

PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE 1 Oct 55	2. LOCATION 1. Cleveland, Ohio 2. Warren, Ohio 3. Vermillion, Ohio		12. CONCLUSIONS ☐ Was Balloon ☐ Probably Balloon ☐ Possibly Balloon ☒ Was Aircraft ☐ Probably Aircraft ☐ Possibly Aircraft ☐ Was Astronomical ☐ Probably Astronomical ☐ Possibly Astronomical ☐ Other _____ ☐ Insufficient Data for Evaluation ☐ Unknown
3. DATE-TIME GROUP Local _____ GMT 02/0045Z	4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION ☒ Ground-Visual ☐ Ground-Radar ☐ Air-Visual ☐ Air-Intercept Radar		
5. PHOTOS ☐ Yes ☒ No	6. SOURCE civilians		
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 1. 5 min 2. 6 min 3. 8 min	8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS 1	9. COURSE 1. NE 2 NNW 3. ESE	
10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING 1. Round, red, orange and white, no sound, obj flashed every 5 sec. 2. Round, red, white and green, obj flashed every 5 sec. 3. Round, brilliant white, no sound, obj remained constant color.		11. COMMENTS Aircraft	

10174
 V NPE163 YMA187 YVE124FJA 86
 PP RJEDEN RJEDEN RJEDEN RJEDNP RJEDNP
 DE RJEDFJ 5H
 P 415187
 FM CONDR 802 ACTION BROOKFIELD AFS OHIO
 TO RJEDEN/CONDR ADC ENT AFB OHIO
 RJEDNP/CONDR 3 TH ARMY WILLIAMSBURG AFS VIRG

1 Witness

ACTION

IN

-5 OCT 1955 07145

40
270/N-4EA
3
AX 2a
A "

FORM 805 THE STANDARD REGISTER CO., DAYTON 1, OHIO

RJEDP/COMDR LAFB STUART AFB NY

RJEDWP/COMDR AIR TECH CENTER WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB OHIO

RJEPH/DIRECTOR OF INTELLIGENCE HQ USAF WASHINGTON D C

BT

UNCLASSIFIED/INT 10-42 PD UFOB THIS MSG IN 12 PARTS PS PART I PD SHAPE

ROUND CMA SIZE OF QUARTER CMA COLOR RED WHITE GREEN CMA NUMBER ON CMA

NO SOUND CMA OBJECT FLASHED EVERY 5 SECONDS PS PART II PD ALTITUDE

2000 FEET CMA HEADING NORTH NORTH WEST CMA SPEED UNKNOWN CMA SEEN FOR

5 MIN PD PART III PD VISUAL SIGHTING PD PART IV PD TIME 0145Z CMA OCT 55

CMA 2 OCT 55 CMA NIGHT PD PART V PD LOCATION DN IIIA PD PART VI PD

OBSERVER ~~REDACTED~~ CMA ~~REDACTED~~ N E WARREN CMA OHIO CMA EXPERIEN-

SED PD PART VII PD WX CLEAR CMA NO CLOUDS PD PART VIII PD NEG PD PART IX

PD NEG PD PART X PD NEG PD PART XI PD PREPARING OFF CAPT ROY W ANDERSON

OPERATIONS AND INTELLIGENCE OFF PD PART XII PD NEG PD

BT

04/154Z OCT RJEDPJ

Witness

IN

RECEIVED

39

D
1
2
3
4 " 701N-4E4
R 4x2a

T WPD161 YMA179 YYC1247JA077

PP RJEDEN RJEDEN RJEPND RJEDWP RJEPHO

DE RJEDFJ SR

P 041516Z

FM COMDR 662 ACWON BROOKFIELD OHIO

TO RJEDEN/COMDR ADC ENT AFB COLO

RJEDEN/COMDR 30TH ADIV WILLOW RUN AFS MICH

RJEPNE/COMDR EADF STEWART AFB N Y

RJEDEN/COMDR AIRTECH CENTER WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB OHIO

