

UFOB INDEX CARD

1. DATE 15 February 1955		2. LOCATION New York, N. Y.		12. CONCLUSIONS ☐ Was Balloon ☐ Probably Balloon ☐ Possibly Balloon ☐ Was Aircraft ☐ Probably Aircraft ☐ Possibly Aircraft ☐ Was Astronomical ☐ Probably Astronomical ☐ Possibly Astronomical ☒ Other <u>Probably optical</u> ☐ Insufficient Data for Evaluation ☐ Unknown	
3. DATE-TIME GROUP Local _____ GMT _____		4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION ☐ Ground-Visual ☐ Ground-Radar ☐ Air-Visual ☐ Air-Intercept Radar			
5. PHOTOS ☒ Yes ☐ No		6. SOURCE Civilian photographer			
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION N/A (Object never observed; later discovered on film.)		8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS 2	9. COURSE Stationary		
10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING Two white spots with darker crosses across their centers showed up on photographic negatives during the process of development of the film. There was no previous sighting of either of these "objects".			11. COMMENTS Concur in the conclusion that "spots" in the picture were probably produced by optical phenomena. Note: Hq, 4602d AISS would be interested in your reaction to this. RB		

AIR INTELLIGENCE INFORMATION REPORT

1. TITLE

2. DATE

DA 5-4024-1115

3. SOURCE

4. TYPE OF INFORMATION

5. EVALUATION

6. COMMENTS

7. [REDACTED]

8. SUMMARY

9. ANALYSIS

10. DISCUSSION

11. CONCLUSIONS

12. INVESTIGATOR

13. [REDACTED]

14. [REDACTED]

15. APPROVING OFFICER

16. (See individual reports)

17. [REDACTED]

18. [REDACTED]

19. [REDACTED]

20. [REDACTED]

21. [REDACTED]

AIR INTELLIGENCE INFORMATION REPORT

REPORT NUMBER 100-100000-100	PAGE OF 2	DATE 10-10-55
---------------------------------	--------------	------------------

1. SOURCE: [REDACTED] (C-1144)

Address: [REDACTED] Great Neck, New York

Age: 32

Present Position: [REDACTED] New York Journal American

Education: High School

Qualifications: Former Air Force Navigator and Staff Photographer

11. SUMMARY: SOURCE was assigned to take pictures in his studio this week with a view to the responsibility of the very much increased in the past. SOURCE was a former Air Force Navigator, and well known in the photographic community. He was a Captain in the Air Force and source that he could not recall any incident in the past which might have been possibly involved in the presence of the "speck" until after the pictures were developed. He usually expressed his conviction that this "speck" was a result of a combination of weather and optical equipment. It is believed that the information received from SOURCE may be considered fairly reliable.

12. SOURCE'S DESCRIPTION OF PICTURE:

1. On 10/10/55 SOURCE, alone, set up his camera in Central Park at the 120 Street Crossover Road near the Mall on assignment to photograph some more pictures.
2. Using a 3.5 speed graphic camera, with Ansco Superpan Press film, 135-36, f/11, SOURCE exposed for about two minutes at f/11. The film in the foreground, a press 40 bullet was shot away from the lens during the exposure.
3. At no time during his assignment did SOURCE see, hear, or otherwise come anything unusual. While focusing and composing subject photograph SOURCE stated that he keenly scanned the picture area and saw nothing which would result in a glow of this intensity.
4. The sky at this time was overcast with low clouds and it had stopped raining momentarily. The weather was estimated at about 15 degrees Fahrenheit, and there was a no wind condition.
5. Upon his return to the Journal American Film Studio, SOURCE, as usual, handed the film packs to the darkroom crew for processing. Upon projecting the negative for an enlargement the "speck" was thought to be on the enlarger lens, but after cleaning, it was determined to be on the negative. Enlargements of the print were then analyzed by the photographers on the shift without anyone being able to arrive at a sound solution.

AIR INTELLIGENCE INFORMATION REPORT

REPORT NO.	DATE	PAGE	OF	TOTAL PAGES
100-3-16024-155	03-01-55 ONE	3	5	

6. Minutes later one of the newspaper reporters, a Mr. [REDACTED] entered the room and immediately voiced his conclusions. He then called the Harbor Patrol, whose twin towers are located just west of the "spot", to check if any of the guards had seen anything strange in the area. Another call was made to New York Airport in New Jersey, (CHRONO FILE 551) to see if the flight ceiling light was on. It was reported that it was. He then called Headquarters, 3d Division, Coast Guard and Headquarters, 20th Air Division for a possible explanation of this alleged phenomena. None could be given.
7. In summary opinion, the "spot" was caused by a reflection from a lighted object or obstruction near a light cone that was not large enough to register during the course of a prolonged exposure but not of sufficient intensity to be discernible to the naked eye.

2. Notes
1. Photographs (one)
2. Negative of Photograph

