

PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE ? May 1956		2. LOCATION Boston, Massachusetts		12. CONCLUSIONS ☐ Was Balloon ☐ Probably Balloon ☐ Possibly Balloon ☐ Was Aircraft ☐ Probably Aircraft ☐ Possibly Aircraft ☐ Was Astronomical ☐ Probably Astronomical ☐ Possibly Astronomical ☒ Developing Flaws ☐ Other ☐ Insufficient Data for Evaluation ☐ Unknown	
3. DATE-TIME GROUP Local N/A GMT _____		4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION ☐ Ground-Visual ☐ Ground-Radar ☐ Air-Visual ☐ Air-Intercept Radar			
5. PHOTOS ☒ Yes ☐ No		6. SOURCE Civilian			
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION N/A		8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS two		9. COURSE N/A	
10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING Photos submitted showing two objects which were not seen when photos were taken.				11. COMMENTS After extensive review and analysis it was found that "objects" are the result of developing flaws.	

Ltr, AFRCRC, 1 Apr 58, Subj: Unidentified Flying Objects
AFRCIN-484

1st Ind

AIR TECHNICAL INTELLIGENCE CENTER, Wright Patterson Air Force Base,
Ohio

TO: Commander, Air Force Cambridge Research Center, ATTN: CROT,
Laurence G. Hanscom Field, Bedford, Massachusetts

1. This Center has reviewed and subjected color transparencies to a number of tests to determine the nature of the spots found on individual frames.
2. For purposes of return of the transparencies to their owner in accordance with para 19c, AFR 200-2 and any suggested reply your office deems necessary, the following are this Center's findings and comments:
 - a. The black objects in transparencies were undoubtedly created during processing and probably caused by air bells clinging to emulsion during first developer which prevented these areas from developing and then when re-exposed and developed, black images appeared during second developer.
 - b. These images show no shape or gradation which would result in case of objects actually flying in the sky even if they were black.
 - c. There are certain puzzling aspects regarding this matter.
 - d. It appears odd that a professional photographer would ask the Air Force to identify what appears to be the result of emulsion, developing or camera-equipment flaws.
 - e. M. I. T. has some of the finest equipment in the country for photo analysis, which apparently was available to source.
 - f. The fact that the source feared ridicule as the reason why he waited over two (2) years to submit the photos is questioned.
 - g. Previous experience with alleged flying saucer photography submitted by professional photographers has resulted in some unfounded accusations against the Air Force.

COORDINATION	AFCIN-4
	AFCIN-4X2b
	AFCIN-4X2c
	AFCIN-4X3
	AFCIN-4X4
	AFCIN-4X5
	AFCIN-4A
	AFCIN-4B
	AFCIN-4C
	AFCIN-4D
	AFCIN-4E
	AFCIN-4F
	OTHERS

1st Ind, ATIC, Subj: Unidentified Flying Objects

OFFICE OF RECORD

3. A very intensive check of the Air Force UFO case files discloses no reports of UFOs or anything unusual from the radar units, GOC posts, military and civil aircraft or others in that area for the general time of the year shown in the photographs. Anything this large seen in broad daylight would have been seen and reported by many people.

FOR THE COMMANDER

- 3 Incls
- 1. Orig transparencies
 - 2. 3 1/2 x 4 1/2 enlargement (dupe)
 - 3. 8 x 10 enlargements (dupe)

H K Gilbert
H. K. GILBERT
Colonel, USAF 5-5-58
Deputy for Science and Components

H. H. Miley 1 May 58

PERM	
TEMP	
90 DAYS	
INITIAL	

HEADQUARTERS
AIR FORCE CAMBRIDGE RESEARCH CENTER
AIR RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COMMAND
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
Laurence G. Hanscom Field
Bedford, Massachusetts

CROT

1 April 1958

SUBJECT: Unidentified Flying Objects

TO: Commander
Air Technical Intelligence Center
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base
Dayton, Ohio

1. The attached negatives were submitted to this office by a Mr. [REDACTED] of Cambridge, Massachusetts, who is a professional photographer now in the employ of M.I.T. Lincoln Laboratories. The photographs were taken nearly two years ago and were not submitted during that time for fear of ridicule. The purported objects appear at the top of the film and seem to indicate a vertical and horizontal movement. The pictures were taken facing out over the Charles River with Boston on the skyline, and no objects were seen when the pictures were taken. According to the company processing the film, there was nothing inherently wrong with the film to cause the marking in question.

