

NOTE:

..This document was given to John Lear on 10/04/88 by 4 persons who for obvious reasons must remain anonymous at this time. This document becomes extremely important when viewed along with other documents and information. It becomes even more important when compared with PROJECT EXCALIBUR which comes under MAJESTIC TWELVE/AQUARIUS. PROJECT EXCALIBUR's mission is to develop a weapon which will penetrate the earth to 1 mile and then detonate a nuclear weapon in order to destroy the alien underground base in New Mexico.

Notes from WX Division: September 9, 1988 ref. []

1. There is an unprecedented push to develop a missile that is capable of penetrating 1,000 meters of earth prior to detonating.
2. The device under development carries a 1 megaton warhead.
3. Some requirements & comments given to WX division were as follows:
 - A. "The device must be capable of penetrating 1,000 meters of tufa/hard pack soil and sustain no operational damage. This type of soil is commonly found in New Mexico, where we will be conducting the penetration tests."
 - B. "Missile apogee must not exceed 30,000 feet AGL."
 - C. "Impact deviation will not exceed 50 meters."
 - D. "We believe the Russians have constructed under ground bases in the Soviet Union 500 to 1,000 meters deep. Since their soil is the same that is found in New Mexico, accurate tests can be performed here (New Mexico). Fallout shelters that deep are far too expensive for the United States to construct."
4. The YY-II facility is located in Los Alamos at the rear of the "ice cave". The "ice cave" or "ice" as it is sometimes called, is a high security installation cut into the side of the mesa Los Alamos sits on. The entrance is via the road under the diamond street bridge. Macdonald's restaurant is directly over the facility. Entrance into the cave can be seen by leaning over the cliff behind Macdonalds. YY-II is double faraday shielded, the reason given was "to attenuate computer emissions". It would seem strange to do this however, since the cave is surrounded by a 200 foot thick wall of rock.
5. An additional note: contrary to information given to WX division, the topsoil & underlying earth in the Soviet Union is not similar in density nor composition to that of New Mexico soil.

(NOTE! WX = experimental weapons)

WASHINGTON INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY oceanographic and physical
sciences

Dr. Robert I. Sarbacher
President and Chairman of Board

November 29, 1983

Mr. _____

Dear Mr. _____:

I am sorry I have taken so long in answering your letters. However, I have moved my office and have had to make a number of extended trips.

To answer your last question in your letter of October 14, 1983, there is no particular reason I feel I shouldn't or couldn't answer any or all of your questions. I am delighted to answer all of them to the best of my ability.

You listed some of your questions in your letter of September 12th. I will attempt to answer them as you had listed them.

1. Relating to my own experience regarding recovered flying saucers, I had no association with any of the people involved in the recovery and have no knowledge regarding the dates of the recoveries. If I had I would send it to you.

2. Regarding verification that persons you list were involved, I can only say this:

John Von Neuman was definitely involved. Dr. Vannever Bush was definitely involved, and I think Dr. Robert Oppenheimer also.

My association with the Research and Development Board under Doctor Compton during the Eisenhower administration was rather limited so that although I had been invited to participate in several discussions associated with the reported recoveries, I could not personally attend the meetings. I am sure that they would have asked Dr. Von Braun, and the others that you listed were probably asked and may or may not have attended. This is all I know for sure.

3. I did receive some official reports when I was in my office at the Pentagon but all of these were left there as at the time we were never supposed to take them out of the office.

4. I do not recall receiving any photographs such as you request so I am not in a position to answer.

5. I have to make the same reply as on No. 4.

I recall the interview with Dr. Brenner of the Canadian Embassy. I think the answers I gave him were the ones you listed. Naturally, I was more familiar with the subject matter under discussion, at that time. Actually, I would have been able to give more specific answers had I attended the meetings concerning the subject. You must understand that I took this assignment as a private contribution. We were called "dollar-a-year men". My first

responsibility was the maintenance of my own business activity so that my participation was limited.

About the only thing I remember at this time is that certain materials reported to have come from flying saucer crashes were extremely light and very tough. I am sure our laboratories analyzed them very carefully.

There were reports that instruments or people operating these machines were also of very light weight, sufficient to withstand the tremendous deceleration and acceleration associated with their machinery. I remember in talking with some of the people at the office that I got the impression these "aliens" were constructed like certain insects we have observed on earth, wherein because of the low mass the inertial forces involved in operation of these instruments would be quite low.

I still do not know why the high order of classification has been given and why the denial of the existence of these devices.

I am sorry it has taken me so long to reply but I suggest you get in touch with the others who may be directly involved in this program.

Sincerely yours,

(SIGNATURE)

Dr. Robert I. Sarbacher

P. S. It occurs to me that Dr. Bush's name is incorrect as you have it. Please check the spelling.

NAM SIGHTING

We were stationed at a security base in 'Nam in 1968. Some of the units there regularly made sweeps into an adjacent country where we were not suppose to be so the whole damned base was classified. My unit was out on routine patrol when we ran across Charlie and a fire fight ensued. It was late in the day and light was f were mostly firing in the general direction that the return fire was coming from. Undergrowth was heavy and the fire fight ran hot and cold. There were periods of quiet followed by sporadic firing when someone thought they saw something or heard something. During one of these breaks Kelly noticed the object in the sky. I saw him staring up and looked up to find he was looking at a flat disk just hang ing motionless there looked silver colored but was reflecting the setting sun so it was hard to tell. It just hovered there, not moving any way. Sounds like as stupid way to describe something, but it looked just like someone had taken two dinner plates and glued them face to face. Maybe it was a little flatter on the bottom than on the top, it was kind of hard to tell. We had to keep an eye out on the ground so we couldn't watch the obje ct the time, but it just sat there, sort of like it was watching us. I guess it was about thirty feet across or so and maybe 200-300 yards elevation. I could see no break on the smooth surface, and its color was changing as the sun went down. Most of the guys around me had seen it and some were damned puzzled. It didn't look like anything I had ever seen before and no one else knew what it was. Some guys thought it was some kind of vation craft from our side but that didn't sound right. As it grew darker, the fire fight died down. I think Charlie had withdrawn and we would probably doing the same soon. The object just sat there, slowly getting darker but still easy to see against the sky. Just about the time we figured we would be pulling back, Kelly got this idea that he would shoot the damned thing down. He always was a little nuts and he let loose with a burst. I don't know if he hit the thing, probably not. But a minute later it picked up and moved off. Not slowly but not real fast. It just sort of decided it had seen enough here and left.

Maybe Kelly's firing at it had something to do with it, maybe not. I don't remember any sound as it left. It disappeared in a general south direction. I remember it did n't climb, just seemed to hold altitude and leave the area.

We talked a lot about it but decided that we maybe shouldn't mention it to anyone. Hell, if it were something from out side, we could get in a lot of trouble for shooting it up. No body seriously thought it was from the North but a few wondered if it were something the Russians had sent down. We started calling it a UFO. Sort of figured that if it were a craft from some place else, m aybe it wasn't a good idea to shoot at it. didn't tell anybody. The Lieutenant never saw it so we just shut up. Nobody liked that SOB anyway and we weren't going to go to him and tell him we saw a "flying saucer."

I don't know what it was. I am sure, however, that if it were a chopper we would surely have heard the noise and could have easily identified it. I got the impression it was just watching us. I kind of figure Kelly's bullets, even it they had hit it, have done any damage.

The above was copied, almost verbatim, from an

JUNE 13, 1987

Dear Sirs,

Although I missed your very recent radio and tv shows, a friend suggested that I write this letter and share my information with you. I am fifty year af age engineer that has worked in the private sector, as well as with the government.

From 1975 to 1980, i was involved with several investigations having to do with E.T. material. Even though the project was very well covered, I am still very much surprised that Project Pluto and results have not surfaced yet.

In as much as the project was handled by others, there was no question that the government was in full control. For security purposes, Project Pluto had five levels. Those who investigated one level had nothing to do with the next, and would only turn in the results of data of these findings to the next level, then go on to another area.

No one that I knew ever had the results of two levels at the same time. There was only one very rare exception to the rule whic h took place in 1975, and was in fact my very first assignm ent with the project. I was employed by a non- government firm that of course was directly involved with the government, and probably fronted for them on the projects.

I would assume that eventually government records could be made available to the general public, but not from the private sector. I'm not really sure. In any event, several others and myself were rushed to a rather remote place in upstate Pennsylvannia.

We were informed that every thing was top secret, and had to remain that way. We wer e even housed in a hotel close by, and security people were assigned to us. Some type of aircraft had crashed in this area, and the government felt that it would be easier and faster to do all of their investigation right rhere rather than to move the remains of the wreck, and whatever evidence tha soil may contain.

The wreck site was rather difficult to get to, however, we turned it into a construction site, clearing the area, and building two small and one very large m etal pre-fab buildings over and aro und the wreck. Heavy equipment, materials, and workers were flown in and out on a daily basis.

We were moved from the hotel to some mobile homes that were brought in for us, and many more top level people who joined us. I might add that the local people who still lived some distance from the site, were led to believe that a large private corporation was preparing to build an electrical power supply for the area. But it was off limits to everyone in the area.

