


[Home](#) | [Media/Topic Search](#) | [Email & Tools](#)
[Auctions](#) [Yellow Pages](#)
[Insurance](#) [Home Directory](#)

sqquishy@altavista.com

Inbox message 11 of 87

[Check Mail](#)[Write Mail](#)[Log Out](#)[Reply](#)[Reply all](#)[Forward](#)[Move to](#)[Trash](#)**Folders**[Inbox](#)[Sent](#)[Trash](#)[ALEXANDER](#)[Area 51](#)[Balakas](#)[DRudiak](#)[IMPLANTS](#)[MC](#)[OBE](#)[REED](#)[SMITH](#)[Strandberg](#)[Updates](#)[VICTORIAN](#)[WALKER](#)[convention](#)[kasten](#)[tombaugh](#)**Filters**[Addresses](#)[Preferences](#)[Help](#)[Empty Trash](#)

From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <updates@sympatico.ca>

Date: Fri, 13 Apr 2001 08:18:09 -0400

To: "02 - UFO UpDates Subscribers";

Subject: UFO UpDate: Re: Dick Cheney Questioned On UFOs - 04-11-01 - Rudiak

[Save Address](#)[Print View](#)[Show Headers](#)[Report Junk](#)

From: David Rudiak <DRudiak@aol.com>

Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 16:03:28 EDT

Subject: Re: Dick Cheney Questioned On UFOs - 04-11-01

To: updates@sympatico.ca

>From: Grant Cameron <sqquishy@altavista.com>

>Date: 11 Apr 2001 09:44:43 -0700

>Fwd Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 12:56:18 -0400

>Subject: Dick Cheney Questioned On UFOs - 04-11-01

>This morning on the Diane Rehm show

><http://www.wamu.org/dr/>

>I asked a UFO question of Vice-President Dick Cheney. A rough

>outline of the question:

>Due to a statement made by George Bush last July there is a
 >vicious story going around the UFO community that you have been
 >"read into" the UFO program.

>My question is, in the jobs that you have had with the
 >government have you ever been briefed on the subject of UFOs. If

>so, when was it, and what were you told?

>I was cut off before I could hear the
answer but the first words
>of Cheney answer were "If I had been briefed..."

>The audio of this one hour show can be
heard by going to the
>archives at the Rehm home page. It should
be up tomorrow April
>12. My question is the first one 40 minutes
into the interview.

Grant,

Thanks for the tip and putting the question
to Cheney. The
question arises 26 minutes into the archived
program for those
who want to go to the link and listen to
it.

Here is the transcript:

Cameron: Since ~~the~~ statement made by George
Bush last July,

there is a vicious rumor circulating
in the UFO
community that you've been read
into the UFO program.

So my question to you is, in any
of your government
jobs, have you ever been briefed
on the subject of
UFOs, and if you have, when was
it and what were you
told?

Cheney: Well, if I had been briefed on
it, I'm sure it was
probably classified and I couldn't
talk about it.

Rehm: Is there investigation going on
within this
administration, Mr. Vice President,
as to UFOs?

Cheney: I have not come across the subject
since I've been back
in government, oh like since January
20th.

Rehm: All right.

Cheney: I've been in a lot of meetings,
but I don't recall one
on UFOs.

As a reminder to people, Cheney was the
Secretary of Defense
under George the Elder. In fact, when George
the Younger was
questioned about this last July and said
he would look into it,
he mentioned that Cheney had been Secretary
of Defense and would
therefore know about it.

What is interesting in Cheney's reply to
Grant Cameron is that
he does not outright deny being briefed
when he was Sec. of
Defense. Instead he gives a typical evasive
answer. "IF I had
been briefed...." Then to make it more interesting,
he says he
couldn't talk about even if he had been
briefed because the
subject would have been classified.

When you cut through the Washingtonian doubletalk,
Cheney is
tacitly admitting that UFOs are still classified
and he had
been briefed.

Those damned pelicans are getting more important
all the time.

David Rudiak

UFO UpDates - Toronto - updates@sympatico.ca
A UFO & Related Phenomena E-Mail List
operated
by Errol Bruce-Knapp

UFO UpDates Archives are available at:
<http://www.ufomind.com/ufo/updates>

The MUFON Ontario Homepage is at:
<http://www3.sympatico.ca/updates/mufon/index.htm>

'Strange Days...Indeed' - available 'live'
via

Windows Media Player 10:00 Eastern, Saturday
nights at:
<http://cfrb.com/>

and archived at:
<http://members.xoom.com/strangedaysi/sdix.htm>

To un-subscribe send your first and last
names
to: updates@sympatico.ca with Un-Subscribe
as
the subject.

[Reply](#)[Reply all](#)[Forward](#)[Move to Trash](#)

Change message text width in [Settings](#).

[About AltaVista](#) | [Terms Of Use](#) | [Privacy Policy](#) | [Help](#) | [Contact Us](#) | [Text Only](#)
[Submit A Site](#) | [Advertise With Us](#) | [Jobs](#) | [List Your Products](#) | [A CMGI Company](#)

© 2001 AltaVista Company. AltaVista® and its logo are registered trademarks of the [AltaVista Company](#).

Dark Matter

Bush & Secrecy.
by Stephen Bassett

The following rumination on the ET hypothesis and its pivotal role in transforming the political sphere begins a regular column by arguably the only UFO lobbyist to willingly work the Beltway.

Washington, D.C.—The average person in the street has but the faintest clue as to how far cosmologists and astrophysicists have gone in attempting to understand the universe. Oh, they note the occasional science article in their daily paper, the one about this black hole, that quasar, a superstring here, a quark there. But few are able to piece together the complete picture human science is painting about the world outside the planet earth. It is astounding—not simply for what is being hypothesized—but that it is being done at all.

The human brain, barely out of the nursery, has actually forged a logic train back to the “big bang” and even beyond. Yes, there are scientists making serious efforts to understand the pre-big-bang reality. Happily, we live in the golden age of science journalism, and all of these findings and projections are being chronicled in a manner—also remarkable—that even a reasonably educated non-scientist can follow.

However, for two decades the efforts of cosmologists working on the universal jigsaw puzzle have been thwarted by a missing piece. A host of calculations, including galactic rotation, clustering and expansion, could not be properly interpreted without factoring in what has come to be called “dark matter”—missing, unseen matter we are just beginning to understand.

We can make an analogy in the realm of global politics. The extra-

terrestrial hypothesis is the dark matter of political science and science policy in the second half of the 20th century. It may very well be the missing piece for archeology, anthropology, and the history of religion, but that is for another columnist to address.

Whether you are a reporter nosing around for a story, a legislative aide trying to figure out what all the fuss is, or just a bewildered Mr. or Mrs. Jones wanting to know what’s happening, hear this: The actions and policy of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, the conduct and treatment of presidents, the actions of the intelligence agencies and the investigative and response policies of the military services do not calculate (make sense) unless you factor in the presence of extraterrestrials in the world—the ET hypothesis.

At its core, the disclosure process is the reinterpretation of history in light of the ET hypothesis. One of the reasons this process is intensifying is the quality of people stepping into the arena—it improves year to year.

A key continuing tactic of the insider, anti-disclosure cabal has been to refuse to acknowledge any new contribution by people of rank and stature—the higher the stature, the stricter the adherence to this tactic. Harvard education? Ph.D.? Pulitzer Prize? Career military officer? A dozen decorations? Member of Congress? President of the United States? Accomplished, published scientist? If you’re talking ET hypothesis, you don’t exist and will be ignored or stonewalled, if complete disregard is not an option (president, congressperson).

And like just about anyone who ever worked for Richard Nixon, you’re left to twist slowly in the wind, whereupon members of the UFO/ET research community (and to be fair, some government

Stephen Bassett



operatives) will gladly pick you apart until your contribution is likely rendered useless or marginalized.

Thankfully, times are a changin’. As more people of rank and station keep turning up, the tactic of pretending they don’t exist increasingly insults the collective national intelligence. Consequently, the fine people running things inside the Beltway look more and more like idiots. This author lives inside the Beltway and can state without hesitation, these people hate being thought of as idiots.

Guess what? Two new players just entered stage left. Let’s take a look.

Daniel Sheehan is one of the leading social activists of our time. He has three Harvard degrees; founded the Christic Institute, an interfaith center for law and national policy; was legal counsel on the Karen Silkwood, Iran/Contra, Pentagon Papers, and Watergate burglary cases, and numerous other landmark issues, represented Dr. John Mack during the Harvard Medical School inquiry; has raised millions of dollars for legal activism; is known throughout the world; and currently heads the Strategic Initiative to Identify the New Paradigm, one of six major projects spun out from the Gorbachev Foundation.

In 1977, while General Counsel to the United States Jesuit Office of Social Ministry in Washington, D.C., Sheehan served as a Special Consultant to the United States Library of Congress Congressional Research Office Project on Extraterrestrial Intelligence ordered by President Jimmy Carter. Dr. Sheehan was given access not only to the classified portions of the United States Air

Force's "Project Blue Book" files but to the confidential report prepared for the Science & Technology Committee of the United States Congress by the Library of Congress Congressional Research Office. During this assignment he saw extraordinary evidence for the ET hypothesis.