RJEPHC/DIRECTOR OF INTELLIGENCE HQ USAF WASHINGTON D C

UNCLASSIFIED/INT 10-43 PD WFOE THIS MSG IN 12 PARTS PD PART I PD SHAPE

ROUND CMA SIZE OF BASEBALL CMA COLOR GILBERT WHITE CMA NUMBER ONE CMA

NO SOUND CMA OBJECT REMAINED CONSTANT COLOR PD PART II PD ALTITUDE

HIGH CMA HEADING EAST SOUTH EAST CMA SPEED UNKNOWN CMA SEEN FOR 8MIN PD

PART II PD VISUAL SIGHTING PD PART IV PD TIME 0045 Z CMA 2 OCT 55 CMA

NIGHT PD PART V PD LOCATION HM 3825 - HM 3835 PD PART VI PD EXPERIENCED

OBSERVER ~~REDACTED~~ CMA ~~REDACTED~~ CMA VERNULLION CMA OHIO PD PART VII

PD WX CLEAR PD PART VIII PD NEG PD PART IX PD NEG PD PART X PD NEG PART

XI PD PREPARING OFF CAPT ROY W ANDERSON OPERATIONS AND INTELLIGENCE

OFF PD PART XII PD NEG PD

BT

04/1540Z OCT RJEDFJ

FORM 808 THE STANDARD REGISTER CO., DAYTON 1, OHIO, U. S. A.

PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE 22 Oct 55		2. LOCATION 1. Cleveland, Ohio 2. Euclid, Ohio		12. CONCLUSIONS ☐ Was Balloon ☐ Probably Balloon ☐ Possibly Balloon	
3. DATE-TIME GROUP Local 1. 1900 2. 1915 GMT 1.22/2400Z 2.23/0015Z		4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION ☒ Ground-Visual ☐ Ground-Radar ☐ Air-Visual ☐ Air-Intercept Radar		1. ☒ Was Aircraft ☐ Probably Aircraft ☐ Possibly Aircraft	
5. PHOTOS ☐ Yes ☒ No		6. SOURCE civilian		☐ Was Astronomical ☐ Probably Astronomical ☐ Possibly Astronomical	
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION not reported		8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS one		9. COURSE East	
10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING 1. Circular bright orange glow 500 ft off ground bright orangelight shining from beneath the obj. SEE CASE FILE 2. Large obj made gruff noise. As large as a house. Row of yellow lights around perimeter. SEE CASE FILE		11. COMMENTS 1. Investigated in Jun 56. Case eval as a/c at this time. 2. Investigated in Jun 56 and evaluated probably a/c but not sufficient data to establish			

In Conclusion

We of the Unidentified Flying Object Research Counsel are not fantasy-minded people nor are we easily fooled. We are, however, alert to the possibilities of truth which may take on the appearance of fantasy. We have investigated these three case files as thoroughly as it is possible for civilians. The facts speak for themselves as each case file substantiates and correlates each other case file.

Our primary concern is the security and defense of America; as a country and as a whole made up of individuals each of whom has certain inalienable rights. It is our conclusion that these unidentified flying objects are not only real, but a potential threat to the United States and possibly the world. Our research has proven that ufo s pay no attention to C.A.A. altitude regulations and they even go so far as to hover over people's homes frightening them to death. This, in itself, is dangerous.

The UFORC believes that some type of defense against the ufo should be made, just in case...no matter how feeble it may seem. At least the public should be informed of this potential danger so that the masses will be alert. We do NOT say that invasion or active aggression is near. But we DO say that aircraft alien to this planet are moving close to our property and the mere existence of such aircraft poses a threat to an unprepared people.

The latest air force report on ufo s, released by Secretary of the Air Force Quarles on Oct. 26, 1955, stated: "On the basis of this study we believe that no objects such as those popularly described as flying saucers have overflown the United States". Call them what you will, but members of our research group have seen them and we have investigated other observations to a minute degree. We offer the results of our probe as evidence that unidentified flying objects DO EXIST!

All of the persons mentioned in this report are ready and willing to be questioned at any time. As for the UFORC: we are a research-investigation group and not a publishing company. We want no publicity...we only want to help. If we can supplement the air force Project Bluebook in any way now, or in the future, we will be glad to do so.

End of Report

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

February 2, 1956

PROJECT REPORT & TERMINATION FORM

PROJ. NO.

10073

MAKE.....COPIES

DISTRIBUTE TO →

PAGE NO.