We were some of the fi rst ones on the scene other than lots of security people. The wreck had already been covered by very large canvas blankets, and large nets were tented over head to protect the site from any possible air traffic. I did manage to get a very good look at the wreck on several occasions. My first thoughts were that it may have been and experimental air craft of our government, or perhaps the government of another.

It was later suggested to us that it was a new typ0e of air craft of a foreign government, and it was a super hush hu sh project. That air craft was nothing like I have ever seen or probably ever will again.

The crash site was also something quite different. The disturbed soil was

about a hundred feet in diameter, although the craft was much larger in length. I only saw what appeared to be about two-thirds of the rear end, and even that was about ten feet below the surface. When it crashed on about a 30 degree angle, it pushed all the earth back away from the craft itself. Almost as if the hole were made first, and then something half the size was put in place.

My best thought of this rather strange hole is that some kind of magnetic field had pushed all the earth away from the craft at impact. It was reasonable to assume that I was looking down at the rear end of the air craft, yet there was nothing to indicate that it had any kind of engine as we know it. Not even one little opening.

I don't really like to use the term cigar, but since I could not see the front part, it certainly appeared to have once been shaped like a large cigar. That's my best description.

If it was a craft from a foreign government, then we are in big trouble. I actually held one small portion of the wreck in my hand. Incidentally, there was only a very small reading of radio waves in the area. The area was quite safe. There was on the other hand, a great deal of free magnetic energy in that area. It was very difficult using our instruments. It was very difficult just to establish the correct time. Every wrist watch was a different time.

The piece of physical evidence that I held in my hand, was a material that I really can't identify. It may have been torn from the front of the craft when it crashed. A few of us compared thoughts as to what it might be, but no one was really certain. None of us had ever seen anything like it before. It was about one square foot and about an inch thick. It weighed almost nothing, and one had the feeling that it may blow away if you let go of it and the wind increased.

It was the same color and texture of the large portion, so it was safe to assume that it was part of the shell of the craft. It weighed nowhere near enough to be any metal that we had ever seen. It even weighed less than any plastic that I had seen of a similar size.

I tried to scratch it with a pocket knife, and even cut away a small piece of the edge, but the knife did nothing to it. The surface was clear as glass, and stronger than anything we had ever seen. It was like trying to scratch the surface of glass with a feather. Of course, we had to turn it into the security people.

After the site was secure, the first groups that included myself were taken away. There was a very thorough strip search prior to us being given jumpsuits, and put into a truck for the transportation to a waiting plane. We were taken to another area and debriefed before we were allowed to return to our normal way of life.

About three months later, a few of us returned to the site to remove the buildings, fill up the hole, and generally put the area back into the same shape as it may have been prior to the crash. We went through the same type of security search and debriefing as we had done the first time. Whatever was left of the craft had been removed and sent to - unknown-.

Almost one year to the day, I was sent to another crash site. Everything was a repeat of the first time, except that there were six of us. Four of us were part of the first crash site, and the other two were new. This time the air craft was buried so deep into the lower side of a hill that we could not get a good look at it.

The security arrangements were just about the same, and we constructed similar buildings around it. It was very close to a rerun of the first crash site. Only this time we were told, "as a matter of fact it was a top secret experimental air craft that had crashed. I could however, manage to see the rear end of the craft. It appeared to be the same type as the first. The code name of the project was Pluto, as it was the first also. Incidentally, that was in upstate New York, in 1976. I do not know if there were any "people" or life forms aboard.

Several years later, I had an occasion to be in the area of site #one. The bartender only recognized me because he brought in our first room service the first night we stayed there. There was no room service there, but he made his one and only exception to the rule for us. He asked me lots of questions which of course had no answers for, nor would I give any. He told me that he heard that there were lots of medical people on the site. He thought that rather odd for an electric power station. He assumed that there must have been some kind of an accident, or lots of waste material in the area. We let it go at that.

It appears that security was very good, and no one had any idea that an air craft had crashed there. I suspect that the air craft was fifty feet in diameter and as long as two hundred feet. I could be wrong in the length. As I recall the under portion of air craft #one had more of a flat surface. It was difficult to see, but I do recall the difference in the portion of the under surface that I could see.

There were also a few interesting grooves on the top and side areas about twenty feet long. We had speculated that either a steering fin had been attached, or a place where it could slide through from the inside, similar to the type of system used to lower wheels on any air craft. First speculation!

In 1978, I was again sent to a crash site. We arrived the day after the security people. This time the air craft landed in a lake in upstate Pennsylvania. We went through the same motions, and built several buildings on the edge of the lake to house the craft, once it was removed from the water. Lots of Navy equipment was flown in, and there were divers everywhere. The same magnetic field was present as in the first two incidents. There was no air craft.

I spent three weeks there in the event that the buildings had to be adjusted. It seems that the divers had located the exact spot that the air craft had rested on the bottom, but it was gone. There was lots of evidence on the beach that something very large had crashed into the lake causing the water of the lake to splash ashore, over thirty feet, and what was normally a rather clean lake, was suddenly a very large mudhole. After three weeks, I left and was subjected to the same type of security as the first two times.

I didn't have to return to dismantle the pre-fab buildings. I would think that it was done by others very soon thereafter. There were some thoughts at first that the craft may have been buried under the bottom of the lake, but by this time, we knew enough about the craft to know if that were the case. It was not - it just vanished. Perhaps it was not damaged and managed to fly away. That may sound silly, but I believe that it is a reasonable conclusion. There was some damage to the immediate area. Six large electrical transformers, and some other equipment were burnt out and had to be replaced by the electric company. The government took the electrical equipment and had it flown somewhere else.

In 1979, I was sent to the shores of southern Texas. The same type of

situation, all the evidence led us to believe that whatever it was, it landed in the water and flew away.

I understand that over twenty men actually saw it go into the water, but the days that followed bore no fruit. The Navy divers had a good deal of evidence that some thing has made a very large recent gash on the bottom in about seventy feet of water.

The very same magnetic field was present, and every thing electrical in the immediate area was burnt out. By the end of 1979, I was sent back to the very same lake in Northern Pennsylvania. It was a duplicate incident as the first time we were there. It had landed in the lake and was gone. The same electrical items were again destroyed, and the same magnetic field was evident. I really have no physical evidence to prove one way or another the identification of the air craft. I can only examine what I have experienced and wonder! My opinion is another story.

I don't really believe that the air craft belongs to our Government or any other government on this earth. From 1975 to present, we would certainly have seen some kind of revolutionary change in our air craft. To date, I still have not seen any material to even slightly match the very first piece of physical evidence that I had examined. That alone, would have made a fantastic change in the material we use today. No corporation or government could keep that kind of material quality under cover for over twelve years. If they had invented it.

After twelve years we probably don't even know what it is, or how to make it. I am positive that at the very least, they have two of the air craft hidden somewhere, and probably have learned very little from them, other than they exist. However, the company that I was associated with has made some gigantic leaps forward in electromagnetic forces. Perhaps they did learn something from it after all. If we wish to assume that these air craft are not from this earth, and are from way out there in space, then my goodness, the planet must be full of visitors. The air traffic alone, must be fantastic. It seems to me that whoever they are they have found it easier to land in the water rather than on our dry earth. Perhaps it was designed to land on water or a very flat surface.

I have never really had any feelings one way or another about E.T.'s. I have elected to deal with hard evidence only, that was prior to 1975. For those few years afterwards, I could not help but interject some opinions into my brain.

As I would rather deal with hard evidence only, I am forced to put all of this on a scale, and take a good hard look at the tilting of the scales. There is far too much here to ignore. I must confess that I had some what leaned towards the experimental air craft story. But as the years passed, it became evident that was only a cover story. Too much time has past, and our air craft are pretty much the same. There is no doubt in my mind that we as well as others, are constantly experimenting with new air craft, however at this late date, I am reasonably certain that those crash sites were not made by anything that we are familiar with, and then again, I still kind of reserve that possibility. As you can see, I have many mixed feelings about it.

In addition, I have no way of knowing if I had been on every crash site that occurred. There may have been many more during that period and many more since then.

I really don't know if any one had ever been removed from the two wrecks, that I had personally seen. Perhaps they were only mechanical flights. Perhaps

the medical people were only there - just in case. And/or to test the wreck for any form of unknown bacteria prior to removing it. Their being present is not really evidence that a life form was found. It could go either way.

I just cannot imagine our government or any other keeping it such a secret, if in fact, they were not from this earth. It really serves no purpose that I can understand. Also appears to me that if they were from somewhere else that they mean us no harm. I would suspect that after all these years, if they meant us harm, we would most certainly know about it by now.

Even if they were only mechanical flights, the fact that they could get here at all...so many times, is certainly a feat in itself, and worthy of publication.