2. Mr. [REDACTED] would like to have the inclosed negatives back in a reasonable length of time. This office would appreciate an estimate of what is showing on the film so that Mr. [REDACTED] can be given some sort of statement upon its return.

FOR THE COMMANDER:

Incl
1 strip negatives a/s

LESLIE B. WILLIAMS
Colonel, USAF
DCS/Operations

ALIC

1958 14 03

RECEIVED

Jan 1

9-1-58

DISPOSITION FORM

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION (If any)

Comment 7

FILE NO.

SUBJECT

Request for Analysis - UFO Photographs

TO

AFCIN-4A2F

Mr. Cuckmaster

FROM

AFCIN-4E4

DATE

8 Apr 58

COMMENT NO. 1

for
AFCIN-4E4g/Capt Gregory/ko
Ext 6-9216/Bldg. 263

1. Request that the attached two (2) frames of color transparencies be reviewed for the purpose of specifically determining either the nature or the cause of the spots purporting to be UFO's.

2. It is recommended that your report be as detailed and technical as possible, so that there be no misunderstanding of our findings when officially submitted to the Cambridge Research Center. The reason for this is that there are certain puzzling aspects regarding this matter.

a. *d* It appears odd that a professional photographer would ask the Air Force to identify what appears to be the result of emulsion, developing or camera-equipment flaws.

b. *e* M. I. T. has some of the finest equipment in the country for photo analysis, which apparently was available to source.

c. *f* The fact that the source feared ridicule is questioned, as is the reason why he waited over two (2) years to submit the photos.

d. *g* Previous experience with alleged UFO photography submitted by professional photographers has resulted in some unfounded accusations against the Air Force, (Ref UTAH "SEA GULL" Case).

3. In keeping with USAF policy that all originals be returned to owners, request two copies of the photos for UFO Case Files.

1 Incl:

Ltr dtd 1 Apr 58
w/1 strip negatives*Henry A. Miley*
HENRY A. MILEY
AFCIN-4E4

SUBJECT: Request for Analysis - UFO Photographs

TO: AFCIN-4E4

FROM: AFCIN-4A2f

DATE: 9 Apr 58 ; COMMENT NR 2

Maj R. M. Buckmaster/11

Ext 5-9196/Bldg 263/E-N


1. Due to recent transfer of photographic services responsibility to 4D, it is no longer possible to satisfy request for additional copies of UFO prints or transparencies.

2. Analysis of attached transparencies is as follows:

a. The black objects in transparencies appear to have been created during processing, probably caused by air bells clinging to emulsion during first developer which prevented these areas from developing and then when re-exposed and developed, black images appeared during second developer.

b. These images show no shape or gradation which would result in case of objects actually flying in the sky even if they were black.

1 Incl
n/c



ROBERT M. BUCKMASTER

Major, USAF

AFCIN-4A2f

TO: AFCIN-4D

FROM: AFCIN-4E4


DATE: 9 April 58, COMMENT NR. 3

AFCIN-4E4g/Capt Gregory/ko

Ext 69216/Bldg. 263

1. Reference paragraph I, preceding comment.
2. In accordance with para 19c, AFR 200-2, dtd 8 Feb 58, request two (2) copies of attached film be made, either in color or black and white, and returned to this office for transmittal to owner.

1 Incl:
n/c



HENRY A. MILEY

AFCIN-4E4

This CASE includes one (1)
8" x 10" print of photographs,

BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS

? MAY 1956