On the July 6, 2000 radio broadcast (still archived) of "Coast to Coast AM" with Mike Siegel, Dan Sheehan committed himself and the prestige of the Christic Institute to ending the government UFO/ET cover-up. The interested public should expect more news of this extremely important development over the coming months.

Now, don't you just know the boys down at MJ-12 Central, or whatever they call themselves these days, are just thrilled to hear about this?

Alfred Webre is a Fulbright Scholar, a graduate of Yale University, Yale Law School with a J.D. degree, and the University of Texas. He has been an environmental lawyer, a futurist at the Stanford Research Institute (SRI), professor (Yale University and the University of Texas), author, and social activist. Webre has been a non-governmental delegate to the United Nations and a delegate to the Texas Democratic Convention.

In 1977, while at the Stanford Research Institute, Alfred Webre also worked on the Carter study of extraterrestrial intelligence. The study was to have been undertaken jointly by the National Science Foundation and the Center for the Study of Social Policy at SRI where the author was a futurist and principal investigator. In his new book, *Exopolitics*, he claims that the 1977-78 Carter White House study was secretly suppressed at the behest of Pentagon officials, led by the SRI liaison with the Pentagon.

Dr. Webre will be participating in the new initiative previously mentioned.

The entrance of these exceptional men into the disclosure movement will have several points of impact, one of which being the reopening of the facts surrounding the Carter study. The parallels to the Clinton



Jimmy Carter and then-Arkansas honcho Bill Clinton.

Allow me to put forward a theory for your consideration: Some presidents are allowed to know the particulars of the UFO/ET reality and some are not. Jimmy Carter and Bill Clinton were not invited to the party.

presidency as relates to the UFO/ET question are eerie.

Carter arrived in Washington as an outsider and governor of a southern state. Very early in his administration he showed unilateral interest in the UFO/ET issue. He made inquiries, started a research study, and requested information from several agencies. He was generally stonewalled.

In fact, when he approached NASA Administrator James C. Fletcher, trying to involve NASA in the study, his request was rejected. When he approached the CIA Director, he was told to look elsewhere. That director was George Herbert Walker Bush.

The study was closed down early and an inconsequential report authored by Marcia Smith was published.

Carter then came under attack from several directions. These attacks were often of a personal nature. He was called a liar. Attempts were made to connect him to a financial scandal. His family members, particularly his brother, were ridiculed in the press. His Ambassador to the United Nations, Andrew Young, was driven out of office.

Jimmy Carter essentially never spoke of the UFO/ET issue again and was voted out of office. A legend has grown up around the last days of the 1980 election. It is called the "October Surprise." Authors have claimed a deal was struck with the Ayatollah Khomeini to withhold the American hostages in Iran until the Republican candidate was elected. The man allegedly leading the negotiations of that deal: George H. W. Bush, former CIA Director and

then current Vice President elect.

After William Jefferson Clinton, a flawed man to be sure, showed formal, unilateral interest in the UFO/ET question, he came under attack as no other president in history. While the effort to limit him to one term failed, he has been humiliated and marginalized as he completes his tenure. The Republican seeking to replace him in the White House: George W. Bush, son of George H. W. Bush.

Allow me to put forward a theory for your consideration. Some presidents are allowed to know the particulars of the UFO/ET reality and some are not. Jimmy Carter and Bill Clinton were not invited to the party. In fact, it was supposed to be George H. W. Bush's party. He lost, but his son is slated to take his place as the disclosure president. The selection of former Secretary of Defense Richard Cheney as vice president is in line with that agenda.

The idea that some elected presidents are not briefed on issues of massive importance because certain insiders, perhaps composed of members of the other party, don't wish them to be briefed, is not acceptable. It is illegal, it is dangerous, and it is part of that "dark matter" you need to embrace, if you want to make sense of the last 50 years of history.

Jimmy Carter is viewed by both political parties as one of the greatest ex-presidents in this or any time. He has risen above politics in his post-presidential life and garners great respect worldwide.

The presence of extraterrestrials in our world is bipartisan and affects everyone, everywhere. Maybe the last great act of Jimmy Carter's life is to step into the disclosure arena himself and bring the process to all the people. At this point he is just about the only person left that anyone would trust.

Jimmy, come on downwwwnnnnn.

Original online version of this article appears in www.alienzoo.com.

REPRINTED WITH PERMISSION.

Campaign Watch 2000

by Peter Robbins

The strangest thing happened to me recently. As a part of our Campaign Watch 2000, I sent copies of the following letters to both Vice President Gore and Governor Bush, my latest effort to get the leading presidential candidates to comment on the subject of UFOs and the excessive secrecy our government employs in evading the subject. The strange part was that both envelopes went out Certified Mail, return receipt requested. By this method, the recipient or the recipient's representative must sign a return address postcard in order for them to actually receive the correspondence. Given that my return address was clearly noted on the envelopes, this seemed about as fool-proof a method as any available to at least getting my letters into their domains.

Or so I thought.

Amazingly, neither card came back to me. The odds of both of them being lost in the mail are off the charts. Perhaps it was the UFOcity.com return address, but both parcels seem to have gotten caught between the postal and political twilight zones, and if they did arrive at Bush and Gore headquarters (which I strongly suspect), I doubt that they ended up on the candidate's desks. So, what to do? Send them again, of course, certified with return receipt requested as before, but not with that provocative return address. One way or another I still intend to elicit a response from one of this gentleman, or their delegated representatives.

Whatever the circumstances responsible for this latest non-response, I have now written, faxed, e-mailed and telephoned the candidates and their headquarters repeatedly since early December 1999 with the following information request to no avail. I was

even assured by individuals at both party headquarters that my correspondence would be read and addressed as time allowed. Well, it has been months now without a peep from them.

Am I the only one who finds this behavior somewhat evasive?

Below is the letter which went out to the vice president and also the governor, followed by the original letter I sent to the vice president.

Vice President Albert Gore
c/o Gore 2000, Inc.
P.O. Box 23250
Nashville, TN 37202
April 6, 2000

Dear Mr. Vice President (Governor),

Given the tremendous demands upon your schedule, I very much appreciate your taking the time to read this letter. Let me begin by saying that I write to you with a growing sense of frustration. There is an issue I feel is both significant and compelling, and one that, over the preceding months, I have repeatedly requested your position on, only to be met by silence. It revolves around what I and many other Americans consider the excessive secrecy applied to the subject of UFOs by this, and all previous U.S. governments.

I first wrote to you via e-mail on this matter last December 8. This was followed up by a registered letter and phone call to your campaign headquarters on January 7, 2000. At that time a campaign volunteer assured me that my letter would be read by a representative of yours and would be responded to, though he could say when. I let a month pass before contacting your office again, but did fax another letter to your campaign headquarters on February 10. This query was also met with silence. My last attempt at contacting you was on March 17, again by fax. Being that no response has followed, I am writing to you again.



As a research specialist with more than twenty years experience in the field of UFO studies, I am keenly aware that the term "UFO" is something of a buzzword most often associated with being less than fully grounded, and that is putting it kindly. Fifty-three years of bad press have underscored this bit of innuendo brilliantly. So much so that almost any reasonable figure in public life will go out of their way to keep their name free of any association with this topic.

Given this concern, I am willing to bet that my previous letters, e-mails or faxes were flagged well before they reached you—not out of any sense of malice or suppression, but simply because a concerned campaign worker decided that no good would be served by you associating yourself with such a potentially embarrassing or "far out" subject. A candidate caught responding in a serious manner to a question or questions about UFOs might be perceived by some as foolish, or catering to a fringe group. Let me give you a case in point, one which involved Gov. Bush, but is more or less emblematic of the situation at hand.

During Michigan's primary campaign (2/22/00), Governor Bush visited the city of Royal Oaks, home of Mr. John F.L. Tenney. This individual is co-founder of M.A.I.N., or Michigan Anomalous Information Network (<http://mainorg.tripod.com>), and has been actively research-ing

the UFO phenomena for well over a decade. He is also an ordained Protestant minister. Mr. Bush was scheduled to meet with selected residents at a local restaurant and Reverend Tenney saw this as the perfect opportunity to ask a presidential candidate a serious, direct question about the UFO phenomena.

The crowd at the restaurant was carefully selected by the Governor's press people, and John was included in this group. Upon reflection, though, Rev. Tenney decided that his best chance to ask a question would be as Gov. Bush exited the restaurant, so he decided to slip outside and wait for him there. As the candidate came toward Rev. Tenney, he pressed his hand into the Governor's, looked him in the eye and said, "As a presidential candidate, would you like to issue a statement concerning the American public's right to access whatever information the United States government currently has available on the topic of UFOs. . ."

With the uttering of those three letters, John Tenney found himself being grabbed by the arm by a Texas Ranger who pulled him from the crowd and turned him around. In the meantime, Mr. Bush was pushed into the van driven by another Ranger and was gone.


"What was that all about?" he asked the officer, who only looked at

the minister and shook his head, walking away without saying a word.

I recount this episode, which was sent to me by Reverend Tenney, in no way to cause any embarrassment to you or Gov. Bush, but purely as an example of the type of over-reaction engendered by a simple query about UFOs. If anything, it seems to me that this ranger reacted to this gentleman's question much as security personnel might react to a potential physical threat on your person. While this was just a question, it was obviously seen as something of a threat, and there is something definitely wrong with that way of thinking.