C-

1

16/7

ITEM NO.	ITEM NOT ON TIME	STATUS OF ITEM	NEW COMPLETION DATE
		AFOIN-4E COMMENT NR. 1 17 July 56 The attached trip report is forwarded for necessary coordination is withdrawn for approval. If inclosure (not attached), the classification of this correspondence will be downgraded to in accordance with paragraph 25E, AFR 205-1.	
		AFOIN-4 2 7/17/56 Forwarded for information & comment. [Signature] Dep Dir for Science & Engng	
		AFOIN-4E Cmt 3 7/19/56 Recommendations approved [Signature]	
		OIN-4E4 Cmt 4 7-20-56 Returned for necessary Action. [Signature] P&O OIN-4E	

[REDACTED]

AIR TECHNICAL INTELLIGENCE CENTER
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO

PROJECT NUMBER 10073 DATE 12 July 1956

TRAVEL ORDER NUMBER 01140 DIVISION Air Sciences

SUBJ: (U) Travel to Cleveland, Ohio Area Re UFO Case Investigations

A. PURPOSE:

1. The purpose of this travel was to investigate certain UFO cases brought to the attention of ATIC by the UFO Research Council of Cleveland.

B. FACTUAL DATA:

2. Travel commenced 27 June 1956 and terminated 29 June 1956.

3. Travel was performed by M/Sgt Oliver D. Hill, Air Sciences Division, AFOIN-4E4, acting in the capacity of UFO Investigator.

4. Names of persons contacted for purposes of interview, interrogation and/or information regarding the UFO sightings in question:

- a. Mrs. [REDACTED], Cleveland, Ohio
- b. Mr. [REDACTED], Willoughby, Ohio
- c. Mrs. [REDACTED], Willoughby, Ohio
- d. Mr. [REDACTED], Cleveland, Ohio
- e. Mrs. [REDACTED], wife of UFORC member who has prepared the UFO case files)
- f. Mr. [REDACTED], (Director of UFORC)

5. Travel for the purposes stated was approved after submission of all pertinent facts, background, and reasons for recommending this investigation. Reference attached Memorandum to Office of Scientific Advisor, dated 20 June 1956, subject: "Briefing on UFO Research Council and Courses of Action." A dossier containing details of the UFO cases in question together with colored illustrations of the UFO's and related surroundings as drawn by this organization, were handcarried by Sgt. Hill to assist him in this investigation.

6. The following presents a brief summary of the UFO cases investigated, statement regarding results, opinions, impressions, and other pertinent highlights of the interviews and interrogations regarding each interviewee:

- a. Brief summary of cases (in order of investigation):
- [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

(1) [REDACTED] Case

Sighting: 2 [REDACTED] 1955.
Two miles west of intersection of Route 6 and 306.
Between 1:30 to 2:00 a.m.

Object: Typically "flying saucer" in shape. Thirty feet across. Dome on top. A bolt of green light struck the ground in front of [REDACTED] as he started to run. Object landed, door slid open, and a man came out. He informed [REDACTED] [REDACTED], in English, "they" were from another planet.

(2) [REDACTED] Case

Sighting: Late July or early August (date indefinite) 1955.
North of Mulberry Corner, Ohio (Int. Route 6 and 306).
8:45 p.m.

Object: Size described as larger than house, and as flat, circular in shape. Red light in front, green in rear. Hovered about fifty feet in the air for about five minutes. Two spotlights threw their beams on the ground. Noise like a fan. Object then drifted away.

(3) [REDACTED] Case

Sighting: [REDACTED] 1955.
Cleveland, Ohio area over 105th St.

Object: Circular, bright orange glow, 500 feet off the ground. A bright, orange light was observed shining from the bottom of the object towards the earth.

(4) [REDACTED] Case

Sighting: 22 October 1955.
[REDACTED], South Euclid, Ohio.
7:15 p.m.