If the fact that they managed to get here at all, and the physical evidence, the material that I examined is any indication of how intelligent they are, then I would feel safe in assuming that they have left us in the stone age. On a personal level, I have no fear of meeting or contacting such a being. In fact, the very first time I saw the craft, the thought had entered my mind. I didn't expect a being like Frankenstein to come walking out. And for a short time I had wondered what I would do if suddenly a door opened and some form of life came out. What the heck! If it didn't look too bad I may have walked up and said hello just as I would to anyone else who may visit me.

I would think that I would be more afraid of picking up some unknown form of bacteria than I would be of the life form itself. Well, today we can pick up AIDS...so, as I put the germ fear aside. Yes. I would certainly try to be friendly once the first wave of fear passed me by. What I fear more than any visitor is that some farmer is going to get them with a shot gun and do more damage than we can or want to handle. Also, some cowboy policeman trying to shoot his first space man. Because of that I should think that our government would try to educate us as to the proper way to handle any such situation. That little bit of awareness and education may save our planet from destruction. If there is clear evidence that we are being visited by intelligent life forms, then the heads of our government are by far crazier than I had imagined by trying to keep it a secret. The damage of hostile action on our part may not be repairable.

I'm so sorry but I am not familiar with your group, but my friend was very impressed by your speaker on tv as well as the radio. Because of that I am trying to share whatever information and evidence that I have which is in fact all first hand data. I have illustrated the evidence and information as it happened, and made note of where I speculated.

There are many items that I have not included in this letter, only because this could turn into forty or fifty pages. At some time in the future, if you wish, I will take my time and write every detail that I can remember. I'll also put it on tape for clarification.

I was told that you plan to open another branch in the future in the Philadelphia area? If that's the case I would certainly be interested in some form of association with your group. I belong to no other and never had any desire to, however, I understand that you are serious people, and worthy of respect. I regard the opinion of my friend very highly. He is a professor of physics, and himself a very serious person. I would appreciate your sending me some literature about your group, and your plans if any to enter the Philadelphia area. I think that you have selected a very good location. There are many very serious people there and a good solid investigation group would be quite welcome. I'm certain that once you entered the area the support group would be fantastic. If you selected Philadelphia, then the

assured that you picked the right city for another branch.

Incidentally, my experience in the E.T. world ended in 1980, when I changed positions. Have you had any reports of material that I examined belonging to an air craft from others? And the ever present magnetic field, do you gave any reports from others similar to that? I have heard of others saying that they have seen a cigar shaped air craft, and I feel rather silly. Perhaps that's not the word. But, to the best of my knowledge and sight, it appeared to be just that. A cigar shaped craft. Please note that in both cases, I had never seen the front of the craft. I can only assume that the design was constant. I'll close for now and hope to hear from you. I remain, (AUTHORS NAME CENSORED)

April 20, 1980

Dear _____,

I read your letter of the 13th with considerable interest. It seems difficult to believe that I have finally found someone that is as interested in finding out the true story of Project Red Light.

First of all I would like to address the specific points requested in your letter, and then I will expand on them and other items as well.

1. I did not know about the UFO movie prior to reading your article.
2. I found out the name of Project Redlight almost by accident. I was _____ in a warehouse at Area 51 and saw a large number of wooden shipping crates (the type made with tongue and groove lumber and used for shipping heavy items securely) with the code name "Project Redlight" written with grease pen or felt tip pen. Also on the crates was a stencilled "Edwards AFB" in standard military type of letters.
3. The article in Readers Digest that I referred to was about a UFO that flew over the US from the East Coast to where it "blew up" somewhere over eastern Nevada. The article did not state that the pilot was human. I'm sorry for the confusion on this. The reason that I felt that this Digest article is important is that I remember a period shortly after the date given in the article (I don't remember what it was, sorry) everything came to a screeching halt at Area 51. As you can see, I am just putting two and two together, but I am satisfied that the UFO of the Digest article and the UFO of Project Redlight are/were one and the same.

Now for some additional information that has come to mind since I talked to you.

Your report about the motorcycle riders is interesting. I can remember hearing similar rumors while I worked on the test site and I would not doubt the authenticity of your report. The security force at Area 51 while I serviced the Area were heavily armed and I considered them dangerous. There were several times that I was in the wrong place at the right time and had it not been provable that I was not snooping or trying to snoop I really don't think I would be writing you this letter. Incidentally, the security wore standard air police uniforms but looked nothing like any of the AP's I have ever dealt with. They were all in their 30's to 40's, and looked like dock workers. Also as I remember it the only markings they wore just said Air Police. They did not have Nellis AFB on anything but a few of their vehicles, and I believe that they were assigned to Edwards rather than Nellis. The reason I remember this is that it seemed strange to me during my first trip to Area 51 I noticed a slight difference in their uniforms from Nellis AP's, and I overheard a couple of remarks that indicated they were from Edwards.

Another interesting point. I was accustomed to going out onto the Bombing and Gunnery Range and had a number at Indian Springs (Nellis AFB maintains a forward area base there) to call to get clearance onto the range. This was SOP. However, in the case of Area 51 I called the security office at Area 51. This indicated to me that Nellis AFB had nothing to do with the operation of Area 51. Also the designation of Area 51 was classified TOP SECRET.

Incidentally, although I carried a "Q" clearance from the Atomic Energy Commission as well as an inter agency TOP SECRET clearance I was investigated

for a special Air Force TOP SECRET clearance in order to operate in Area 51.

At this point I am going to give you just a series of disconnected observations that I made in the hope that they may tie in with something you or Len Stringfield may know.

1. I saw some crates being unloaded from a cargo plane. The aircraft was a super constellation with standard markings and had nothing to indicate it had any military connections. There was no airline name on it.

2. I only the UFO one time. It was on the ground and partly hidden behind a building and at first I thought it was a small private aircraft until I noticed it had no wings or tail. I was probably 1/2 mile or farther from it but I would guess it 20 to 30 feet in diameter and sort of a pewter color rather than bright polished aluminium.

3. The reason I know it flew silently is I was present on a number of occasions when it was landing or taking off (I was always taken inside and out of view of the runway at these times) and at no time did I hear anything that sounded like a conventional or any other kind of engine.

4. I was working on their _____ several times when they just _____. However since I was there to work on them I really didn't think anything about it. Oh yes, they would _____ again just as suddenly. Also there were a number of times that I was called out to work on _____ and could not find anything wrong with it. 5. One of the men that I knew were "Pilots" was just slightly larger than me.

6. Most of the test flights occurred in the daytime rather than at night.

7. The radio frequency they used at that time was in the regular commercial VHF band and was a simplex frequency. At one time I had the Freq. written down but I have no idea now what it was. Anyway, I really think that someone with a scanner could pick them up if they were to park on the road to the east of the test site and use some kind of directional antenna.

8. Before I send this letter I will try to get a USC&GS map of the area and will mark the location of Area 51 on it.

9. There was a Radar Station at the north end of the test site near the town of Tonopah, Nevada. A fellow from my home town of _____ was an operator there. His name is _____. We were talking about the test site one day when he mentioned that he was always picking up UFO's over the test site but was told to ignore them. I remember him telling about one that he picked up on the edge of his RBI on the first revolution was directly overhead on the second pass and was just going off the scope on the third revolution of the antenna.

10. For some reason I seem to remember that the Redlight is spelled Redlight i.e. all one word.

11. I always had the impression that the flight test area was from Area 51 north because there is a natural valley that runs in that direction for 200 miles or so. Also the area to the south was more populated. Also I entered Area 51 from the north and there were a couple of times that I was questioned about whether I had 'noticed' anything on the way in.

12. I was reminded constantly that no matter what I saw I could be in serious trouble if I ever talked about any of it. I can't stress how much how tight the security was, it was almost paranoid.

13. I don't think this has anything to do with Redlight, but I remember when I was a kid back in the 40's, on two occasions I saw a story about UFO's included in the weekly Pathe' News. There was one story about a UFO that had crashed somewhere and another story about a UFO that was found in some farmers barn. Both of those stories were given the usual news treatment. The reason that I am mentioning this is that I recently read an article about the entire library of Pathe' movie film being given to an institution of some kind which would make it available to researchers. I am certain that if these film clips could be located they would be useful. Realizing that these stories appeared prior to Blue Book and at a time of Buck Rogers and Flash Gordon, there is probably a better than even chance that they can be located by someone willing to spend the time viewing a few hundred hours of old news film.

14. More on item #7, the air-to-ground frequencies were in the 200mc range. I believe there is a standard set of air-to-ground or government frequencies either just above or just below the 220mc ham band and their communications were on those frequencies.

Well there you have it _____. I hope that I have shed some light on some dark areas. Should any other thoughts come to mind that I feel may be important I will try to catch you on the _____. Hopefully if I can get things settled down to a dull roar I will be able to start checking in on a more permanent basis. Of course, should you have any questions or want further clarification please contact me.

(signature)

NOTE...Any information that would identify the sender or recipient of this letter has been deleted in order to protect them. The original document contains both names and addresses but will be given to no one without their permission. The reason Len Stringfields name is left in is that he is a known UFO researcher and it cannot hurt him as he was not the sender or receiver.

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT DOCUMENT FILES

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
HEADQUARTERS AIR FORCE OFFICE OF SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS
BOLLING AIR FORCE BASE, DC 20332-6001

MARCH 16 1987

Mr. _____

Dear Mr.