I do, however, hope that whomever screens your correspondence allows this letter to reach you, and that you in turn choose to respond to my original letter, which is attached. My concern here is not with aliens, spaceships, or the alleged crash at Roswell. It is with the excessive secrecy with which this subject has been treated for more than fifty years—the kind of secrecy that makes citizens lose faith in their government's ideals and institutions; the kind of secrecy which many of us liken to a cancer on the Constitution. Sir, whether Republican or Democrat, liberal or conservative—we all lose when our citizenry loses faith in our government. Please do not let this matter continue to go unaddressed. Thank-

*UFOcities.com
Editor Peter
Robbins, ready
to review the
day's e-copy.*



you for your time and consideration in this matter.

Yours Sincerely,
Peter Robbins
Editor, UFOcity.com

Vice President Albert Gore
C/o Gore 2000, Inc
P.O. Box 23250
Nashville, TN 37202
December 8, 1999

Dear Mr. Vice President,

UFOcity.com, <http://www.ufocity.com>, is one of the most popular sites on the Internet dealing with the subject of Unidentified Flying Objects. Our site is one of the few which receive serious scientific support for its objective approach to this topic, and for the quality of our editorial contributors, which include members of the international defense, scientific and medical community.

Because of the intense interest among our readership in the government's treatment of this matter, we are requesting all presidential candidates to provide us their position statement on the following questions:

1) As president, would you be willing to offer executive amnesty for credible UFO witnesses in our military and intelligence communities, so they can freely make available their knowledge on this matter without fear of legal reprisal?

2) As president, would you be willing to order the declassification of UFO-related records and documents held by the government?

3) As presidential candidate, what statement will you issue concerning the American public's right to access whatever information the American government currently has available on this topic?

All candidate responses, or lack thereof, will be posted on our website in our Campaign Watch section, which will be launched prior to the New Hampshire primary.

As you may know, a growing number of respected scientists and medical researchers are suggesting that the scientific community assess the available evidence regarding this topic, and accord it serious scientific scrutiny.

UFOCity.com stands at the vanguard of this effort to insist that the scientific and political community respond in a professional manner to the information already gathered, and to the demands of the public for honest investigation into this issue. We believe this matter should be discussed and debated publicly, with full and open information freely exchanged, just as any other scientific matter is investigated.

We take no position concerning the reality of this phenomenon, nor the truth behind any of the numerous points of view concerning this issue. Our mission is simply to demand that a phenomenon as long-lasting and as controversial as this be afforded the dignity of a serious response by our elected officials.

Thank-you for your time and attention in this matter. I look forward to hearing back from you at your earliest convenience, so we may correctly inform our readers of your positions.

Sincerely yours,
Peter Robbins
Editor in Chief, UFOCity.com

Some Background On This Request:

In October of 1998, I attended a panel discussion in New York City, the subject of which was Senator Daniel Patrick Moynihan's new book, *Secrecy: The American Experience*, which is an outstanding indictment of excesses in American secret-keeping. Sen. Moynihan was joined by a distinguished group of colleagues who also addressed the topic of excessive secrecy and the need for a more expedient declassification process. The panelists included Senator Bob Kerry of Nebraska and Arthur M. Schlesinger, Jr. When the discussion concluded, the moderator invited questions from the audience, and give or take a word or two, my question to Senators Moynihan and Kerry was as follows:

"Senators: an article in last week's *Times of London* quotes the distinguished former astronaut, Dr. Edgar D. Mitchell, as saying that many credible witnesses in our military and intelligence communities

have convinced him that our government has been suppressing the truth about UFOs for decades.

"Dr. Mitchell went on to say that many of these individuals are now willing to discuss what they know in the appropriate congressional forum, but hold high-level security clearances and feel they cannot talk until some form of congressional immunity is granted them.

"The Commission on Protecting and Reducing Government Secrecy, which you chaired, Senator Moynihan, unanimously recommended that information should be classified for no more than ten years in most cases, with all information to be declassified after 30 years, unless demonstrable harm will result.

"Given these facts, Senators, would either of you be willing to sponsor or support a grant of congressional immunity for those who wish to testify about the government's knowledge of UFO matters?"

Senator Moynihan responded, "absolutely," and Senator Kerry answered, "Yes, I would."

In doing so, two of our most respected senators had the courage to put aside any fear of ridicule and go on record as willing to support or sponsor a grant of congressional immunity for credible officials with knowledge of UFO matters.

My interest, and my reader's interest in your answers to these questions is very much in earnest. We feel that the next leader of this country should be someone who cares about getting to the truth of this matter. A fact of life in post-war America is that excessive secret-keeping has proven to be destructive to the most basic precepts of democracy. It undermines the people's confidence in their government and has wasted a tremendous amount of money and manpower. The excessive secrecy with which this government treats the subject of UFOs is emblematic of the problem. However, despite decades of government denial and media distortion, this matter continues to gain in legitimacy among respected scientists and elected officials. And each year, more and more of your, and your colleague's constituents are taking it seriously as well. ●

SCREEN on the GREEN


On★Politics

WHITE HOUSE/ Bush (R)

Bush, Cheney Come Calling In Arkansas

By Terry M. Neal and David Von Drehle
Washington Post Staff Writers
Saturday, July 29, 2000; Page A01

SPRINGDALE, Ark., July 28 — George W. Bush began a five-day bus ride through a strip of country that could make or break his presidential hopes today, while his troops prepared for next week's Republican convention by fighting--and mostly winning--some party battles over rules and the GOP platform.



George W. Bush will swing through Democrat-friendly Missouri, Kentucky, Ohio and West Virginia on his way to Philadelphia for the Republican National Convention. (Bill O'Leary - The Washington Post)

Bush's first stop: Arkansas, home of President Clinton, where the Texas governor promised to break the Democrats' recent stranglehold on his way to victory.

"Our first stop is a place that'll sound a resounding signal to America that Arkansas is Bush-Cheney country!" said an exuberant Bush. He was greeted by a cheering crowd of 2,000 in a school gymnasium.

The bus trip is bound for the convention in Philadelphia. En route, Bush will pass from Arkansas through Missouri, Kentucky, Ohio and West Virginia--all states that went for Clinton in 1992 and 1996.

Bush campaign officials said the trip proves the Texas governor will fight everywhere, including in states that have not gone Republican in the last two decades. "We're going after every vote," said Bush communication director Karen Hughes.

That's especially true of these Midwest and border states, which are part of the battleground on which the election will be decided, according to strategists in both parties.



Front
Political News
Elections 2000

White House
Bush (R)
Conventions
Gore (D)
Reader (Green)

The Issues
Federal Page
Polls
Columns -
Cartoons
Live Online
Online Extras
Photo Galleries
Video - Audio

PARTNERS



CQ Congressional Quarterly Inc.

Avant

BRITANNICA

1999

Convention Coverage
* [GOP Convention Guide](#)

Photo Gallery
* [Gearing Up for the GOP](#)

[E-Mail This Article](#)
[Printer-Friendly Version](#)

Shop
\$11
Save
Mag

SE
ON
OP
A

Polit
You
Enter
or ZI

Rela

More
Bush
Cover

Post
of Ge

Galle
in Ph

Electi
Whit
Cover

Candi
Search

Candi
Search



In Philadelphia today, the GOP platform committee turned aside an effort to delete or modify the party's antiabortion position. Bush has said for more than a year that he wants the platform language to remain just as it was in 1996--calling for a constitutional amendment to ban abortion and urging that federal judges be chosen who will undo the right to abortion that has been U.S. law since 1973.

Preserving the platform language has allowed Bush to speak as rarely and gently as possible about this highly charged issue while continuing to hold the support of religious conservatives.

Such hard-edged topics are not likely to get much time in Bush's Thursday night acceptance speech, judging from a sketchy preview that Hughes gave reporters en route to Arkansas. Instead, Bush will offer a bipartisan approach to creating a prescription drug benefit for Medicare recipients. Other issues--especially education and Social Security reform--will also be prominent, Hughes said.

Bush operatives sat in on all platform debates in Philadelphia, occasionally suggesting a word here or there to keep the document square with the candidate's agenda. But Bush was dealt a mild setback when the committee adopted its own language on federal involvement in education.

A proposal to revamp the presidential primary system was spiked, meanwhile, after Bush campaign strategist Karl Rove announced his opposition in conversations with several rules committee members. The proposal would have required the largest states to wait until May 2004 to vote, in hopes of giving more voice to more voters in more states.

Here in the Ozarks of Northwest Arkansas, in the district where Clinton ran--and lost--his first campaign more than 25 years ago, Bush and running mate Richard B. Cheney promised to "restore dignity and integrity to Washington," as Cheney put it.

"No more Slick Willie!" a man in the audience shouted.

Cheney spoke briefly, in a near-monotone, in stark contrast with his pumped-up partner. In his most ebullient speech since he wrapped up the GOP nomination in March, Bush promised to rebuild the military and restore the morale of the troops, slash taxes, and shore up Social Security.

"Our opponents think the surplus is the government's money," Bush said. "We know the surplus is the people's money." The crowd seemed to like them both. At one point, the applause went on so long Bush raised his arms and said, "Mercy!"