Object: Large. Wide as average house. Made gruff coarse noise. Somewhat spherical in shape. Row of yellow lights around perimeter. Tree-top height.

b. Results of Interviews:

- (1) [REDACTED] Sighting: Proceeded to the home of [REDACTED] arriving there at approximately 1545 hours of 27 June. [REDACTED] was not at home, and an interview was held with his foster mother, Mrs. [REDACTED] which produced some interesting information regarding this case. It was disclosed that [REDACTED] was an only child, sickly and retarded during his infant years, who was shuttled between Mrs. [REDACTED] and others after loss of his parents. He was legally adopted by Mrs. [REDACTED] 3 years ago. His foster mother is of the opinion that he did observe something on 29 August 1955. [REDACTED] claims to

[REDACTED]

b. Results of Interview:

- (1) [REDACTED] have seen the landing of the "saucer"; talked to the person who emerged from within it, and who gave him a message in English to the effect (as told to this investigator) that, "...tell your leader, if there is another war---we'll take over!" In confiding this event to his priest, [REDACTED] was advised to tell his story directly to the proper authorities. This he has not done, not even to his foster mother. However, the peculiar aspect of this case is, that he did give this information to Mr. [REDACTED], a member and self-styled official UFO investigator of the UFORC. Further investigation disclosed that although [REDACTED] is considered an intelligent young man and possesses the attributes of a good musician, he is said to be a bit on the "odd" side, living in his own little world, and given to a large imagination. It was further learned that on the same day that [REDACTED] claimed to have seen his "flying saucer," a Cleveland firm was sending up sky rockets as part of an advertising campaign, offering rewards to the finders of these rockets. It was determined that [REDACTED] saw these rockets and attempted to obtain one.
- (2) [REDACTED] Sighting: On the evening of the same day (27 June) proceeded to Willoughby, Ohio, to the residence of Mr. and Mrs. William [REDACTED]. Mr. and Mrs. [REDACTED] willingly gave me their account of the sighting they had made in late July or August of 1955. They had no knowledge that the undersigned investigator had in his possession a case dossier from the UFORC. In brief, they both repeated to this investigator almost word for word their previous report to the UFORC. However, in the course of discussion following their story the investigator learned that the UFORC had built up this particular case in their report to ATIC. The pictures submitted and as shown in the case dossier are not pictures of the area of Mr. [REDACTED]'s home but of an area some distance away. Also, some of the drawings of the UFO sighted are erroneous according to [REDACTED] who, apparently, reviewed them for the first time. These were revised in the [REDACTED] dossier. It was learned during this interview that Mr. [REDACTED] of the UFORC is leading people to believe that he and the UFORC organization are official USAF Investigators. They present some type of card for identification, believed to be a USAF Reserve card of some sort.

In view of the fact that Mr. and Mrs. [REDACTED] repeated almost the exact story submitted in the UFO case dossier; that Mr. [REDACTED] appears familiar with known types of aircraft; and that he still seemed frightened almost a year after his sighting, the undersigned investigator was left with the impression that Mr. [REDACTED] is sincere and truthful and that he did see something unusual and unknown.

- (3) [REDACTED] Sighting: In the latter part of the day (28 June), an attempt was made to contact Mr. [REDACTED] who appears to be a sort of "chairman" and self-designated chief UFO investigator of the organization in question. Inasmuch as Mr. [REDACTED] for the most part personally prepared the UFO cases and illustration in the dossier, it was important that some background information on this individual be obtained. It was determined that [REDACTED] did not, and had not lived in the address given to ATIC. [REDACTED]'s address was obtained from his previous landlord. However, [REDACTED], an insurance

[REDACTED]

salesman, was out of town. Discussions with [REDACTED]'s wife, who also observed the sighting with her husband, produced some interesting information on this case. Mrs. [REDACTED] was of the opinion that, although not positive, the object she observed was an aircraft. Further, she gave this investigator the impression that her husband got interested in UFO's merely as a hobby, but that his interest "now has grown out of hand."

7. In accordance with a pre-arranged plan for conducting this series of interviews, the final interview was had with Mr. [REDACTED], the organizer and director of the UFORC. He, Mr. [REDACTED] and Mr. [REDACTED] conducted the investigations on these sightings, and somehow ran into a few more people who had made sightings on this same date (22 October 1955). From all the witnesses accounts this investigator is lead to believe that all those sightings were of some type of aircraft. Strong support is lent this statement because of numerous and constant aircraft flights in and around Cleveland, from both private and municipal airfields. With USAF units located in the Cleveland Municipal Airport there are any numerous types of craft flying out of the Cleveland area, i.e., advertising blimps, helicopters, transports, jet types to name only a few.