This is in response to your request for information of 5 march 1987, which was received on 11 March 1987.

A search of the Defense Central Index of Investigations (DCII) disclosed that the Air Force Office of Special Investigations (AFOSI), is not maintaining any files pertaining to "Project Aquarius". The DCII is a computerized listing of files held by the investigative components of the Department of Defense.

Sincerely,

Cecil W. Fry, SA

Chief, Information Release Division

Directorate Of Administration

a great deal of nonscientific reasoning out of keeping with his scientific work. His first UFO book was even translated int

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT DOCUMENT FILES

NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY

CENTRAL SECURITY SERVICE

Fort George G. Meade, Maryland 20755 - 6000

Serial: Q4-072-87

27 January 1987

The Honorable John Glenn
United States Senate
ATTN: Mr. Mark Frondorf
SH-503 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senator Glenn:

This responds to your letter of 7 January 1987 on behalf of your constituent, Mr. Clifford E. Stone (Enclosure 1).

The National Security Agency (NSA) has received numerous Freedom Of Information Act (FOIA) requests for information pertaining to UFO incidents. Our records show that Mr. Stone has submitted six such requests over the past 7 years. One of those requests was for the information mentioned in paragraph 2 of his letter to you, the UFO incident at RAF Woodbridge Base. In our 1 February 1984 response to Mr. Stone (Enclosure 2), we notified him that the estimated manpower and computer search costs involved in locating records responsive to his requests were \$250.00. We advised him that, upon receipt of half that amount, a search would be made. Mr. Stone did not respond to our letter.

Regarding the information in paragraph 1 of Mr. Stone's letter, we have no record of receiving an FOIA requests for documents dealing with a UFO destruction of a Cuban MiG-23.

Paragraph 3 of his letter ask for NSA analysis of the document he attached. It appears to be an Air Force document. The project names which are referenced, Sigma and Snowbird, are not NSA projects. We have no knowledge of the information contained in the document.

The subject of paragraph 4, project Aquarius, has been the subject of numerous FOIA requests. Apparently there is or was an Air Force project by that name which dealt with UFO's. Coincidentally, there is also an NSA project by that name. The NSA project does not deal with UFO's. We believe that the confusion on this issue results from an FOIA requests submitted by another person with interest in UFOs, Mr. Christian Lambricht.

Mr. Lambricht requested all information on the NSA project Aquarius, apparently believing that the project pertain to UFOs.

We advised Mr. Lambright that our project does not deal with UFOs. He then requested records revealing the "goal" of Aquarius, and we withheld the document because it is classified. We have reason to believe that our final response to Mr. Lambright, denying him access to the records, has been disseminated within a circle of those interested in UFOs and that subsequently a misunderstanding has developed regarding NSA, Aquarius and UFOs.

In responding to general FOIA requests for UFO information, certain documents have been withheld from the public pursuant to the first and third exemptions of the FOIA. The first exemption provides for the protection of information which is currently and properly classified in accordance with the provisions of Executive Order 12356. The third exemption protects information from disclosure by statute. The statutes applicable in this case are 50 U.S.C. 402 note (Public Law 86-36, Section 6), 50 U.S.C. 403(d) (3) and 18 U.S.C. 798. This Agency's decision to protect those records has been upheld by the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia.

We hope that the information being provided to you will be of help to Mr. Stone.

Sincerely,

Julia B. Wetzel

Director Of Policy

of his letter to you, the UFO incident at RAF Woodbridge Base. In our 1 February 1984 response to Mr. Stone (Enclosure 2

CUFON Computer UFO Network

Document #: 22

From: UFO INFO SERVICE

Date Sent: 07-12-1986

Subject: 1975 B.GOLDWATER,REQ-INFO

BARRY GOLDWATER

Arizona

UNITED STATES SENATE
Washington D.C. 20510

Committees:
Aeronautical & Space Sci.
Armed Services
Preparedness Inv Subcommit
Tactical Air Power Subcomm
N. S. Naval Petroleum
Reserves Subcommittee

March 28, 1975

Mr.Shlomo Arnon
U.C.L.A. Experimental College
308 Westwood Plaza
Los Angeles, California 90024

Dear Mr.Arnon:

The subject of UFOs is one that has interested me for some time. About ten or twelve years ago I made an effort to find out what was in the building at Wright Patterson Air Force Base where the information is stored that has been collected by the Air Force, and I was understandably denied this request.

It is still classified above Top Secret. I have, however, heard that there is a plan under way to release some, if not all, of this material in the near future. I'm just as anxious to see this material as you are, and I hope we will not have to wait too much longer.

Sincerely.

Barry Goldwater

CUFON Computer UFO Network

Document #: 23

From: UFO INFO SERVICE

Date Sent: 07-12-1986

Subject: 1981 GOLDWATER,LETTER # 2

BARRY GOLDWATER

UNITED STATES SENATE
Washington D.C. 20510

Committees:
Intelligence Chairman
Armed Services
Tactical Warfare.Chair
Preparedness
Strategic Nuclear Force
Communications Chairman
Aviation
Science Technology and
Space
Indian Affairs

October 19,1981

Mr. Lee M. Grahan:
526 West Maple

Monrovia, California 91016

Dear Mr. Graham:

First, let me tell you that I have long ago given up acquiring access to the so-called Blue Room at Wright-Patterson, as I have had one long string of denials from chief after chief, so I have given up.

In answer to your questions, "one is essentially correct." I don't know of anyone who has access to the "Blue Room," nor am I aware of its contents and I am not aware of anything having been relocated. I can't answer your question six, in fact, I can't find anyone who would answer it.

To tell you the truth, Mr Graham, "this thing has gotten so highly classified," even though I will admit there is a lot of it that has been released, "it is just impossible to get anything on it."

I am returning your papers because I know they are of value to you.

Sincerely,

Barry Goldwater

CUFON Computer UFO Network

Document #: 24

From: UFO INFO SERVICE

Date Sent: 07-12-1986

Subject: 1983 GOLDWATER, LETTER # 3

BARRY GOLDWATER

Committees:

UNITED STATES SENATE

June 20, 1983

Washington D.C. 20510

Intelligence Chairman
Armed Services
Tactical Warfare Chair
Preparedness
Strategic Nuclear Forces
C. S. Transportation
Communications Chairman
Aviation
Science Technology and
Space
Indian Affairs

Mr. William S. Steinman
15043 Rosalita Drive
La Mirada, California 90638

Dear Mr. Steinman:

To answer your questions, I have never gained access to the so-called "Blue Room" at Wright Patterson, so I have no idea what is in it. I have no idea of who controls the flow of "need-to-know" because, frankly, I was told in such an emphatic way that it was none of my business that I've never tried to make it my business since.

I wish you luck on your investigation. I'm one of those people who believe that with some two billion planets scattered around our universe, there has to be a couple of more that can support life on it.

Sincerely,

Barry Goldwater

[The following is a transcript of the Sunday, November 13, 1988 Online CompuServe conference with "Clear Intent" author, Barry Greenwood.]

8:12:33 PM EST Sunday, November 13, 1988

User	User ID	Nod	Rm	Name / Forum Area
31	70511,17	CRZ	5	Mike R.
33	72220,2360	KOP	5	Thrasher
40	76012,3361	MRT	5	Ted
67	76701,75	BMD		SIG
75	72411,2473	LTR	5	Bill M.
76	76004,2063	ACM	5	mike
79	76336,72	MIP	5	STEVE
84	73127,3066	SCS	5	Cephus
95	73240,52	HAR	5	Al
96	72627,2627	ALL	5	harry
101	71450,3504	ANN	5	Bert
105	75400,11	QFM	5	Tony I.
110	71605,2013	MIA	5	Gerry
121	76060,2404	DEQ	5	Mark
140	75340,2642	TSA	5	Barry G.
143	76703,266	DUK	5	G-WIZ
146	74270,3360	BOI	5	DON
160	73127,3066	SCS	5	Cephus

(Ted) Tonight's guest is Barry Greenwood author of one of the most respected books in UFOlogy, "Clear Intent". Barry do you have any opening comments? ga

(5,Barry G.) Happy to be on the air with you all. I know my stand on MJ-12 has caused some controversy, but am open to any questions about that, or about anything covered by CAUS....

(Jim S.) Also want to mention that besides Barry, Ronald Story is here with us, author of "The Encyclopedia of UFOs." GA

(5,DON) ??

(5,Bert) ?

(5,Gerry) ?

(Ted) !

(Ted) Don is up first. GA DON

(5,DON) First Barry, welcome, it is really nice to see such a respected researcher here tonight. Since March I have been researching a paper and I have used Clear Intent a great deal I have wanted to ask a ? concerning the "Cuban Jet Incident" I have come across several incidents where hostility has been displayed in reference to Military encounters do you have additional info on this incident, or any further incidents involving military encounters?