Arkansas is Clinton's state; nevertheless, the crowd roared when Bush said of Cheney: "This man is a good man, he's a solid man, he's a man who understands what the definition of 'is' is."

The president decided to jab back during an appearance in Rhode Island. A smiling Clinton attacked Bush as an under-experienced daddy's boy: "Near as I can tell the message of the Bush campaign is . . . 'I mean, how bad could I be? I've been governor of Texas, my daddy was president, I own a baseball team.' "

But Bush defended his choice, opening his speech here with strong praise for Cheney, who has been under attack by Democrats since Tuesday, when he was introduced as Bush's running mate.

"I had a big decision to make," Bush said in defense of his choice. "I told America loud and clear that I wanted someone by my side who could if need be be president of the United States." He also wanted someone who "would help me bring honor and dignity" to the White House, he said.

"I found the right man in Dick Cheney."

Bush and Cheney later attended a rally of more than 5,000 people at a college in Joplin, Mo., where they repeated their promise "to restore honesty and decency to the West Wing."

Earlier in the day, during a tour of a church-based social service center here, Bush got an earful about the space alien issue. The first man to greet him was Charles A. Huffer, who tightly gripped the governor's hand and spoke softly in his ear.

Bush looked befuddled.


"I told him that I thought he had a good chance to be president," Huffer recounted afterward, "and I asked him if he would be willing to finally tell the American people the truth about unidentified flying objects." Huffer, 61, is a Springdale resident whose business card lists him as the state section director of the Mutual UFO Network Inc.

"Dick Cheney has been the defense secretary, so he already has the inside track on this," he added. "I just want them to tell the American people the truth."

According to Huffer, Bush answered, "Okay."

© 2000 The Washington Post Company





Classified Ads | Place a classified
ABQjournal T-Shirts | Subscribe

Lost? Try This

Search All

Register & Get Password | Classified Ads | Find NM Jobs | Find Autos | NewsLibrary Archive | See New

Featured
Advertiser



Advertiser Index

Tuesday, October 15, 2002

Cheney Boosts Pearce, Iraq Plan During Roswell Stop

By Rene Romo

Journal Southern Bureau

ROSWELL — Vice President Dick Cheney made a brief stop here Monday to campaign for the Republican 2nd Congressional District candidate and to voice the Bush administration's resolve to eliminate Iraq's weapons of mass destruction.

In a 15-minute prepared speech in a hangar at the Roswell Industrial Air Center, the low-key Cheney said the United States is seeking international support for its efforts to see Iraq's weapons of mass destruction destroyed, but he said this nation will go it alone if necessary.

"The question for the international community is whether Security Council resolutions will be enforced or disregarded without consequence, whether the U.N. will be effective or irrelevant," Cheney said to a crowd of about 3,000 people who greeted him. "As for the United States, the president has made our position very clear. We want the United Nations to be an effective organization that helps keep the peace."

But, Cheney warned, "The demands of the world will be met, or action will be unavoidable. Saddam Hussein must disarm, or, for the sake of peace, the United States will disarm him."

Cheney stopped in Roswell to campaign for Republican candidates in the November election, in particular former state Rep. Steve Pearce of Hobbs, who is running against Democratic state Sen. John Arthur Smith, a real estate appraiser from Deming.

After the rally, Cheney was scheduled to be the featured guest at a \$250-per-head fund-raising reception at the home of Roswell oilman George Yates, chairman and chief executive officer of HEYCO Energy Group. About 250 guests were expected to attend, according to Pearce spokesman Gail Gitcho, meaning \$62,500 could be generated from ticket sales. Additional funds were to be raised through photo sessions with Cheney at \$1,000 a couple.

Cheney is the latest in a string of high-profile Republicans who



ABQjour
Rene Ro

NewsLib
Rene Ro
present)

Election
► Pride
Debate f

► Dist. 1
Accuse f
Campaig

► Richa
DOE Re

► Richa
Point Ad
Sanchez

► Electi
Could De
Control

► Rome
Wilson f

► Pearc
Remain i
Polls

► Cháv
Expect
New Me

► Vote (Absente

► Group
Broke La

Advertise on
ABQjournal

SHOP ONLINE

Web Site Search

Search

- autos & trans
- top autos
- finance



Front Page

Forgot Your
Password?

Register here for
ABQjournal.com

E-Mail
Webmaster

E-Mail or
Contact the
Journal

Paid Advertisement
New Mexico Pharmac

have visited southern New Mexico to boost the campaign of Pearce, who is in a race with Smith. Pearce has been visited by President Bush, Senate Minority Leader Trent Lott, R-Miss., and House Majority Whip Tom DeLay, R-Texas, in recent months.

Last week, Smith's campaign spokesman Tony Bawidamann said the visits had seemed to have aided Pearce much. The latest poll taken for the Albuquerque Journal showed Pearce with a 2-point edge over Smith with more than one-fourth of the voters undecided.

"Confronting the threat posed by Iraq will be crucial to winning the war on terror," Cheney told the audience.

"In the months to come, we will be asking a lot of our military, and those who serve have a right to expect a lot from us," including the "very best tools, the best training and the best support we can possibly give them," Cheney said.

To meet that commitment, Cheney said, President Bush would soon sign into law the "most significant increase in defense spending since Ronald Reagan was in the White House."

In introductory remarks before Cheney's appearance, Sen. Pete Domenici, R-N.M., reiterated his position that congressional approval of a resolution giving President Bush the authority to take pre-emptive action against Iraq to protect the United States went further to assure peace than to precipitate war.

"I believe it means we'll keep the peace rather than go to war," Domenici said.



Copyright 2002 Albuquerque Journal
Click for permission to reprint (PRC# 3.4676.784969)

Where can I buy a newspaper?



E-mail this story



Printer Friendly

front page | news | business | sports | go | weather | science | classifieds | archive | arts | movies | tourism | travel | tv | jobs | site map | search

Privacy Policy & Copyright © 1997 - 2002 Albuquerque Journal: Albuquerque, New Mexico
Call the Journal: 505-823-3800 | Place an ad: 505-823-4444

ABQjournal - On the **Go**



ALAMOGORDO
DAILY NEWS

[Find a Job](#)

[Classifieds](#)

[Subscribe](#)

[Feedback](#)

[Contact Us](#)

[Print Ads](#)

Neighborhood
Favorites



News

[Headline Stories](#)

[Opinion](#)

[Letters to Editor](#)

[Today's Editorials](#)

[Write a Letter](#)

[Letter Policy](#)

[Coming Events](#)

[Obituaries](#)

[Election 2002](#)

[Sports](#)

[Gallery](#)

[Police Log](#)

[Buenas tardes](#)

[Vida Otero](#)

[Weekly & Special](#)

[Religion](#)

[Celebrate Otero](#)

[Weather](#)

[Kudos Otero](#)

[Real Estate Press](#)

[Your Good Health](#)

[Services](#)

[News Search](#)

[Info/Feedback](#)

[Search Archives](#)

[Subscriptions](#)

[Classifieds](#)

[Service Directory](#)

[MoreCities-Choices](#)

[Entertainment](#)

[Book Review](#)

[Movie Reviews](#)

[Music Review](#)



Article Last Updated:

Sunday, October 13, 2002 - 6:25:03 PM MST

ARTICLE OPTIO

[PRINT ARTICL](#)

[EMAIL ARTICL](#)

[LINK ARTICLE](#)



Ellis Neel/Daily News

Buenas dias

Sunday, October 13, 20

Tomorrow

Those who wish to attend a ra
for Vice President Dick Cheney
Roswell Monday, Oct. 14, mus
have a ticket to attend.

**Thanks a lot mom Varsity Tiger Chris Kappes
gives his mom a hug and a flower at
midfield during Parents Appreciation Day
activities before the Varsity Boys Soccer
game at the Riner Steinhoff Soccerplex
Saturday.**

The rally will be in the Great
Southwestern Aviation Hangar
#32 at the Roswell Airport.

Gates for the rally will open at

p.m.

For tickets, call (505) 392-3869 (Hobbs) or (505) 647-9333 (Las Cruces)

Toddler Story Time is held from 10:30 to 11 a.m. at the Alamogordo Pub
Library. This program is for children 3 to 5 years old, not yet in kindergar
and includes stories rhymes, fingerplays, music, crafts, and other fun. Ca
Kathy Manes at 439-4140 for more information.

Rocket Stamp Club meets at 7 p.m. at the First Christian Church. For mo
information call 434-4341.

Roadblocks

Range Control reports that there are no roadblocks for the remainder of t
day and tomorrow.

Roadblocks are subject to change without notice. For updates, call Range
Control at 443-7199.

RETURN TO TOP

© 1999-2002 MediaNews Group, Inc. and Mid-States Newspapers, Inc.

HoosierTalk**htinteractive**
mediabloomington in 812.349.1411
since 1996A SERVICE OF **HoosierTimes.****New Topic****Reply**

FORUM HOME

EDIT PROFILE

EDIT YOUR PREFERENCES

SEARCH

PRIVATE MESSAGES

FAQ

REGIS

[HoosierTalk Forum Index](#) » » [National](#) » » [Bush/Cheney hunting for cash-CNN](#)

Author

Bush/Cheney hunting for cash-CNN

Dr. Tony**Board Heavyweight**

☐ Posted: 2002-10-14 14:58

Joined: Nov 01, 2001

Posts: 935

From: puntaville

Bush, Cheneys hunting for campaign cash

President makes another trip to Michigan

Monday, October 14, 2002 Posted: 9:43 AM EDT (1343 GMT)

Bush has raked in more than \$136 million, compared with the \$50 million Pre Clinton collected in the 1994 midterm election year.