8. At the conclusion of interviews, this investigator emphasized to all interviewed that although submission of some UFO cases and reports from private citizens and organizations is appreciated by the Air Force, ATIC and other delegated AF units are the only "official" UFO agencies. It was strongly recommended that all sightings should be made to ATIC or the nearest AF base immediately, and that if the sightings were found to possess merit, action to initiate an official USAF investigation through the 4602d AISS and other pertinent units, who have trained investigators to handle these cases, would be taken.

C. CONCLUSIONS:

9. [REDACTED] Case: Mr. [REDACTED], an impressionable young man with an over-active imagination did observe some natural or explainable phenomena, but let his imagination run riot to build up a story of a "flying saucer" landing and its occupants talking to him to such an extent that he now believes or alleges to believe this fantastic story himself. Considered an "eccentric" or "psychological manifestation" UFO report.

10. [REDACTED] Case: This was the only case of the four that did not disclose any discrepancies between the original and present UFO report. No firm or definite conclusion can be made due to lack of further information and the difficulty of getting additional facts from the sources due to the length of time elapsing from the first report. Considered an "unknown."

11. [REDACTED] Case: From his obvious susceptibility to suggestion, and description of his sighting "500 feet from the ground - a light beam appearing shining towards the ground," the object is considered an "aircraft" preparatory to landing, and will be placed in that category.

12. [REDACTED] Case: Apparently an aircraft, but insufficient information.

13. General: All the individuals associated with the UFORC appeared to be people who, although good American citizens, have vivid imaginations and sincerely believe they are helping the UFO program by conducting their own investigation and analyses. It is further concluded that in all future reports from this organization

[REDACTED]

will probably border on the fantasy side rather than on the logical or factual so necessary in resolving reported sightings.

D. RECOMMENDATIONS:

14. The UFO cases investigated herein be entered individually in the UFO case files under the following categories.

- a. [REDACTED] (Eccentric) i.e. Other
- b. [REDACTED] Unknown
- c. [REDACTED] Aircraft
- d. [REDACTED] Insufficient information.

APPROVED:

George T. Gregory
GEORGE T. GREGORY
Captain, USAF

PREPARED BY:

O. D. Hill
M/Sgt O. D. Hill

[REDACTED]

REPORT ON
UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS

By

U.F.O. Research Council

Text by Thomas M. Comella, Director

Drawings and Maps by Allen E. Roush,
Assistant Director, and James G.
Kennedy

Forward

We of the U.F.O.R.C. are civilians interested in the security of these United States. We operate on a non-profit basis and the time of our investigators is donated. Two of our members are engineering students at Case Institute of Technology, Cleveland, Ohio while the others represent firms in the insurance and business fields. The committee is thusly comprised:

[REDACTED]

We literally stumbled onto a sighting of an unidentified flying object late in 1955 and ever since we have been studying and investigating the matter in the interest of our country's defense.

The sighting-reports included in this paper are to be found in their original form, as affidavits, in our files. The cases are exact quotes from the lips of the witnesses and all drawings and/or diagrams are by the witness himself or by a U.F.O.R.C. staff member with the witness as the consultant.

Because the Department of the Air Force has set up the special Project Bluebook, we feel it is our duty as Americans to prepare a complete report of our investigations, findings and conclusions and present to Air Technical Intelligence for evaluation.

As a last word we would like to state that our investigations are by no means complete. We are firmly convinced that a more thorough study of the area involved will turn up other past reports and probably numerous new ones. In this special report to the air force we have not only presented the sighting facts, but also scientific facts that may or may not account for the unusual u.f.o. activity in this one area.

Sh [REDACTED]
Ohio

[REDACTED], Director
January 30, 1956

Case File Number One

On October 15, 1955 I was driving north on Kemper Road (in Cleveland) with a girl friend. As I approached the intersection of Kemper and Fairhill at about 7:20 p.m. I noticed four yellowish globes over the trees. They were very bright and stood out in the dark night sky. I pointed them out to my girl friend and the two of us watched the objects execute a gradual turn and disappear to the northeast. The turn was executed "as if the small globes were rigidly attached to a fuselage of some sort".