(5,Barry G.) No additional info on that incident we have filed FOIA requests on it, but we need to have more specific info for FOIA than we have at present regarding hostility: I interpret these incidents more as accidental encounters rather than deliberate attacks on our aircraft at least for those that we have sufficient documentation. GA

(5,Bert) Barry, it seems pretty well accepted that there is a significant amount of disinformation being disseminated by the government to the public, the ufo community, and no doubt the press. How do you sort thru all this in your foia documents and decide what may be real and what is phony? Also, one specific

Question: what can you tell us about Aquarius? GA

(S,Barry G.) CAUS has a policy by which we require that any government-oriented information we receive must come from certifiable official sources or legitimate, established archives in institutions. This is the only way we can be sure that the documentation or stories are on the level. We investigate rumors, and other information from undocumented sources, but we don't give it nearly the same weight as the authenticated material. Aquarius: Considering that its linked to MJ-12, and that CAUS has taken a skeptical stance on the MJ-12 claims, we regard Aquarius as an unauthenticated claim until we receive legitimate documentation to show that it exists. GA

(S,DON) ??

(S,Bert) follow!

(S,Bert) But, Barry, could foia documents come from "authentic" disinformation sources? GA

(S,Mark) ??

(S,Barry G.) Yes, they could, but a single document by itself isn't necessarily proof of a claim. We would prefer that there is more than one source for any story we receive. Its all a matter of how much credible material exists before we endorse a claim. As I said before, we take seriously and examine all stories and arrive at conclusions based on how well substantiated the claims are. GA

(Ted) Gerry is next and then Ted [me] ga Gerry

(S,Gerry) Barry, could you sketch for us your position on the idea that something like MJ-12 exists even though the mj12 documents themselves may be disinformation? ga

(S,Barry G.) Of course I think there were extensive studies by the govt. on UFOs over the years. I don't think this problem could have been approached in any way other than a very organized and a very covert manner. If you read CLEAR INTENT, you will see that vast numbers of documents still remain to be released. Some of that material could refer to an MJ 12-Type group. Until we see that information, we regard MJ-12 by that name as unsubstantiated. I don't rule out other possibilities, though. GA

(Ted) Ted is next! Barry, Dale Wedge claimed recently on our message board that you, Jim Speiser, and Marge C. had all resigned because you disagreed with the MUFON handling of Gulf Breezes. Can you all comment?

(S,Barry G.) My resignation from MUFON (this is Barry speaking) had nothing to do with Gulf Breeze. It occurred over a year ago, and had much to do with my time and disagreements with statements being made by MUFON spokespeople on various aspects of current UFO events. I do have problems with Gulf Breeze, but since I'm not an investigator of those events, it amounts to only an opinion, based on what I've seen published by both pro and con.

(Marge C) My resignation was based on unfounded conclusions and statements made by MUFON investigators regarding Gulf Breeze. I also have problems with the investigation.

(Jim S.) My resignation was based on many events within MUFON, of which Gulf Breeze was only the most visible part. That's all I'll say at this point. GA

(S,DON) Barry, while conducting my research I found an excellent research book

titled "Somebody Else is On the Moon" by author George H. Leonard. Leonard did much research with various NASA Scientists, and came across some very startling NASA Photos. During your research with Fawcett, have you had occasion to connect with any NASA personnel, and if so, what have you found out concerning alleged occupation of the Moon? And a follow up. ga

(5,Barry G.) Boy, any pro-UFO people out there are really going to hate me for this. No, I don't endorse the conclusions of George Leonard or anyone else promoting alien bases or mining colonies on the moon. We have file FOIA requests on this subject with NASA and other government agencies, and have found absolutely nothing to support these claims. We have examined copies of the photos which have been published in these books, and find them generally fuzzy and unconvincing as evidence of intelligence. GA

(5,Bert) ?

(5,Cephus) d

(5,DON) OK, Barry, I have just picked this book up this last week however I wrote a paper that Ted posted here several months ago that came from a former Intelligence officer that hinted at this very subject. How does one explain the various anomalies that have been observed on the Lunar surface, and what other possible events could cause them? One other quick ?, has any of your research involved alleged abduction cases, and what do you make from this aspect of the enigma?

(5,Barry G.) Yes, there are legitimate scientific anomalies which have been detected on the moon and other planets but that's exactly what they are: unexplained anomalies. We're not prepared to go the several steps further to the conclusion that these are alien artifacts. If anyone has a clear photographs of any anomalies which can be conclusively linked to aliens, we would be very happy to examine the material and endorse it if warranted. Abductions: we have not run across any material on government investigations of abductions, or even much reference to the subject. We have received correspondence from some military people reporting abductions to CAUS. We turned the material over to Budd Hopkins or other abduction researchers to sift the material, and if something is revealed, that we can follow up through FOIA, we will do it. But we don't have a whole lot of action in this area. GA

(Ted) Barry only has a limited amount of time with us tonight so try to keep your ? short. He can stay until a little after the hour.

(5,Cephus) ???

(5,harry) ??

(5,Mark) Thank you, Ted Barry, I am curious to know what has become of Peter Gersten...and, what your opinions are of the Roswell crash which has spurred the controversy over MJ-12.ga

(5,Barry G.) Peter Gersten is still director of CAUS, and still active with us, although at a reduced level from the past due to time commitments with his career and other pursuits in the UFO field, specifically his involvement with the Westchester Boomerang UFOs. He still advises us on legal matters I'm still up in the air on the Roswell Incident. Certainly, something did crash there. It may have been a "flying saucer", but I wasn't there, I can never say for sure what it was. I disconnect it from the MJ-12 controversy because I think there is a considerable amount of witness testimony which must be considered in the whole picture of the Roswell Incident. It may be a UFO, we'll see. GA

(Ted) Here is the order - Bert, Cephus, Gerry and Ted [if we have time] ga

(S,Bert) getting back to Don's question regarding the moon in Tim Good's book Above Top Secret on page 380, the following brief quote is made. It's a communication between NASA and Apollo 11 Mission control: what's there? you wouldn't believe it! I'm telling you there are other spacecraft out there...lined up on the far side of the crater edge...they're on the moon watching us...." It is said that NASA deleted this from the transcripts released to the public. But others, including Russian listeners as well as Maurice Chatelain confirm that it was a real exchange and that NASA removed it from record. What do you think of this? And what do you think of Above Top Secret in general? GA

(S,Barry G.) This is another one of those tales which I'm constantly having to explain because the original sources are not reliable. I don't know where this information originally came from, but I've never seen any government documentation even hinting at this exchange. I would ask where did Tim Goode get his information, as well as Maurice Chatelain. Do they have NASA transcripts verifying this? Also, I find it amazing that we would get information on our Apollo missions from the Soviets. The Apollo 11 mission was one of the most recorded space missions in history why didn't anyone else catch this? Especially since the broadcasts were occurring in real-time. GA

(S,Cephus) Out here on the west coast we've heard that our astronomers are tracking unidentified Flying Objects but the government is not allowing any of this info to be released to the public. The FOX network recently broadcasted a very well done documentary on this what do you think of this Barry? ga

(S,Barry G.) Not familiar with the "west coast" stories you've heard so I really can't comment on it. Regarding the Fox network show you referred to, I assume you mean the two hour "UFO Cover-up Live" Show...I felt the show was a 50-50 proposition I enjoyed the first hour, the second hour trailed off into questionable areas on which I've already comment

(S,Barry G.) I completely support serious investigations into all areas of UFO research. Our job is to be sure that the information we develop is the best possible information, the type that will stand up to rigorous scrutiny. If we do not do this, all our efforts to convince the scientific community, the government, and the public will fail, because our standards were low. If we can develop a solid database ...

% MONNCI Network connection interrupted, possible data loss.
[Attached, running]

[SysOp Note: At this point I was knocked off of the system - only 1 or 2 minutes were lost]

(Ted) Got knocked off! Can you repeat everything after "database" thanks? ga

(S,marge Christensen) We got cut off...

(S,Bill M.) Yes

(S,Al) Barry got cut off...

(S,Mark) What happened???

(S,Barry G.) We're back, how far did we get?

(Ted) Barry. We all seemed to have gotten interrupted, you were saying "If we can develop a solid database...." ga

(S,Barry G.) database to present to elected officials, then we can only hope that they can recognize the seriousness of the UFO phenomenon to the public and begin to fund scientific investigations. GA

(Ted) Barry we want to thank you for joining us tonight and sharing your thoughts with us. Any final comments that you would like to make before we call it an evening? ga

(S,Barry G.) I thought (when I was interrupted) that the NSA was finally getting even with us...

(S,Mark) How do we write to Barry?

(S,Barry G.) for that court case. Seriously, I enjoyed answering they can write to PO Box 176, Stoneham MA 02180. Thanks! GA

(S,Mark) Thanks Barry

(S,DON) Nite all.....

(Ted) Good night Barry and thanks, thanks also to Jim Speiser for "keyboarding" and Marge for providing the coffee and doughnuts!

(S,Mark) ? to Ted

(S,Bill M.) nite

(S,Bert) Bill from LA, your last name isn't Moore is it?ga

(S,Bill M.) no, who's that?