Story Tools

WASHINGTON (AP) -- President Bush and Vice President Dick Cheney and wif Lynne Cheney are fanning out in four states to raise campaign cash for Reput seeking House seats.

Bush travels Monday to Dearborn, Michigan, to collect money for state Sen. Thaddeus McCotter, the GOP nominee for a new congressional seat.

Democrats need to gain just seven House seats to capture a majority, and th president is determined to thwart them.

Bush's first planned stop, however, is an airport rally with Michigan Lt. Gov. [Posthumus, the Republican nominee for governor.

The vice president, meanwhile, heads to Phoenix to raise cash for Republican Renzi, a Flagstaff developer locked in a tight race for Arizona's new 1st Distric Cheney later headlines an event in Roswell, New Mexico, for House candidate Pearce.

Mrs. Cheney was traveling to Glen Burnie, Maryland, near Baltimore, to bring money for Helen Bentley, who served five terms in Congress before giving up seat for an unsuccessful bid for the Republican gubernatorial nomination in 19

Cheney has raised \$29 million.

Even as the administration prepares for possible war with Iraq, Bush's record breaking fund-raising campaign continues to hum along.

Monday will be the president's 64th fund-raiser, Cheney's 68th and 69th and Cheney's sixth.

Bush alone has raked in more than \$136 million, compared with the \$50 million President Clinton collected in the midterm election year of 1994. Cheney has \$29 million.

The trip is Bush's seventh as president to Michigan, a state rich in electoral votes and one he lost to Al Gore in 2000. The White House said the GOP will foot the

My guess is they did not invite any of the 20 million unemployed Americans in the country. I must admit it takes a lot of gold to keep asking people for money and the power in this economy. I wonder if these guys really understand how bad the economy is for most Americans?

We had an election and 100 million people voted and the result was that Bush was elected, 5-4.

"Everyone is entitled to be stupid, but some abuse the privilege." Unknown

 PROFILE

 EMAIL

 WWW

 EDIT

 QUOTE

 Posted: 2002-10-14 15:04

Blazerbob

Board Heavyweight

Joined: Jan 22, 2002

Posts: 1324

Probably only asking from the rich.

He certainly is better at raising money than Clinton.

 PROFILE

 EMAIL

 EDIT

 QUOTE

 Posted: 2002-10-14 15:12

Dr. Tony

Board Heavyweight

Joined: Nov 01, 2001

Posts: 935

From: puntaville

But when the economy is in the shape it is in, not to mention the war, and everything else. Is it a wise thing to do, put money ahead of the people. If you look at the fundraising trips of both Cheney & Bush it is in the area of 100 million worth total of about 130 trips in 10 months. That is 13 trips a month or almost one every other day. How long is a man/woman going to keep a job if they show-up every other day, and not on weekends?

We had an election and 100 million people

This is Google's cache of <http://www.sfu.ca/mediapr/sfnews/1997/May22/opinion.html>.
Google's cache is the snapshot that we took of the page as we crawled the web.
The page may have changed since that time. Click here for the [current page](#) without highlighting.
To link to or bookmark this page, use the following url: <http://www.google.com/search?q=cache:7UdjoUO78RgC:www.sfu.ca/mediapr/sfnews/1997/May22/opinion.html+%22dogs+know+what+to+do+with+poles%22&hl=en&ie=UTF-8>

Google is not affiliated with the authors of this page nor responsible for its content.

These search terms have been

dogs know what to do with poles



May 22, 1997 * Vol . 9, No. 2

OPINION:

Voter beware

*There are good election polls and bad election polls.
Every voter should know the difference.*

by Gary Mauser

When asked what he thought about recent poll results that showed his party very low in voter preferences, former Prime Minister John Diefenbaker once said (here I paraphrase) that **"dogs know what to do with poles."**

Opinion polls, love them or hate them, they're a fact of life in this information age, particularly during election campaigns such as the one we're in now. But are opinion polls useful? Do we really need them? And at a time when we're being bombarded with conflicting and self-serving messages, how do we sort the wheat from the chaff?

First of all, it's important to remember that polls are only 'snapshots' of what the public thinks in response to the questions pollsters ask them. They're not predictions, nor can they be. Since people may change their minds -- and events can change, too -- even the best poll may not be able to predict the outcome of the election.

Politicians both like and fear polls. Polls can make or break careers, which is why many politicians feel that polls are too powerful to share with the public. But this is the best reason for letting polls remain available to the public. Polls open up a window on the hidden back rooms in political parties that typically are closed to the average citizen. If polls weren't broadcast, voters would remain in the dark about many important facets of politics, such as which candidates have a reasonable chance to win, or

why politicians say what they do.

Voters aren't just making up their individual minds during an election, they're participating in a group decision: Who do we think should run the country? It's quite legitimate to wonder what other people are thinking about the candidates, parties and issues.

But media polls are often misused or poorly reported. Public opinion is often complex and sometimes contradictory, so it should be obvious that no single question could do justice to such a phenomenon. How could anyone expect that political opinions can be boiled down to a single question, or worse, to a single headline? Unfortunately, the news media tries to do it all the time.

So what's the average voter to do? Here are some tips to help you make sense of opinion polls during this election campaign.

The first question to ask is: Who conducted the poll? Reasonable confidence can be placed in any of the major polling firms in Canada - for example, Canadian Facts, Angus Reid, Environics, or CROP. The major players are professionals. Because their reputations are on the line, they won't falsify results or deliberately attempt to mislead the public. This isn't to say the media reports won't be misleading, just that the polling firms can be trusted to use the highest-quality survey methods.

If the polling firm is unknown or is a minor player, the poll may still be quite solid. But then it's necessary to ask more specific methodological questions about how the poll was conducted. To be able to generalize the results to the entire population, the poll must be based on scientific methods. At a minimum, you need to assess the sampling methods, target population, sample size.

Don't trust any poll report that doesn't include a brief description of how it was collected; the name of the polling firm; and the actual question wording. Sometimes, unscrupulous politicians will 'leak' poll results in an effort to influence your vote. Don't be fooled.

The most important question to ask yourself is how the sample was selected. Usually, a poll can't generalize from a small sample to the entire population. For example, your friends are not a representative 'sample' of all British Columbians. The only way a poll can logically claim that a sample reflects the entire population, is if the sample was scientifically (i.e. randomly) selected from the population. For example, has everyone in the population had an equal chance to be selected?

The second question to ask is: What 'population' is the poll talking about? Is this a Canadian survey, a B.C. survey, or an American survey? A poll taken from across Canada may (or may not) reflect the opinions of B.C. residents. It's a mundane point, but occasionally the opinions of people outside B.C. differ from those of B.C. residents. For example, B.C. has a higher percentage of Reform supporters, and Canada has more Liberal supporters.

The third question to ask concerns sample size. Sample size is only important once you know the survey has been conducted scientifically. Even though it would be huge, a poll that included all the men in Canada (around 15 million people) would not be representative of the entire Canadian population, because such a sample wouldn't include any women. A small but representative survey would be a much more 'unbiased' estimate.

If the poll uses proper scientific methodology, then bigger polls should be more accurate because they have smaller 'sampling error.' Sampling error comes from the nature of sampling itself and is measured by the size of the range expected for the 'true' answer. A rule of thumb is that sample estimates should be based upon a sample size of at least 300, which has a sampling error of plus or minus six percentage

AlienZoo presents: *Flying Saucers over Hollywood!*

Dick Cheney snubbed the Roswell UFO Museum

By Paul Davids

Date: 11/03/2000

JPL URL: www.alienzoo.com/features/o/200011030001.cfm



Live from Sun Valley, Idaho, at the Sun Valley Film Festival, *FLYING SAUCERS OVER HOLLYWOOD* is hobnobbing with the likes of screenwriter John Briley (*GANDHI*), Ernest Hemingway's protégé screenwriter Denne Bart Petitclerc (*THE RED SUN, THEN CAME BRONSON*), director Jon Turteltaub Paul Davids (*PHENOMENON, INSTINCT, THE KID, WHILE YOU WERE SLEEPING*) producer Debra Hill (*HALLOWEEN, THE FOG, FISHER KING, CRAZY IN ALABAMA*), and actors Trish Van Devere and John Larroquette. My latest film *STARRY NIGHT* is one of the few of films invited to screen in the small and intimate festival, so I was also called upon to be a guest speaker on the Producer's Panel and another panel called "The DOTCOM Revolution."

I had the good fortune to hear Herman Zimmerman, Production Designer of *STAR TREK* television show and films, talk about his profession, and we discussed the spoof of the Roswell Incident that was done for *STAR TREK: THE NEXT GENERATION*. That tongue-in-cheek satire blamed the Roswell UFO crash on three young aliens who went joyriding and didn't know how to steer their saucer. After meeting with Herman Zimmerman, I picked up a copy of the *New York Times* and noted their article about the fact that Republican Vice-Presidential candidate Dick Cheney took a ride down Main Street in Roswell and passed the UFO Museum, on his way for a rally at Chaves County Courthouse.

