph of and the current designation of the ...'recovered Alien aircraft.' (see enclosure C-3 #2) alleged to be have been in flight test in Nevada ostensibly at Groom Lake (see enclosure A3-1 thru A3-4)." ...

"6. I respectfully request a copy of THAT record which indicates "YOUR" agencies (CIA's) regard for attempting to authenticate or repudiate THIS document (see enclosure C-1 thru C-3) AS reflected in "YOUR" response (see enclosure B)."

We will address each of the six items in your request separately, but first we wish to provide you with some general

information about the FOIA. The determination as to whether any document can be released under the FOIA is made by the specific agency that originated the document (or its successor if the originating agency no longer exists.) The National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) is the government-wide controlling body for this process, and determines which agency should do the review if the document is not readily identifiable. CIA review of the "Majestic 12 (MJ-12 or whatever)" package has been requested by a number of persons worldwide. Only one part of that package as submitted to us was purported to be a CIA document; it was a poorly done photocopied fabrication. We cannot identify any CIA equities in any of the other material, and it is not our function to determine who does have equities therein. Thus we are unable to assist you in your quest for authentication.

You should also be aware that the FOIA provides for public access to reasonably described records. This means that a document must be described sufficiently to enable a professional employee familiar with the subject to locate the document without an unreasonable amount of effort. This, with few exceptions, means that the documents must be locatable through the indexing to our various records systems. The FOIA does not require us to perform research or create records for a requester. Neither are we required to study a body of material to see if any of it is related to a specific event, activity, or incident.

As to your specific requests, we conducted a search of our computerized system of material previously released under the FOIA by the index listing "Groom Lake, Nevada" (Item 1). We located a photograph, entitled "Photograph of Ranch at Groom Lake, Nevada, where U2 operations took place", which was originally released to R.W. Koch in late 1980. (Unfortunately our system can only provide photocopies of previously released material, not originals. We apologize for the poor quality.)

We cannot assist you with Item 2. As we pointed out in Paragraph 3 above, you should submit this request to the originating agency, which in this case would obviously be the Department of Defense.


For Item 3, this Agency did provide similar photographs to John Andrews under the FOIA in November 1981. Unfortunately that FOIA request file has been destroyed in accordance with an approved records destruction schedule. (However the file copies of the photographs would have been of the same poor quality as that in Item 1 above.)

We are unable to assist you with Items 4 and 5. These do not describe records that are searchable under the FOIA. (See Paragraph 4 above.)

We hope that this letter will constitute an appropriate answer to your Item 6. As we pointed out to Mr. Koch in our letter of 16 July 1987 (a copy of which you enclosed), we do appreciate the difficulties and frustrations associated with research in the entire spectrum of aerospace activity, where ongoing current classified projects frequently impede historical research on events of forty years ago.

Thank you for your continuing interest in the CIA.

Sincerely,



Lee S. Strickland
Information and Privacy Coordinator

Enclosure

27 July 1987
 Freedom of Information Act Request
 (5 U.S.C. 552)
 LEE S. STRICKLAND
 Information and Privacy Coordinator
 Central Intelligence Agency
 Washington, DC
 20505

Dear Mr. Strickland:

Sir, this is a formal Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) Request for-

1. A copy of that record which "OFFICIALLY" identifies the CIA/USAF flight test facility at Groom Lake, Nevada indicated in THIS documentation (see enclosure A-19).

My purpose in seeking this information is to DEMONSTRATE that the following documents are either genuine or they are DISINFORMATION created by some agency of the United States government to mislead the American public; just as you have suggested in THIS response (see enclosure B-1, 2).

Please note THIS document (see enclosure C-1 thru C-3). I was approached and provided one page (see enclosure C-3 > D1-4) of THIS document (see enclosure C) to authenticate same through Mr. JOHN ANDREWS (of the Testor Corp., who designed the plastic model kit of the alleged USAF/Lockheed F-19 stealth fighter) and to "leak" same into the Aerospace industry in which I am currently employed.

THIS document (see enclosure C-3) is ostensibly the follow-on briefing mentioned in THIS document (see enclosure E-2).

Because I do not have a Top Secret clearance and because I then believed the document to be a phony; when I received THIS document (see enclosure C-3) I made THIS request (see enclosure D1-2 & 3) to my security office manager.

Because I made THIS request (see enclosure D1-2 & 3); I came to be investigated by the Defense Investigative Service (see enclosure F1-F2). I was NOT however, informed at that time that my security office manager believed that THIS document (see enclosure C-3) was authentic (see enclosure D1-5). It was not until I made this request (see enclosure F) and the release of my file (see enclosure D1-1 thru D1-10) that I came to know that the WHOLE investigation on me was because

of THIS document (see enclosure C-3>D1-4>D1-5). Quite curiously the Defense Investigative Service suggests (see enclosure D para. 7) that I contact the agency that first gave me THIS document (see enclosure C-3) for a complete copy of it. Their response (see enclosure D), however, omits my request (see enclosure G-2 #1) from my released file leaving the "outsider" to believe that the authenticity of THIS document (see enclosure D1-4) is a separate issue from the investigation on me by DIS. THIS letter (see enclosure G-1 and G-2) WAS submitted, however, BEFORE the investigation was closed. The Defense Investigative Service seems now to be no longer interested in my activities (see enclosure G-1 & G-2 thru G-2) and THIS newly released document (see enclosure E-1 thru E-8).

I AM led to believe that there IS an OPSEC Department of Defense Directive #5200.1-~~TH~~ that would apply to THIS document (see enclosure C-3) and was ostensibly reviewed prior to the closure of THIS investigation (see enclosure D1-1 thru D1-10).

2. I respectfully request a copy of the OPSEC Department of Defense Directive #5200.1 ~~TH~~R

After THIS file (see enclosure D1-1 thru D1-10) was closed (see enclosure D) I received a partial copy of THIS document (see enclosure C-1 thru C-3) and later, a complete copy of THIS document (see enclosure E-1 thru E-8). I MUST presume that the OPSEC Directive applies to THIS document (see enclosure E-1 thru E-8) as well; at least it did when I tried to authenticate it at Castle AFB, CA recently (see enclosure H-1-H-11)

I am led to believe that the agency of primary responsibility that released THIS document (see enclosure E-1 thru E-8) STILL EXISTS, though "they" are unwilling to identify themselves, preferring to let others authenticate or repudiate the material "they" have begun to circulate.

To the present, however, I have found NO agency of the United States government that is willing to "OFFICIALLY repudiate THIS document (see enclosure E-1 thru E-8).

With regard to the authenticity of THIS document (see enclosure E-1 thru E-8) there DOES exist a considerable body of evidence; please note the following-

At the time of the crash of THIS (see enclosure I) "DISC AND BALLOON", Maj. Gen. NATHAN F. TWINING DID "visit Kirtland and Sandia Army air bases near Albuquerque." (see enclosure J) "...due to a very important and sudden matter that developed here." (see enclosure J1).