S,Mark) Ted, What is paranet? ga

(Ted) ParaNet is an independent network of around thirty or so personal computer systems across the USA devoted to the exploration of the paranormal. Jim Speiser is the founder and is also the Co-SysOP here on ISSUES. (How's that Tom Mickus?) ga

(S,Tom Mickus) Hi Ted....just got in...kinda late...

(S,Mark) Ted, If you don't mind, how does one go about joining Paranet? I am doing research on a book that I might write and want to find out how to get hooked up with the right people, ga.

(Ted) Tom Mickus can help you on how to join Paranet or you can write via EMAIL to Jim Speiser.

(S,Bert) I have a quick question for you Tom!! ga

(S,Bert) Does the "museum" in Lake Ontario have a location near Toronto.

(S,Tom Micks) Yes...near Hamilton..GA

(S,Bert) ie the one Neil Palumbo use to talk about here? ga

(S,Tom Mickus) YES...that is the same one I suspect..GA

(S,Bert) ok, thanks. Well, got to run. Night all.

(S,Tom Mickus) Phone this number...it'll tell you all you need to know
416-244-9999

NOTE...SYSOP....IF YOU HAVE THE MEANS TO TRACE THE ORIGIN OF THIS DOCUMENT YOU MUST NOT DO IT. YOU MUST DESTROY ANY TRACE OF THE IDENTITY AND OR ORIGIN OF THIS DOCUMENT. THE LEVEL OF TRUST YOU HAVE BEEN GIVEN MUST NOT BE BETRAYED. IF YOU BETRAY THIS TRUST YOU JEOPARDIZE THE LIVES AND OR FUTURE OF INDIVIDUALS INVOLVED AND IN ANY CASE NO FURTHER HELP WILL BE FORTHCOMING. WE HAVE NOT GIVEN THIS TRUST LIGHTLY. DO NOT TAKE IT LIGHTLY. YOUR KNOWLEDGE OF SOURCE WOULD PLACE YOU IN GREAT DANGER. DESTROY
ONCE READ....GOOD LUCK

Though unreceived and scoffed at through the years;
Though made the butt of ridicule and jest;
Though held aloft for mockery and jeers,
Denied by those of transient power possessed,
Insulted by the insolence of lies,
Truth never dies.

A few of us who know the truth are engaged in a dangerous and frustrating attempt to lead the rest of you to it. Because of our present or past involvement we cannot (at this time) let our identities be known. You must understand that it is not some frivolous game we play. We go against the most powerful and secret organization that you can imagine. Our very lives are at stake and you must not take that lightly. You must not ignore the information that we have leaked to you. Follow the trail. If you persist, proof in the form of documents will surface. It will take time, money, and tenacity but it will happen.

We know, for instance, that the vast amount of paper created, no matter how closely controlled or how highly classified, is totally unmanageable. Copies have been made and files are misplaced. The greatest ally you have is that over the years a great many people have become involved and people make mistakes. Humans also have a little voice inside them that forces them to question the validity and consequences of their deeds. We know that this secret weighs heavily on the minds of many people. At this point fear is the only controlling factor. If you dig out enough documentary proof the fear will be lessened to the degree

some of us may
then come forward. We sincerely hope that you understand.

The documents produced by Mr. Moore et al are photographic copies of genuine documents which were procured at great risk. The other documents involved are also genuine and you must follow the trail. YOU MUST FOLLOW THE TRAIL!

The documents you are looking for are for the most part labeled.....TOP SECRET MAJIC - RESTRICTED DATA..... These are the only documents that can lay it bare. Other documents exist which under other classifications but will only hint at and in some instances verify the existence of what you seek.

The following is verification of our credentials.....

5. The fifth part of the document outlines the procedures for handling financial matters. It details the steps involved in budgeting, forecasting, and reporting. The text also discusses the importance of maintaining a clear and concise financial statement that provides a comprehensive overview of the organization's financial health.

6. The sixth part of the document focuses on the management of human resources. It discusses the importance of recruiting and retaining qualified staff, as well as the need for ongoing training and development. The text also mentions the importance of maintaining a positive work environment and fostering a sense of team spirit.

TRANSCRIPT:
The Earl Baldwin Show
Wednesday, August 18th, 1988
KFYI Radio

Guests: Richard Hall, Jim Speiser

Transcript begins approx. 7:15PM

- EB: ...this hour, we have the author of a book with us, it says "Top Secret" on the cover, and I don't know, I've read a lot of the secrets in here. "Uninvited Guests", its a "documented history of UFO sightings, alien encounters, and cover-ups." And the author is Richard Hall. Richard is with us here on The Talk Station via the telephone. Hello, Richard Hall!
- RH: Hi. How're you doing?
- EB: Pretty good. And we have in studio, Jim [Speezer], or is it Speiser? Speiser...who is a ufologist, and director of ParaNet, which is a computer Bulletin Board of explorers of the paranormal...a network, I guess, of all those people who are comparing data [such] as you have in your book. Your book is a compendium of all of the reports correlated here in different categories, from "Close Encounters of the Vehicular Kind" to bodies in the morgue, humanoids, and big secrets and other...uh, what gave you the idea for doing this, Richard Hall?
- RH: The idea for doing it was that the public at large has had very little in the way of current, honest sources of information on this subject for many years now, and their primary source of information, very regrettably, has been the tabloid newspapers. The conventional news media do not give adequate coverage of the subject, so I felt it was time to do an update, pulling together the most impressive information, and (whether impressive or not) the currently reliable information on the subject. So that's what I tried to do.
- EB: Now isn't this a case of, "where there's smoke there's fire," the idea, with all this smoke there must be a flame somewhere? With all of the reports, and many of them seem to correlate, most people who sight spaceships seem to give the same general description. Most people who see lifeforms coming from spaceships, or assuming they come from some kind of extraterrestrial vehicle or transportation, put them in similar categories, and, are we saying, because these things have gone on for many, many years now, and seem to correlate from different sources, different countries, and come up with the same kinds of general observations, that there must be something to it?
- RH: Yes, indeed. I think that's exactly right. I think if we'd had all these reports over the years, and they were of 90,000 different sightings, and no two were alike, you know, you could write it off as some kind of psychological phenomenon or whatever, but we do indeed have all these converging patterns, very STRONG patterns, in fact, of exactly, similar descriptions from people worlds apart, continents apart, all walks of life, and it tells a very important picture here of some real phenomenon going on that has not been adequately investigated.

EB: What disturbs me is the fact that it has NOT been adequately investigated, and that there seems to be a pattern of covering up; that, if there is something to this, the government - the military in particular - doesn't seem to want anybody else to have the information.

RH: Yes.

EB: And why is that?

RH: Well, one can only speculate. But the case I build in my book is that, sometime back several decades ago, the government and/or the...at least the military services found very strong evidence of what we will call extraterrestrial visitation, for lack of a better term - some kind of intrusion into our airspace by mysterious, unexplainable objects and apparently humanoid beings. And they really didn't know how to cope with this. It was not really treated as a scientific problem, it was treated as a national security problem. Who are these beings, what are these craft, where are they coming from? Are they about to attack us or what? But there was no evidence of any kind of invasion per se. So the military forces were stuck with a problem that they really couldn't cope with. Now there's much more to it than that, which I'll be glad to get into, but that's as short an answer as I can give to such a complex question.

EB: Let me ask our guest, Jim Speiser...how did you get an interest, or develop an interest in UFOs, extraterrestrials, and the like?

JS: I got an interest in it from way back, about 1966. I was a young boy and I read all of the pulp magazines, etc. Kinda lost interest up until college, when I learned of the "skeptical side" of things. From there on I proceeded from a skeptical point of view, as I pursued many other facets of the so-called "paranormal": ghosts, ESP, etc. [But] it soon came to my attention that the skeptical information I was getting on UFOs - that they didn't exist, that they couldn't possibly be here, that they've been explained in other ways - I slowly came to the realization that those explanations were not quite as scientifically based as the skeptics would have us believe. And so I said, "Wait a second. If our best debunkers and skeptics can't explain these cases, or have to resort to way-out left-field explanations that make the 'alien' theory look ridiculous, maybe there really IS some fire behind the smoke."

EB: Was there any one, or two or three, basic incidents that convinced you there was something out there? or up there?

JS: Well, I remember the particular turning point in my mind was the Helicopter-UFO Incident over Mansfield, Ohio in 1973, and...that's a case where the best debunker in the world, Philip Klass, as far as I can see, really dropped the ball. He tried to explain this thing as a "bolide", or meteor, that lasted some one minute and twenty seconds, which...I've called dozens of meteorologists, astronomers, experts...[and] no one can tell me that a meteor could possibly last a minute and twenty seconds.

EB: OK, and, Richard Hall, the author of "Uninvited Guests", was there one turning point, one "ah-HA!" factor for you that said,

"there must be something to this?"

RH: I'm not sure I can pin it down to one precise case, but I think one category of reports that impressed me highly was reports by professional pilots, military and civilian professional pilots, airline pilots, Air Force pilots... and I have over the years talked to many, many of them, face to face. Reports sometimes that they don't put on public record, because they fear ridicule. But their reports are being investigated by Dr. Richard Haines at NASA-Ames Research Center, and I think they're a very impressive category of reports.