The article was under CAMPAIGN BRIEFING on page A26 of the *October 26th New York Times*. It read: "THE **ALIEN FACTOR: AND OUT IN ROSWELL**... Maybe he was hoping to woo alienated voters. Or maybe he simply found himself in the position, not so unusual in this campaign, of having to win back a constituency previously thought to be solidly in his camp. Or maybe the official explanation, that New Mexico is up for grabs, is true. Whatever the reason, **Dick Cheney brought his campaign for the vice presidency to Roswell, N.M., today, which conspiracy theorists have long held to be the site of an alien landing and a government cover-up.** After his motorcade drove past the UFO Museum and Research Center, and plenty of shops hawking inflatable alien dolls and alien masks, Mr. Cheney was greeted by a cheering crowd that included one man with a sign featuring a little green man, a heart, and the word "Cheney." Aliens love Cheney, it appeared to say." The article, by Michael Cooper, then referenced to a photo of George W. Bush with an alien that appeared in the *Weekly World News*.

To my equal surprise, on the same day, *USA Today* in its ELECTIONLINE section (p. 4A) had a little article that proclaimed, "**CHENEY WOOS 'ALIENATED' VOTERS IN ROSWELL.**" The article read: "It was probably inevitable that Republican vice presidential nominee Dick Cheney would have a close encounter of the strange kind during a campaign stop in Roswell, N.M., the legendary site where some say a spaceship crashed in 1947. So when he arrived at the Chaves County Courthouse for a rally Wednesday, he was greeted by a sign that depicted a little green man, a heart and the name 'Cheney' - as in 'Space Aliens Love Cheney.' UFO cultists revere Roswell as the place they say a spaceship with a crew of five aliens crashed, killing all the extraterrestrials. The Army is said to have covered up the event for all these years. Defense Department Area 51, a secret base, is near Roswell. (NOTE: NOT TRUE, IT'S IN NEVADA - AND USA TODAY SHOULD STOP REFERRING TO UFOLOGISTS WHO CREDIT THE EVIDENCE OF A UFO CRASH IN ROSWELL AS CULTISTS). Conspiracy theorists have long said that the military has projects there relating to human-alien relations. **Cheney, as Defense Secretary from 1989 to 1993, was privy to Area 51's secrets, but he didn't reveal them to the partisan crowd of 2,500.**"



Cheney

Martin Kasindorf wrote that article in *USA Today*. When I returned to Los Angeles, I found a similar article in the *LOS ANGELES TIMES*, but it dished-up the usual smirking tone the establishment uses for discussing UFOs, taking pains to mention that neither Elvis nor Bigfoot showed up at the Cheney rally in Roswell.



Both Democrats and Republicans have paid a disproportionate number of trips to New Mexico during this campaign, considering it offers merely five electoral votes. Al Gore went to New Mexico to campaign 4 times, same as Dick Cheney. George W. Bush went once and Joseph Lieberman went twice. Though the others visited such locales as Santa Fe, Albuquerque, and Los Alamos, Mr. Cheney is the only one who passed

within spitting distance of the Roswell UFO Museum.

None of us knows all the machinations behind the scenes that might one day lead to an end to the UFO coverup and official disclosure of the existence of alien life-forms visiting earth. Political writer and AlienZoo columnist [Steve Bassett](#) thinks it's more likely such a day would arrive under a George W. Bush Administration, and indeed when asked a question about UFO disclosure, Mr. Bush was caught on camera stating "sure" he would disclose info on UFOs - rather, he would assign Dick Cheney to inform the public. If I believed that would be at all likely to happen and that it would happen only under a Bush Administration, it would shake up many of the assumptions of my political worldview. (Whether it would actually affect my vote, though, I am dubious, because I personally am not looking forward to reading about Chief Justice of the Supreme Court Clarence Thomas in the history books one day).

Although I don't like to cast myself in the role of a cynic, to me, Mr. Bush's answer to the UFO question was straight out of Charles Schultz's PEANUTS cartoon: It was Lucy and Charlie Brown with the football. Every fall, Lucy talks Charlie Brown into trusting her to hold the football while he runs up to kick it. And every autumn without fail, Lucy snaps the football away at the last minute, making Charlie Brown land flat on his back screaming "ARGGGGGH" (and promising himself that he will never trust her again - but he always does).



Mr. Cheney was Secretary of Defense and never did anything to illuminate the public on UFOs or end what is widely perceived as a UFO coverup. (As long as declassified official papers on the subject are released with page after page of blacked-out paragraphs, we can justly assume that a coverup on the issue is firmly in place, and that there's a great deal we are not "supposed" to know.) From Daniel Sheehan comes the horror story of how, during the Carter Administration, then-CIA Director George Herbert Walker Bush, the current Republican candidate's father, impeded then-President Jimmy Carter at getting CIA files on UFOs. Other prominent Republicans have dropped hints but never crossed over the line or become TOO inquisitive on the UFO subject. Ronald Reagan had his own UFO sighting from a private plane while Governor of California, and at the United Nations he made mention of the import the discovery of "aliens" from space would have on our geopolitics, but he never spilled any beans. Former President Gerald Ford was a crusader about UFOs when he was a Michigan Congressman trying to get to the bottom of the "Swamp Gas" controversy, but for his brief tenure as President, the silence on the subject was deafening. The UFO field also has its rumors about other Republican Presidents (i.e., Did President Eisenhower visit aliens at Edwards Air Force Base as described in a previous Jim Marrs column? Did Richard Nixon take actor Jackie Gleason to see the corpses of deceased aliens at Homestead Air Force Base in Florida?).



With all of this sad and sorry history regarding the lack of any UFO revelations, my expectations for a forthright answer from George W. Bush or Dick Cheney, if they are elected, hovers at around zero percent, in spite of the election year "promise." But admittedly, if there is to be disclosure on extraterrestrials within our lifetimes (or even in the near future), none of us knows what events might push our leaders (whoever they might be) to make such a revelation. We can only guess and pretend to anticipate, but we should expect nothing. The UFO coverup has not been merely a Republican production, by any means. The Democrats have apparently been equal participants in keeping the UFO answers out of the public arena. Modern ufology puts Democratic President Harry S. Truman, under whose watch the CIA was formed, at the center of the initial 1947 coverup (see www.majesticdocuments.com). We have all sorts of rumors (and statements from some public speakers who were associated with the JFK Administration) about what JFK said privately regarding the reality of UFOs... or what information confirming the reality of alien spacecraft he might have shared with Marilyn Monroe. From Lyndon Baines Johnson there was never any open discussion of the issue of which I'm aware, and Project Blue Book was closed down not long after Johnson departed, in the early days of the first Nixon Administration.

George Bush Sr., though rumored to have been a strong proponent of Mars exploration and who "may" have had words on the subject of ETs with Soviet President Gorbachev when they met at sea for a summit conference at the Bay of Mars (according to Richard C. Hoagland) - nevertheless left us all in the dark when he left office.

It is a fact, however, that President Reagan once gave a speech at Roswell from the closed-down hangar that ufologists postulate alien bodies were taken after the Roswell crash. An odd location for a Presidential missive, by any measure, though some in the corridors of ufology have speculated that it was a "secret signal" to insiders.

Oct 29
82

If Reagan's Roswell speech was a "secret signal," then how are we to regard the recent journey of Dick Cheney on his fourth New Mexico campaign trip, not to just "anywhere" in New Mexico, but RIGHT DOWN MAIN STREET past the Roswell UFO Museum and Research Center. The Roswell UFO Museum is probably the most famous building on that street, visited annually by tens of thousands from around the Reagan world in acknowledgement of the great mystery - and the belief that the government still has not fessed up. But before we get too excited, let's realize that Mr. Cheney didn't stop to visit the UFO Museum (would that have been political "suicide"?), and no reporter said he even turned his head to look in that direction. Main Street in Roswell is simply what you must drive down if you want to get to the Chaves County Courthouse where they held his rally.

By the time the NEXT column of FLYING SAUCERS OVER HOLLYWOOD is posted at AlienZoo, we'll all know who the next Leader of the Free World will be. But don't expect me to offer you an election post-mortem, no matter which side wins. I'll be too busy reporting to you on the premiere of RED PLANET, the next Mars movie to reach theaters across the nation. But in the meantime, allow me to direct all AlienZoo readers to the polls. GO VOTE! MAKE YOUR OWN DECISION, IT'S YOUR FUTURE. But remember what Timothy Leary said when he decided he wanted his head cryogenically preserved so he could be resuscitated at some time in the future. He said, "Whatever you do, please don't bring me back to life during a Republican Administration." Amen.

If you survive the election, see you next week here at FLYING SAUCERS OVER HOLLYWOOD!

©2000 AlienZoo, Inc.

Aliens Appear To Stump For Cheney

Source: The Irish Times (<http://www.ireland.com>)

Publication Date: October 27, 2000



A new seam of alienated voter potential for the Republicans may have been "unearthed" in New Mexico by the party's candidate for the vice-presidency, Mr Dick Cheney, writes Patrick Smyth.

US: Visiting the town of Roswell, the site of the US's most celebrated UFO incident, Mr Cheney was met by a crowd of cheering supporters.

