



DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
Office of Public Inquiries

January 25, 1995

THIS IS IN REPLY TO YOUR LETTER OF FEBRUARY 22 REGARDING THAT YOU WANTED TO BE A STANCE OF AIR FORCE POSITION WITH RESPECT TO UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS.

The paragraph you refer to was, I discovered some time ago, omitted from the later version. I can offer no explanation other than oversight, since the omission carries no significance. The oversight appears to be of minor importance, however, since our position in that respect has been a matter of record for a number of years.

[illegible]

I might point out that your report, submitted earlier to the Security Service, which, was received only from the Treasury, is still in effect. It should have been amended to take account of the new situation, or even amended, in the original version. I can give a red stamp to that effect and place it on this paper.

Captain, USAF
Air Force Press Desk

Mr. Leon Davidson
14 Courtview Avenue
White Plains, New York

NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

May 12, 1953

Mr. Lewis Davidson
4041 Douglas Street, Denver 6
Colorado 2, U.S.A.

Public Works

Is really a test of 1963, on
a personal level and not a
test of 1963, on a test of 1963, on

As to the question of having national language rights be restricted to the national language, it is by no means clear that this is the best way to protect the national language. The fact that the majority of the population in 1960 was of one nationality does not mean that the Government should make the Government language the national language. It is not clear that the Government should make the Government language the national language. It is not clear that the Government should make the Government language the national language.

Leave for court.

L. D. Cornell
S. D. Cyp. 12
Executive Officer

100

PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICE
HEADQUARTERS AIR RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COMMAND

F. O. BOL 1345
Baltimore 7, Maryland
Telephone Lexington 9-2616, Ext. 44 - 90

FLAT PM AVAILABLE - 7 AM TO 9 PM - Wednesday, 25 July 1993

920-43

THIS RELEASE, DATED 1963,
IS NOT IN CHRONOLOGICAL ORDER.

NEW LEAF SERVICE FOR TALLING MOUNTAIN PEACOCKS

BALTIMORE, MD. - A new device for providing an instant continuous light source for taking night aerial photographs is being developed for the United States Air Force by the Naval Air Station, Patuxent River, Md.

Called the "Wall Beaver", the device enables the taking of night aerial photographs by means of special cameras. The same, given to this machine by the Weisberg group, originated from the rear emitted when in operation. The Air Research and Development Command's Wright Air Development Center, Dayton, Ohio, is working with the Weisberg group on the development. See 15.

Called the "Wall Bearer", the device enables the taking of night aerial photographs by means of special cameras. The name, given to this machine by the Wallpapers Group, originated from the rear enlisted men in operation. The Air Research and Development Command's Wright Air Development Center, Dayton, Ohio, is working with the Wallpapers Group on the development program.

The actuators, installed in a torpedo-like 12-foot cylinder, attach to the wing of a reconnaissance aircraft and is controlled by the pilot. Built-in warning signals indicate if anything is wrong, and the entire machine can be set off in 10 seconds.

Settling in on the plane is no cakewalk. The fuel for the Ball Battery is stored in Styrofoam-filled magnesium powder, which burns at an extremely high temperature and must be handled with extreme care. It boils off at an intense bluish-violet, approximately 35,000,000 degrees and will burn for more than four minutes.

During the first flight conducted at Windsor Locks by the research group and Air Force personnel, nearly 2000 field officials were besieged with phone calls reporting flying saucers or planes going down in flames. An Air Force test conducted near ~~Windsor~~ ^{Wichita} ~~Locks~~ ^{Kansas} brought numerous calls from excited observers.

Successfully tested on numerous, the device has given the U. S. Air Force hope that an old military airplane could be used for the purpose of carrying out emergency activity at high from very low altitudes and at high speeds. The device was conceived by the Air Force in 1949 and development work started in fall of 1949. The first flight test model was designed and developed by Mr. Robert E. Weigley, University of California, Los Angeles, Calif., with the assistance of other personnel of the University of California, Los Angeles, Calif., and the Air Force. The first flight test model was designed and developed by Mr. Robert E. Weigley, University of California, Los Angeles, Calif., with the assistance of other personnel of the University of California, Los Angeles, Calif., and the Air Force. The first flight test model was designed and developed by Mr. Robert E. Weigley, University of California, Los Angeles, Calif., with the assistance of other personnel of the University of California, Los Angeles, Calif., and the Air Force.

Photographs available on request to ADIC, Public Information Section.

Part 21 The CIA PAXEL Report of 1953

The material in the Project Blue Book Special Report No. 14 (see Part D) was first prepared in 1952 at the request of the CIA by Air Force contractors and the Project Blue Book staff, for presentation to a panel of scientists early in 1953. (See E.J. Ruppelt's book "The Report on Unidentified Flying Objects", Doubleday, first edition, esp. pp. 239-254, for the background of this Panel.) In June, 1960, one of the Panel members, appearing on a CBS TV Special Report, publicly named the CIA as the "agency" (unnamed by Ruppelt) which had paid for the Panel's activities.

Although the Blue Book No. 34 was made public in October 1955 (see page D9), the Panel's report (page B2) was kept secret until given to Maj. H.R. Hays on April 1958, for use as a radio address. The Panel's report was given to CIA on April 9, 1958, for distribution. It then wrote to each Panel member, and to others, to try to clarify the purpose and meaning of their report. Selections from the replies which I received are reproduced on pages R3 to B4.

Note that the main purpose of this Panel study, insofar as the CIA was concerned, apparently was to prepare for a test program to see why people reacted so strongly to the "Gee Page R1." The Panel's report, the letters touching on this are indicated by marginal notes "See Page R1." From this, the CIA might have wished to derive some useful psychological warfare techniques.

The sighting report quoted by Maj. Kerboe in his book "Flying Saucers--Top Secret", pp. 18-20, has all the earmarks of a CIA "field evaluation" of such a psychological warfare gimmick. See my comments on this in my article (pg. 7, 50) "An Open Letter to Saucer Researchers", in the magazine "Flying Saucers", March, 1962 (Issue 70-24) published by Ray Palmer, Amherst, Wisconsin, 54606.

44

The letter reproduced below shows that the normal channel for scientific study of government problems, the National Academy of Sciences, (which would not have accepted such CIA "guidance" in shaping its results), had not been invited to study the "saucer" sightings, at the same time that the CIA's panel of scientists was set up. Thus one may be justified in doubting that the U.S. Government sincerely wanted an impartial scientific investigation of the "flying saucers" in 1953. This is further shown by the Government's failure to adopt or to publish the recommendations given by the Panel in Paragraph 3 of their report. NII

B1