EB: Mm-hmm. And, uh, some of the movies, like "Close Encounters of the Third Kind," "ET," and like that, they've done a lot of research, which seems to be paralleled by a lot of the stories, this documented history that you present in your book "Uninvited Guests." Do you think that Steven Spielberg, for example, was borrowing from the same basic materials that you've used in your book, to background his films?

RH: Yes. Steven Spielberg had some contact with the Center for UFO Studies, which was headed by Dr. J. Allen Hynek, and did indeed borrow from the UFO literature, but I think he expanded beyond that and took a few "artistic liberties," shall we say...went a little beyond the legitimate database, but indeed he was borrowing from it.

EB: OK, because I notice that, "Close Encounters," I notice a lot of the lights and the sightings and the shapes of the humanoids seem to correlate with a lot of the actual sightings that are documented in your book.

RH: Right.

[Commercial Break]

[Transcript picks up when Speiser is talking to Baldwin about Senator Goldwater incident. Tape started late...]

JS: ...confirmed to ParaNet that he was not allowed access to records where UFO information was kept.

EB: Why? Isn't he...

JS: That is a good question....

EB: ...a high muckety-muck, or was...

RH: He didn't have a high enough security clearance.

EB: ...a member of the Senate, head of the Armed Services Committee, whatever he was the head of, a top-secret clearance...

JS: He was head of just about every possible Senate committee that would have oversight powers over anything that would have anything to do with foreign technology, alien technology, space...he was head of just about everything that would come under that venue.

EB: Is there any member of [the] Senate, or of the President's

Cabinet, who would be allowed...I mean, the head of the Armed Services on the President's Cabinet, the head of Defense, the Defense Secretary, rather, wouldn't that person be allowed...?

JS: Well, any answer I give would only be speculation, we don't know who has the clearance... Dick might have a better aspect than I do on that...

RH: Well, I'm in the same boat. We really don't know who has been told what, you know. All we know is that increasing documentation from the past suggests that there has been a tightly-held secret by a group called MJ-12...

EB: MJ-12?

RH: Yes, MJ-12, which was a group of very high, highly placed political leaders and scientists, back when they allegedly first got some kind of physical evidence. And this was just between the President (then Harry Truman) and this group of scientists. And it was very tightly-held. Other members of the Administration were not told, other military leaders were not told, other politicians were not told, so we just don't know. Assuming that's true in the first place, which we don't really know, although there's suggestive evidence of it...assuming that's true, then we don't know where it went from there...what has become of this evidence, who has been clued in and who hasn't. So it's very much up in the air. But there's enough suggestive evidence and leads here that we only wish that we could get some high-powered investigative reporters involved, to really dig into this, because, you know, we could certainly provide some very substantial leads to them that they could have a ball with.

EB: Well now, in your appendix, Appendix B here, you have a briefing document of Operation Majestic-12 that was "prepared for [then-] President Dwight David Eisenhower," back in November of 1952, and it says "Copy 001 of 001," which means this was the only copy ever made? "Top Secret" is crossed out and "Eyes-Only" crossed out on my copy, in the book. Can't you get in trouble for even copying this, or publishing this?

RH: No, no.

EB: Why? says "Top Secret"!

RH: Civilians are not subject to this kind of thing. If I were a member of the military and published this, then I might get in trouble, but they have no hold over me...

EB: Well, the Rosenbergs weren't members of the military and they took some Top Secret information out of the country...

RH: Well, I'm not publishing any atomic secrets, see, I'm publishing something whose validity is denied...

EB: Oh, I see, they deny that this is an actual document...

RH: ..and also I'm not the original publisher of this. This was released by Bill Moore and Stanton Friedman and Jaime Shandera.

EB: How did they get it?

RH: They...Shandera received it in the mail anonymously on film. It was an undeveloped roll of film, and he had it developed, and this was what was on the film. They have spent several years since then (this was several years ago), they've spent several years doing a lot of clever documentary research, and biographical research to find out who are the principals named in here - they name the twelve, the Majestic Twelve, in here - they did a lot of research to find out who these people were, where they were at the time of the alleged incidents, you know, the whole thing. And everything they've been able to find out is at least CONSISTENT with this report. Doesn't prove it...

EB: Well, now wait, according to this there were nine, whatever, nine spaceships or craft or whatever else flying over New Mexico, right? Or the Cascade...I'm sorry, uh, Cascade Mountains in the state of Washington, but then, later on, there was a [begins more-or-less quoting from document] crash in a remote region of New Mexico, about 75 miles northwest of Roswell Army Air Base (now Walker Field), and aerial reconnaissance discovered four small human-like beings that had apparently ejected from the craft, somewhat before it exploded, and fallen to the earth about two miles east of the wreckage site, and all four were dead and badly decomposed due to the action [of] predators and exposure to the elements due to the approximately one week time period which had elapsed before their discovery. Now they removed the bodies, and so forth, and put a cover story out about a weather balloon. But the question I have is, that there were nine of these craft visible just before, or a month before, in the Cascade Mountains, and a month later, one of these, obviously, from the same formation, or a similar one, crashes, why wouldn't the other eight have stuck around or why wouldn't they be able to get there if they have the advanced technology and pick these other creatures up, or find out where they had crashed, if they had this advanced technology? Why couldn't they find it before we did?

RH: We don't know, but, the point is that these things allegedly crashed and allegedly were retrieved. And you can only speculate about what else went on. Actually, if the story...if you take it at face value, the beings were dead when they hit the ground and the craft exploded in the air. So they may have been "written off..."

EB: Oh, and they thought there wasn't enough to even go after...

[Commercial Break]

[Tape again started late...]

EB: ..if they know that much about us, why don't they speak our language? Because some of the sightings, uh, the people say they make "unintelligible sounds." Why wouldn't they have taken a Berlitz course or something, in English?

RH: [chuckles] That's a good question. I don't know. I say in my book, in various places, that we may underestimate the difficulties in cultural differences between us and supposed

alien beings, or beings [from] elsewhere, as I call them...

EB: But if you can build a spaceship, you must have some computer technology. We have computers that will translate most foreign languages. They can translate Chinese to Russian to English in a matter of seconds.

RH: Yes, but if they come from a totally different biosphere than anything we're familiar with, you know, and there could be tremendous roadblocks. They might not even be able to recognize, out of all the myriad forms of life on Earth, which is the supposedly intelligent life. They may be down there trying to talk to porpoises. Who knows?

EB: Well, we have some intelligent life now on Line #1. From Phoenix, here's Charles, at 258-KFYI. You're on with the author of "Uninvited Guests," Richard Hall, and Jim Speiser, the ufologist and director of ParaNet. Charles, you're on KFYI, hello there.

Caller: Hello. Uh, gentlemen, I frankly, I think this is all hogwash.

RH: Good.

EB: Hogwash!

Caller: Right. And here, let me give you my proof. Now, I don't know about the rest of it, but this one part where these craft landed, and all that, and our government is either hiding it, hiding them, or destroying them, or something, to keep us from finding out. Now, just think of this for a moment: We are not the only nation on Earth. I mean, there are hundreds of nations where others could have landed, and it is assumed that...I don't believe that for whatever planet they come they'd pick just the United States and these little confines. Therefore, all the nations of Earth - Russia, China, India, Australia, Canada, England, Germany - all of them must have ALL gotten together in one great conspiracy to keep this information from all the peoples of the Earth. Is that humanly possible?

EB: Or extraterrestrially possible...

Caller: It is?

EB: Is it EXTRATERRESTRIALLY possible, not HUMANLY possible.

Caller: Well, no, WE are the humans who are hiding this, you see. Or our government.

EB: Well, that's a good question, except that, in this book, I might point out, that there are sightings in places like Finland, France, Italy, and New Guinea, and Brazil.

Caller: But how about absolute proof, that is, like you say, wreckage, bodies, even though decomposed...apparently, since these bodies were damaged by predators, they are apparently edible.

RH: Well, that's very interesting, you show some signs of having read the literature, because we didn't mention the predator damage before...

EB: I had mentioned that just a moment ago...

RH: Oh, did you mention predator damage? Oh, but let me just say that, you talk about the international scope of this thing, that's absolutely correct. We've learned that even mainland China, communist China, has had a long history of UFO sightings in modern times, which is only now beginning to come out since the country has opened up a little bit to the west. We have an official ongoing investigation in France, and so forth. I don't think it requires any international conspiracy to account for why governments haven't told us things. The governments are confronted with some very strange, baffling information and so are we. What we're getting tonight in this discussion is some of the most extreme, very, dubious sort of information. In my book, I have a solid underpinning of why we take this subject seriously at all. This question of whether or not there are crashed UFOs and physical evidence is definitely up in the air. I don't insist that that's true. All I do is report the information that is available, and suggest that we really need to study this seriously. But I don't think it necessarily follows that there has to be some big international conspiracy, I don't follow that logic.

Caller: Well, somehow it must have sneaked out from SOME country, that they have evidence which I assume that they would have also, not just we.

