

Now, my point is that Jerome Clark's views (or any other reviewers) should not be accepted as final, particularly when so devastating an attack is made. Clark seems to set himself up as "the Authority" on UFOs. Readers of my book will recall that I clearly showed that there are no authorities or "experts" in this field.

Certainly, in all fairness, at least one disagreement with the published review should be printed, thus giving equal space to opposing viewpoints. When you allow space to only the axewielder, great harm can be done to what others may consider a worthwhile book.

Is it your purpose with FATE Magazine (which I happen to have considered a worthy publication) to crucify an author on the strength of one person's views? You, of course, have the right to print, or not print, whatever you choose, but if my effort to defend myself now goes unpublished, you know as well as I that you are guilty of bias and unfairness.

Yours truly,

Arthur Bray