As soon as possible I phoned my report to other investigators of the UFOVC. The report was filed but not much was done about it until exactly one week later, Oct. 21, 1955 at 7:15 p.m. [redacted], a Pinkerton Detective and UFOVC member, called me to report a remarkable sighting over the National Malleable Steel Building where he was on duty that night. Here is the text of [redacted]'s sighting:

"My name is [redacted]. I reside at 712 [redacted] [redacted]. I am 26 years old, married and have one child. I work for the Westinghouse Electric Corporation, 1370 Ontario Street, Cleveland 13, Ohio.

On the night of October 22nd, 1955, while I was working at the National Malleable Companies Technical Center Building, located on the north-west corner of Woodland and Woodhill Roads in the city of Cleveland, at approximately 7 p.m. while on my Detex clock round did exit from the rear west door of the Technical Center Building and proceed to walk slowly due west alongside of a railroad spur toward No. 3 Detex clock station located on the side of a small shanty on the north side of the railroad spur.

Approximately while walking halfway to the shanty I glanced up into the sky. I noticed the sky was very clear and that the stars were shining brightly. There were a few searchlights circling the city. Suddenly I happened to notice a bright orange glow, circular in shape, about the size of a large marble would look like if extended an average arm's length away from your body. It was traveling towards me due east at a very slow speed and coming earthward at a 45 degree angle. It evened off as it approached closer toward me and as it came almost parallel to where I was standing it turned due north approximately over east 105th street. At the very time it turned due north, a single engine reciprocating aircraft approached flying from west to east and flew past

GL-16221

Right on interview

* Investigation discloses
That Mr. [redacted] is a
watchman hired by Pinkerton.
He is not a Pinkerton
detective or investigator

me further away from the orange unidentified flying object and at a higher altitude. The single engine'd plane could be heard very loud and clear. The orange object produced no audible sound. The orange object, as it turned north, gave off what appeared to me to be that of beams of orange light coming from it's bottom side and traversing toward the earth. It then continued to proceed due north and disappeared over the roof tops.

I then continued my rounds, entering the building as I did, and continued my rounds on the inside of the building. I left the building again on the Woodland Avenue entrance and did look up northward to see the same object coming due south toward me at a very slow rate of speed. It seemed to flutter as it approached me. It then turned due west and proceeded to fly in that direction and disappeared again over the horizon. It was flying at approximately 500 feet above the ground at all times.

I returned to the building and phoned this sighting to the Civil Aeronautics Flight Patrol Center on Brookpark Road. "

After listening to [redacted] report over the telephone, I called [redacted]. No sooner did I relate part of [redacted] story when Al blurted out the details concerning an unusual object that he and his wife had seen moving over his home. The following is [redacted] sighting report:

"At 7:00 or 7:15 p.m. on October 22, 1955 my wife noted a noise outside. 'What's that', she asked. I went outside and faced east. Object was flying low to east just over the trees of neighbor's back yard. I used 5X40 glasses and saw the following for about one-half minute.

Object was large, as wide as the average house, low, moving slowly, and made a gruff, coarse noise and a faint vibration. It is best described by me as a flying contraption. The row of yellow lights were not in a perfectly straight line, but described a curve. There was something odd in the left-hand surface. At one instant, I saw a horizontal slit dividing this object into two sections, upper and lower, and at another instance I thought there was one row of lights below and one row above this separation. The object was at tree-top height and looked as if it were coming down. "

Believing that the sightings of [redacted] and [redacted] might be related, and in view of the fact that the objects involved were unusual and not in accordance with the C.A.A.'s altitude laws

the three of us decided to investigate. Here are our results from the original report:

"Witnesses:

A. Mrs. [REDACTED], Cleveland.

Location: We were driving west when I first saw it.

Motion: To me, it was very still, and when I saw it fifteen minutes later at East 9th & Woodland, I noted that it had not moved very far. It must have been very slow.

Color: A row of yellow lights, south to north in direction and object moving west to east.

Shape: To me it was very long; a row of lights.

Sound: No sound at all.

Altitude: It was very low to me.

Lights: It had no green and red wing lights and no tail lights. I lived on an Air Force Base, World War II; to me it was not like an airplane. It had no body, tail, or wing that could be seen.

B. Mr. [REDACTED] (same address.)

Location: Same

Motion: Moving west to east.

Color: Just had a glimpse. Row of yellow lights.