In their midst was a placard featuring a little green man and a heart emblazoned "Cheney". Aliens love Cheney, the message seemed to be. Perhaps even an endorsement of the Republicans' Star Wars II National Missile Defence plans?

Roswell has long been regarded by UFO aficionados as the site of the best-authenticated alien landing in the early 1960s, an event systematically covered up, it is alleged, by the US authorities at the highest level.

The town is now host to a UFO research centre and museum and its shops sell souvenirs ranging from masks to alien inflated dolls.

The extra-terrestrial endorsement is not the first, however, for Mr George W. Bush.

According to the headlines of one of the US's more imaginative supermarket tabloids, the Weekly World News, in May, "Space Alien Backs Bush for President".

It was apparently the same alien who "helped Clinton win top job in 1992".

Cheney Visits Roswell
November 7, 2000 07:22 CDT

Buzzing over the
paranormal mailing lists
Monday was news of a
"surprise" visit by
Republican
vice-presidential
candidate Richard

Cheney.

Ufologists and UFO buffs might assume that Cheney, who served as Secretary of Defense in the George Bush the elder's administration, might know of any, shall we say, military secrets that may surround the circumstances behind the suspected crash of alien craft near the New Mexico community in 1947. But if Cheney knows of any recovered ET space ships or their occupants, he wasn't saying during his recent campaign stop in the community, said the UFO Roundup online newsletter.

"It was probably inevitable that Dick Cheney would have a close encounter of the strange kind during a campaign stop in Roswell," said the Roundup. "So when he arrived at the Chaves County courthouse for a rally Wednesday (Oct. 25), he was greeted by a sign that depicted a little green man with a heart and the name 'Cheney'--as in 'Space Aliens Love Cheney'."

"UFO cultists revere Roswell as the place where they say a spaceship with a crew of five aliens crashed, killing all the extraterrestrials. The Army is said to have covered up the event for all these years. The TV series The X-Files often has dealt with the Roswell incident... Defense Department Area 51, a secret base, is near Roswell. Conspiracy theorists have long said that the military has projects there relating to human-alien relations," said the Roundup.

USA Today covered the Cheney stopover in the community, with the headline, "Cheney woos 'alienated' voters in Roswell," (indicating that at least one mainstream media

outlet does recall the 1947 incident.

For UFO Roundup editor Joseph Trainor's part, he found it "very interesting that Cheney flew into Roswell just a day after the mysterious mass death of 24 cattle in that community. Was he on some kind of clandestine mission for the Pentagon?"

The Roundup had reported on Oct. 26 that the cattle were "found dead under mysterious circumstances. Rancher Marcelo Macias doesn't know what happened to his herd of cows, but he knows he's out of a lot of money," reported the Roundup. The farmer had last checked them at about midnight on Oct. 19, and all of the cattle appeared healthy. Half of them lay dead by morning; 21 of them were pregnant cows. Local news media picked up on the story Oct. 23.

A local veterinarian, examined the dead cattle and could not immediately determine what killed them, said the Roundup, and no other cattle in the area were found dead. An investigation was pending.

Staff Writer Sally Suddock

CHENEY MAKES SURPRISE RETURN TO ROSWELL

""It was probably inevitable that Republican vice presidential candidate Dick Cheney would have a close encounter of the strange kind during a campaign stop in Roswell," New Mexico. The city of Roswell is "the legendary site where some say a spaceship crashed in (July) 1947. So when he arrived at the Chaves County courthouse for a rally Wednesday," October 25, 2000, "he was greeted by a sign that depicted a little green man with a heart and the name 'Cheney'--as in 'Space Aliens Love Cheney.'"

"UFO cultists revere Roswell as the place where they say a spaceship with a crew of five aliens crashed, killing all the extraterrestrials. The Army is said to have covered up the event for all these years. The TV series The X-Files often has dealt with 'the Roswell incident.'"

"(USA) Defense Department Area 51, a secret base, is near Roswell. Conspiracy theorists have long said that the military has projects there relating to human-alien relations."

"Cheney, as Defense Secretary from 1989 to 1993, was privy to Area 51's secrets, but he didn't reveal them to the (Republican) partisan crowd of 2,500." (See USA Today for October 26, 2000, "Cheney woods 'alienated' voters in Roswell," page 4A.) (Editor's Comment: I find it very interesting that Cheney flew into Roswell just a day after the mysterious mass death of 24 cattle in that community. Was he on some kind of clandestine mission for the Pentagon? For more on the mysterious cattle deaths, see UFO Roundup, volume 5, number 43 for October 26, 2000, Mysterious mass death of cattle in New Mexico," page 3.),

Source: UFO ROUNDUP: Volume 5, Number 44: November 2, 2000
<http://ufoinfo.com/roundup/>

Oct 26 UFO Roundup

MYSTERIOUS MASS DEATH OF CATTLE IN NEW MEXICO

Twenty-four cattle were found dead under mysterious circumstances in Roswell, New Mexico on Thursday morning, October 19, 2000.

"Rancher Marcelo Macias doesn't know what happened to his herd of cows, but he knows he's out of a lot of money."

"Macias said 24 cows died mysteriously last week at his farm."

"The cattle were all healthy when he checked them at midnight Wednesday (October 19, 2000) but by early Thursday morning (October 19, 2000) nearly half of his herd lay dead."

"'All healthy yesterday and now look--just dead,' said the distraught farmer as he gestured towards the enclosures. 'All my work for nothing.'"

"Macias said his neighbors' cows all seem to be fine."

"Macias estimated his loss was at least \$40,000. He said all of the 21 dead cows were pregnant, and that he paid \$3,000 for one of the three dead bulls."

"Dr. Leonard Blach, a local veterinarian, examined the dead cattle and could not immediately determine what killed them. He said he won't know more until samples he took from the cows are tested at a lab."

"Neither Dr. Blach nor College Garden Animal Clinic, another local large animal hospital, had received any other calls of cattle dying." (See the Albuquerque, N.M. Journal for October 23, 2000, "Half of cow herd mysteriously dies." Many thanks to Patty Coleman for forwarding this newspaper article.)

Roswell Cattle Were Poisoned

The UFO Roundup online newsletter reports this week that the mystery of a cattle herd's death in New Mexico has apparently been solved--and not linked to paranormal activity or related to unexplained cow mutilations.

A herd of some 30 cattle was found dead of unnatural causes near Roswell in mid-October, and reported in the local media later that month. Rancher Marcelo Macias found the herd dead in his fields early in the morning, after checking on them and finding that all was normal that evening.

The Roundup reported that the cows apparently were poisoned by a toxic weed that found its way into a bale of hay the cows ate.

"It was not, as a Boston (Massachusetts) radio station revealed October 26, caused by aliens," said the roundup, quoting reports in the Albuquerque, NM Journal newspaper.

"The culprit weed got wrapped into a one-ton bale of hay, was further ground up at the ranch corral and was then ingested by the cattle between dusk and dawn on the night of October 18. Twenty-three pregnant cows, three bulls and four early-season calves dropped dead in the darkness," said the newspaper.

Thurman Reitz, the assistant state veterinarian, said he got a report from a laboratory Thursday morning saying tests had shown evidence of nitrate poisoning. "Reitz said the nitrate came from one or more common weeds that were harvested along with the grass in the hay bale. A specific weed hasn't been identified," said the report. The veterinarian described the toxin in the weed as "pretty nasty stuff."

He said the toxin kills by altering the animal's blood and depriving it of oxygen."

And speaking of cattle and aliens, UFO researcher and frequent contributor to ufology Web newslists Kim Burrafato posted a humorous commentary on the Net Thursday. He'd run across a Sony videocam advertisement that has capitalized on the suspected link between alien activity and the cattle mutilation mystery.

Burrafato called it an "Amazing Sony UFO ad--Cattle Mutilation Disinfo?" And commented, "Gee, those cows look healthy, don't they?" The full ad has been posted on radio host Jeff Rense's news Website.

Staff Writer Sally Suddock for Cosmiverse.com

STATE VET HAS ANSWER TO ROSWELL CATTLE MYSTERY

"Mystery no longer surrounds the cause of death of 30 cattle on Marcelo Macias's ranch near Roswell, New Mexico (population 45,000.)

"It was nothing exotic. It was a weed."

"It was not, as a Boston (Massachusetts) radio station revealed Thursday morning," October 26, 2000, "caused by aliens."

"The culprit weed got wrapped into a one-ton bale of hay, was further ground up at the ranch corral and was then ingested by the cattle between dusk and dawn on the night of October 18," 2000.

"Twenty-three pregnant cows, three bulls and four early-season calves dropped dead in the darkness."

"Thurman Reitz, the assistant state veterinarian, said he got a report from a laboratory Thursday morning saying tests had shown evidence of nitrate poisoning."

"Reitz said the nitrate came from one or more common weeds that were harvested along with the grass in the hay bale. A specific weed hasn't been identified."

"This can be pretty nasty stuff," said Reitz, referring to the nitrate. "Livestock poisoning like this happens occasionally but not to this extent."

"Reitz said nitrate poisoning alters the animal's blood and deprives it of oxygen."

"Basically, they suffocate," he said."