EB: Oh, well there have been crashed UFO reports from other countries. There have been abduction reports from other countries.

Caller: And they are also keeping this a deep, dark secret from their people?

RH: No, its NOT being kept a deep, dark secret, because we're aware of it. But its just not believed, you see....

Caller: Well, if they would show me, I'd believe it.

RH: ...like you, people say "hogwash."

Caller: Yeah, but if they would show me, I would believe it!

RH: Well, read my book. I'll show you.

EB: We had a shooting down of an airplane by one of our Naval vessels with hundreds of casualties, and people called our station and said they don't believe it, that it was set up and that there were fake bodies and corpses that were set up from Iran...

JS: That's the trouble, a lot of people...you've heard the expression, "I'll believe it when I see it." A lot of people will see it when they believe it. They preface it by that... "I have to believe it first, and no matter what you show me, I'm not going to believe it until I believe it."

[Commercial Break]

EB: Six minutes before 8:00, we had a fellow named Joe waiting on Line #2, but obviously a UFO has spirited him away. So if anybody else is allowed to call us, if they're not in the hands of either the Air Force or the extraterrestrials, then you are free to dial 258-KFYI or toll-free 1-800-242-TALK. And our guests for these last few minutes of this first hour: we have Richard Hall, the author of "Uninvited Guests," which is probably the best collection in logical sequence of all the sightings, all the reports, and lots and lots of theories on whether or not the government is covering up something, or whether or not we have aliens among us. And Jim Speiser in our studio, ufologist and director of ParaNet. Now that's a computer Bulletin Board, Jim?

JS: Yeah, its a network, actually, of computer Bulletin Board Systems, designed to collect and disseminate information on what's happening right now in the paranormal, especially UFOs and other anomaly fields such as Bigfoot, Loch Ness Monster, Cattle Mutilation, things like that. There's a communications gap in these fields...

EB: So sharing information, and trying to see if there's a pattern here...

JS: Exactly.

EB: ...might help. Richard Hall, I understand our government, or SOMEONE, investigated you, when they found out you were putting this book together, this gathering of all the intelligence data on possible life from other atmospheres, other planets, other solar systems. Is that true?

RH: I was investigated back a few years ago, a number of years ago by the Central Intelligence Agency, and its kind of an elaborate story, but the bottom line is that I found out, through some other acquaintances and some Freedom of Information Act requests that they had conducted a security clearance on me, without my knowledge or consent and...

EB: Why? Were you applying...

RH: I don't know, but I've been told that they were apparently trying to set me up as a so-called "window," a source on the subject, but whatever happened to the effort, I don't know, because they never did that, at least I was not aware of it...

EB: In other words, they were trying to see if they could trust you, and maybe put you on the Majestic Twelve list...

RH: Well, perhaps, but apparently I flunked their security clearance...

EB: Well, I would too, if you put out a book that has a Top Secret document in it. I'm not sure they want those kinds of people...

RH: Yeah, well, that was much later that I did that...

EB: Well, they could tell. They knew you were capable of doing this type of thing.

JS: I think that's the bottom line, Earl. If there's nothing to the subject, why all this government interest? Why are there these documents - and I mean documents that we HAVE confirmed - Why did the government tell us that they had no further information on UFOs, when all of a sudden, under the Freedom of Information Act, more documents started pouring in that showed that they DID have interest when they were telling us they didn't?

EB: Well, officially they closed out this "Blue Book" the Air Force used to keep? Right?

JS: That's right, in 1969.

EB: Does that mean that, for the last, well 19 years, they really haven't gathered any information about flying saucers, that they've ignored all their own pilots, uh, visual...

JS: We have confirmed information to the contrary. Although if you write to the Air Force, they'll STILL tell you, "We closed out Project Blue Book in 1969, and that was it, that was the end of our interest."

EB: So nobody in the Air Force is keeping track, right Julie? Julie's on our Phoenix line here, with a minute to go in our show.

Caller: Hi.

EB: Hi there.

Caller: I just wanted to ask your guests if they had any dealings with Richard Hoagland, who wrote "The Monuments of Mars." I just finished reading that book, and it was supposed to be pictures of...

EB: We had Richard on last month.

Caller: Right! That's where I heard of the book.

EB: Ah, OK.

JS: I haven't dealt with Hoagland directly, but that's a very interesting thing that he's got there with the face, and more interesting is the actual "buildings" or whatever, "structures"...

EB: That's computer-aided, though! That means that you can twist your computer and have your computer make any pictures it wants.

JS: I invite any computer analyst to see if those things were twisted or if they were merely enhanced. If they were, I would be willing to listen to them. However, I haven't heard that charge made, because nobody's bothered to follow up on the analysis.

EB: I'm not sure I could prove a computer either twists or enhances my mind...

[End transcript]

[End program]

John Edgar Hoover for a major charter airline, has flown 160 different types of aircraft in over 50 different countries. He held 17 world speed records in the Lear jet including speed around the world set in 1966 and is the only pilot ever to hold every airman certificate issued by the Federal Aviation Administration. He flew between 1966 and 1973 and has extensive experience in Europe, the Middle East, Afghanistan, the Far East, Africa, and the Pacific and Atlantic oceans. He has flown experimental aircraft in the past and was a test pilot for the B-26 Invader. He was a Reserve Pilot Officer in the United States Army during World War II. He served in the Philippines, China, and Japan. He was a member of the Tuskegee Army Airfield and was a member of the Tuskegee Army Airfield. He was a member of the Tuskegee Army Airfield and was a member of the Tuskegee Army Airfield.

>> The following is reprinted by permission from UFO Magazine (formerly California UFO), Vol. 3 No. 1. Subscription information for UFO Magazine can be found under the ParaNet Source Directory, TOP> S;D;3 <ENTER>.

Author Alleges Sinister Alien Plot

LETTER ON UFO CIRCUIT IGNITES CONTROVERSY

An explosive letter making the rounds in UFOdom claims that officials within the US government unwittingly entered a deadly conspiracy with aliens over 20 years ago - a shocking and unprecedented pact that is now backfiring horribly.

In what sounds like a B-grade plot for a 50's sci-fi epic, charter airline pilot John Lear of Nevada reveals information he says comes from reliable sources in the American intelligence network. Because of the outrageous nature of the information and the questionable veracity of Lear's unnamed sources, the letter has met with a barrage of skepticism within the UFO community.

In highly dramatic terms, Lear's 9-page missive chronicles the U.S. government/military cover-up of UFO data and the creation of a top secret group called MJ-12, which is described as "the top military-scientific personnel of their time."

Lear alleges that after saucer crashes in the late 1940s and almost 30 succeeding years of suppressed UFO events, the first communications between aliens and our government took place. "The 'deal' was that in exchange for 'technology' that they would provide us we agreed to 'ignore' the abductions that were going on and suppress information on the cattle mutilations," the letter states. "The EBEs (extraterrestrial biological entities) assured MJ-12 that the abductions...were merely the ongoing monitoring of developing civilizations."

In his piece de resistance, Lear charges that the EBEs instigated a "grand deception" to hide their true motives, which include parasitical consumption of human biological matter, "termination" of individuals who might interfere with their plans, and the creation of human-alien cross-breeds.

The letter first appeared in December on ParaNet, an Arizona-based computer bulletin board featuring miscellaneous items on UFOs, Fortean subjects and other paranormal phenomena. Though ParaNet's Director Jim Speiser was himself initially skeptical of the letter, he decided to release its contents on a bet with Lear. The letter immediately made waves. "Its being taken with a pillar of salt, even by UFO believers," Speiser says. "They're backing (Lear) into a corner about his sources."

Lear acknowledges the high potential of disinformation in what he wrote, which he says is actually an "hypothesis" that should have been released with his cover letter describing months of research trips and first-person interviews with witnesses and intelligence sources. He says, "If we are being fed disinformation, you have to ask -- what in the world could they be disinforming us about?" Meanwhile, one of Lear's sources tells him that MJ-12 members are "wringing their hands" because of recent EBE warnings that something ominous is about to happen.

Son of the man behind the Lear jet, Lear has a long and colorful history as a private pilot who has, among other adventures, flown covert missions for the CIA. He's currently employed as captain of a major charter airline.

Lear stands behind the information contained in his letter. "It was originally for my friends," he says. "I had no idea that it would go out to the entire US and have to be backed up word for word!

"People want proof and they want it now. All I can say is that if they'd sat where I have and listened to all the stuff that's come to me -

each little thing adds to the veracity of the hypothesis."

At the time he offered the letter to ParaNet, Lear, swore the stuff was credible. Says Speiser, "He said if I didn't get a call from the Air Force Office of Special Investigations upon transmitting the letter, he'd buy me dinner at Caesar's Palace."

At the time of this writing, Speiser is still waiting patiently for his call and his dinner.

Corrections and clarifications: 1) The letter, though written in December, appeared in mid-January. 2) Besides being initially skeptical, I am STILL

<JS>

of human-alien cross-breeds.

The letter first appeared in December on ParaNet, an Arizona-based computer bullet