Shape: Row of lights.

Sound: None

Altitude: Close to 1,000 feet.

Lights: None

C. [REDACTED], Cleveland.

Time: 7:00 p.m. Saturday, October 22, 1955.

Motion: Very slow, west to east, over stores across the street.

Color: Just a single row of yellow lights.

Shape: None. Saw no wing or tail or body.

Sound: No sound.

Altitude: Was it 200 feet high?

No, hell, no. Just as high as any plane.

Lights: Any wing or tail lights? Green or red?

No, just the yellow lights.

We all stood out and watched it and we did not get excited about it. Thought it had something to do with the football game. (He picked up a radiator thermo bulb and, facing east, with ends south to north, pushed it west to east, to show us how the lights moved.)

Note: [REDACTED]'s sighting was near this location.

D. Mr. [REDACTED], Mayfield Country Club, South Euclid, Ohio

Time: 700 p.m. Saturday, Oct. 22, 1955.

Motion)

Color).....None. He didn't go outside.

Shape)

Lights)

Sound: I heard this unusual sound. It vibrated all over the place.

Altitude: Low, many airplanes fly over here. This was different.

E. Resident: [REDACTED], across from Mayfield Club

Time: 7:00 p.m. Sat., Oct. 22, 1955.

Motion)

Color)

Shape).....None. He did not go outside.

Lights)

Sound: We were watching T.V. The sound was loud and slow. It must have been low. It disturbed my T.V. set. Odd that it would do that, disturb my T.V. set; many planes fly over here and that never happens.

F. [REDACTED] Parma, Ohio

Time: 7:00 to 7:10 p.m. Sat., Oct. 22, 1955

Location: I saw it to the north.

Motion: Slow.

[REDACTED]: 'Does the blimp have green and red port and starboard lights?'

[REDACTED]: Yes, they are ^{on the} inside and can be seen from the backside; can cause odd color. The green and red lights while flashing might give the appearance of two rows of yellow lights. I am almost sure it was a blimp.

C. **Mr. [REDACTED]**, Goodyear Wingfoot Airship Base, Akron, O.

Thursday, Oct. 27, 1955

[REDACTED]: If you saw a row of yellow lights as you looked up from your house, would you take it to be a blimp?

[REDACTED]: No. You might see some light in a blimp, cabin light, but it would be pretty dim.

[REDACTED]: Did you have a blimp out that night?

[REDACTED]: No.

[REDACTED]: Any other blimp base in the general area?

[REDACTED]: No. **[REDACTED]** used to have one in Detroit last year.

[REDACTED]: Would that blimp be required to carry red and greenlights as standard equipment?

[REDACTED]: Yes. It also had a sign on the side. We have those advertising frames, if you used 10 frames you might get, then, a view of 10 lights in a row.

[REDACTED]: Would green and red lights be required and visible?

[REDACTED]: Yes. At a distance (long) you might not see them.

D. **Mr. [REDACTED]**, Night Traffic, Cleveland Air Taxi, Inc.,
Phone: **[REDACTED]**

Nov. 1, 1955

[REDACTED]: Our helicopters are grounded after 5:30 p.m.

[REDACTED]: Do they make a vibratory noise?

[REDACTED]: They make a slapping noise. Noise can't be heard over 750 feet, normally.

~~Question~~ Do they have a row of yellow lights?

~~Answer~~ No.

~~Question~~: Do they have red and green running lights?


~~Answer~~: Yes, a green, red and yellow flashing light."

By the description of witnesses and our investigation, then, we knew that the object(s) involved were not: commercial or private planes, advertising planes, blimps, helicopters, or astronomical phenomena. As a last measure, we took the case file to the Air Force Filter Center in Canton, Ohio. The text follows:

"Thursday, November 16, 1955. A Sgt. Lee, after hearing my report, called the C.A.A. in Cleveland then went into conference with officials at the base. Each time he did this, he emerged with an explanation to the sighting however further analysis revealed all of these were inadequate. When Sgt. Lee emerged for the last time, he had this to say:

"Well, we think what you saw was an unidentified flying object. Most of the u.f.o.s travel at tremendous rates of speed, make sharp turns, and hover. This object is not in that class. However, from your account, this was a u.f.o. No other explanation fits. If the sighting can be explained it will be in time. If it cannot be explained, we have orders to release nothing on it. It will be sent on to higher authorities at Wright Field."