"Reitz said he got a call at 9:30 a.m. Thursday from a Boston radio station, wanting to know if aliens were involved in the deaths of the cattle. Reitz said he was barely awake until the caller got to this question."

"The veterinarian told the radio station that aliens weren't involved."

"At his ranch, Macias said he got the hay for free not long ago from a Hagerman (New Mexico) rancher who said the bales were three or four years old."

"New Mexican growers said hay that's three or four years old is still good 'if it has been stored right and it is covered over.'"

"Macias said he brought about 100 bales to his ranch and has 90 bales left."

"I don't know what I'm going to do with them. The police told me I can't burn this much hay," said Macias, whose ranch lies northeast of the Roswell city limits."

"I've been feeding that hay to my sheep and nothing has happened, and no more of my cattle have died," he said."

"Macias's son, Marcelo Jr., said the dead cattle were trucked away by a company that handles dead livestock."

Roswell, N.M. is located 198 miles (319 kilometers) southeast of Albuquerque.

(See the Albuquerque, N.M. Journal for October 27, 2000, "Weed killed cattle," by Fritz Thompson.)

Friday, October 27, 2000

Weed Killed Cattle

By Fritz Thompson
Journal Staff Writer

Mystery no longer surrounds the cause of death for 30 cattle on Marcelo Macias' ranch near Roswell.

It was nothing exotic. It was a weed.

It was not, as a Boston radio station speculated Thursday morning, caused by aliens.

The culprit weed got wrapped into a one-ton bale of hay, was further ground up at the ranch corral and then was ingested by the cattle between dusk and dawn on the night of Oct. 18.

Twenty-three pregnant cows, three bulls and four early season calves dropped dead in the dark.

Thurman Reitz, the assistant state veterinarian, said he got a report from a laboratory Thursday morning saying tests had shown evidence of nitrate poisoning.

Reitz said the nitrate came from one or more common weeds that were harvested along with the grass in the hay bale. A specific weed hasn't been identified.

"This can be pretty nasty stuff," said Reitz, referring to the nitrate. "Livestock poisoning like this happens occasionally, but not to this extent."

Reitz said nitrate poisoning alters an animal's blood and deprives it of oxygen.

"Basically, they suffocate," he said.

Reitz said he got a call at 5:30 a.m. Thursday from a Boston radio station, wanting to know if aliens were involved in the deaths of the cattle. Reitz said he was barely awake until the caller got to that question.

The veterinarian said he told the station that aliens weren't involved.

At his ranch, Macias said he got the hay for free not long ago from a Hagerman rancher who said the bales were three or four years old.

New Mexico growers said hay that's three or four years old is still good "if it has been stored right and is covered over."

Macias said he hauled 100 bales to his ranch and has about 80 bales left.

"I don't know what I'm going to do with them. The police told me I can't burn that much hay," said Macias, whose ranch lies just northeast of the Roswell city limits.

"I've been feeding that hay to my sheep and nothing has happened, and no more of my cattle have died," he said. About 35 head of surviving cattle were in the same corral as the animals that died.

Macias' son, Marcelo Jr., said the dead cattle were trucked away by a company that handles dead livestock.

altavista: [Search](#) | [Comparison Shop](#) | [Channels](#) | [Rewards](#) | [Email](#)

[Daily Horoscope](#) [Yellow Pages](#)

[Insurance](#)

[Home Directory](#)

Cards for the last second.

Click here:

Go

power
look

squishy@altavista.com

Inbox message 1 of 79

Check Mail

Write Mail

Log Out

Reply

Reply all

Forward

Move to

Trash

>

From: "Cate Sewell" <cate.sewell@reagan.nara.gov>

Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 18:32:15 -0500

To: <squishy@altavista.com>

Subject: Re: Reagan Speeches

[Save Address](#)

[Print View](#)

[Show Headers](#)

[Report Junk Mail](#)

Folders

[Inbox](#)

[Sent](#)

[Trash](#)

[ALEXANDER](#)

[Area 51](#)

[Balakas](#)

[DRudiak](#)

[IMPLANTS](#)

[MC](#)

[OBE](#)

[REED](#)

[SMITH](#)

[Strandberg](#)

[Updates](#)

[VICTORIAN](#)

[WALKER](#)

[convention](#)

[kasten](#)

[tombaugh](#)

Filters

[Addresses](#)

[Preferences](#)

[Help](#)

[Empty Trash](#)

Dear Mr. Cameron,

1. Yes, we have drafts for the speeches, but they are not currently available for research. You may make a Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request for the speeches, but it will probably three months or longer before they are available for research. FOIA requests for Reagan Presidential records should be in writing and signed by the requestor, cite the Freedom of Information Act within the request, and be as specific as possible regarding the information requested. FOIA requests should be addressed to FOIA, Ronald Reagan Library, 40 Presidential Drive, Simi Valley, CA 93065.

2 -3. Each speechdraft has the last names of the speechwriters written in the top-right hand corner. For the 9/21/87 speech, the writer was Clark Stevens Judge and his address is: Managing Partner, White House Writers Group, Inc., 1511 K Street NW #500, Washington, DC 20005. For the 12/4/85 speech, the writers was Joshua Gilder and we do not have an address for him.

Cate Sewell
Archivist
cate.sewell@reagan.nara.gov
1-800-410-8354 ext. 2048

>>> <squishy@altavista.com> 01/14 8:13 PM >>>
Mr/Ms

I would like to know some things about the speeches listed below.

1) Are there drafts of these speeches in the Reagan Library?

FOIA

Clark Stevens Judge
202-783-4600
Joshua Gilder

- 2) Do you know which speech writers wrote each speech?
- 3) How would I go about finding where that speech writer is now?

Thanks

Grant Cameron

Speech to the United Nations General Assembly, Forty-second session,
September 21, 1987

"Remarks of the President to Fallston High School Students and Faculty," December 4, 1985.

Find the best deals on the web at AltaVista Shopping!
<http://www.shopping.altavista.com>

Change message text width in [Settings](#).



About AltaVista: [Help](#) | [Privacy Policy](#) | [Support FreeIM.org](#) | [more ...](#)

Bush.

.com Resources for those who are stranded here		
UFOs Paranormal Area 51 People Places Random Top 100 What's New Catalog New Books	Search... for keyword(s) UpDate Bush UFO Inve Go in Page Titles v	Our Bookstore is <u>OPEN</u>
Mothership -> Here		

UFO UpDates Mailing List

UpDate: Bush: UFO Investigation "First Thing" Cheney Will Do

From: Stig Agermose <stig.agermose@post.cybercity.dk>
 Date: Mon, 07 Aug 2000 09:15:18 +0200
 Fwd Date: Mon, 07 Aug 2000 22:22:54 -0400
 Subject: UpDate: Bush: UFO Investigation "First Thing" Cheney Will Do

Source: Jeff Rense,

<http://www.sightings.com/general3/disclosure.htm>

Stig

Actual CNN Transcript Of Gov. Bush Promising UFO Disclosure

From Charles Huffer <cahuffer@yahoo.com>
 To Randy Kitchur <kitchur@hotmail.com>
 8-7-00

← background

Randy,

(Please send this to all persons who may be interested. There seems to be a great deal of confusion about what really transpired.)

I am the UNIDENTIFIED CITIZEN on the CNN transcript and the 5TH MAN on the Nightline transcript. The Washington Post article has my name as it appears on my card, which I gave to the reporter who interviewed me immediately after I had my first exchange with Gov. Bush.

- where?

The websites are still up and running as I write this. Here they are:

<http://washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/articles/A196-2000Jul28.html>

http://abcnews.go.com/onair/nightline/transcripts/nl000728_trans.html

<http://www.cnn.com/TRANSCRIPTS/0007/29/smn.01.html>

On the CNN transcript is the following:

- where -

KARL: "...Along the way, someone thought Cheney's impressive

resume would help Bush tell the truth about UFOs." (This was pure speculation on Karl's part and was wrong. CAH)

UNIDENTIFIED CITIZEN: (That is me, Charles A. Huffer, MUFON State Section Director) "Half the public believes that they are real. Would you finally tell us what the hell is going on?" (The full exchange of words with Gov. Bush was evidently not recorded. I had a recorder on but it was too far away for clarity. I did get Bush's reply, however.)

GOV. GEORGE W. BUSH (R-TX), PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE:

"Sure, I will. This man knows. He was Secretary of Defense and was a great one."

CNN has made a serious error here. They have attributed some of my words to Gov. Bush. Here is the way it was:

Bush: "Sure. I will."

Charles A. Huffer: (Cheney had in the meantime walked over to us and extended his hand to me.) "This man knows. He was Secretary of Defense."

Bush: "And was a great one."

Several minutes later, I again saw Gov. Bush in the hall. He recognized me immediately and unsolicited said approximately the following:

Gov. Bush: "It will be the first thing he (pointing to Cheney) will do. He'll get right on it."

Charles A. Huffer: "Will, will you really?"

Gov. Bush: "Yes Sir."

I have this exchange on audio tape. As far as I am aware, no news organization recorded it.

So, Bush DID promise to tell us what the hell is going on, if he gets to be president.

Please pass this around so that people will know what actually was said on 28 July 2000 by Gov. George W. Bush in Springdale, AR. Now we must help Gov. Bush be elected president.

Charles A. Huffer

*

MainPage

<http://www.rense.com>