-End of Case File Number One-



①

SEEN BY

[REDACTED] 22 OCT 55
7 PM

TRACED FROM ORIGINAL


FROM [REDACTED]
EAST SIDE CLEVELAND
NO SOUND

②



SEEN BY [REDACTED] AND [REDACTED]
22 OCT 55
TREE TOP LEVEL
NO SOUND

③



SEEN BY [REDACTED] 5X40 GLASSES
22 OCT 55 AT TREE TOP
LEVEL GOING OVERHOUSE, WEST TO EAST
GROVE VIBRATION

TRACED FROM ORIGINAL

④



COMMON DESCRIPTION.


"A ROW OF YELLOW"
LIGHTS

SEEN BY MANY RESIDENTS
ON 22 OCT 55 7 PM AT
LOW ALTITUDE, MOVING WEST TO EAST
NO SOUND, NO FLASHING NAVIGATION LIGHTS


⑤




SEEN BY [REDACTED]
22 OCT 55 7 PM FROM
WEST SIDE CLEVELAND
NO SOUND NO FLASHING NAVIGATION LIGHTS



TRACING MADE
FROM [REDACTED] ORIGINAL DRAWING
OF UFO HE OBSERVED 22 OCT 55




On the proving ground, a 12 per cent grade permits impact testing of cars at speeds up to 22 miles per hour.



A feature of the Center is the 1,000,000 pound static testing machine, with the largest testing clearance of any million-pound machine yet built.



The rear facade of the Center showing location of office areas, laboratory areas, test track and parking facilities.



The 27,000 pound drop test machine is mounted on a 500,000 pound concrete-and-steel inertia block. Shock isolation is provided in the foundation.

INDEX TO MAP (F)

LAKE COUNTY, KIRTLAND AND CHARDON TWP
DESCRIBING
"PROJECT CONCENTRATION"
"UFO"

JAN, 1956

- ERIE SHALE
- WAVERLY GROUP - FINE GRAIN SANDSTONE
- *
□ CARBONIFEROUS CONGLOMERATE (SEE NOTES

± # # # UFO PATH



AREA OF UFO SIGHTINGS

HILLS (ALL NOT SHOWN

RIVERS

ILLUMINATING CO. POWER LINE

NOTES

AREA IS IMPACTED, WITH
BEDS OF CHANGING STRATA
EROSION HIGH.

GEOPHYSICAL FEATURES OF
AREA MAY CAUSE GRAVITATIONAL
OR MAGNETIC DEVIATIONS.

AREA A ROUTE 6 AT HOBART

██████████ CASE, AUG 29, 55

AREA B

KIRTLAND T.V.

██████████ & FAMILY, END OF JULY

UFO MAKES APPROACH
ON THEIR RESIDENCE, 60-700 FT. ALTITUDE

AREA C

██████████ & 20 GIRLS SEE
CIRCULAR BEAM OF LIGHT HIGH, NOT
SEARCH LIGHT, SEPT. 1955

AREA D

██████████, KIRTLAND SERVICE CTR.
SEES BIG THING WITH RED LIGHT OVER
HIS STATION, AUG, 1955 (UNDER STUDY)

AREA E

DAY SIGHTING (UNDER STUDY)

STUDY NOT TERMINATED

* CONGLOMERATE IS
1 OF 3 OHIO QUARTZ BEDS
CALLED SHARON CONGLOMERATE
96+ PER CENT S, G2 MEDIUM
GRADE QUARTZ SANDSTONE


SAND GRAINS FLASH LIGHT
FROM CRYSTAL FACES. QUARTZ
LENSES SHOW THIS AN
EROSION AREA.


ORTHOQUARTZ, SILVER DIOXIDE 76%

QUARTZ TRANSMISSION OF
ULTRAVIOLET RAYS IS CHARACTERISTIC
WHEN RED HOT, DO NOT CRACK IN
COLD WATER PLUNGE.

QUARTZ

MAGNETIC SUSCEPTIBILITY 0
GRAVITATIONAL 2.6 TO 2.8





22 Oct 55 Cleveland, Ohio and Euclid, Ohio
~~Redacted~~ sightings