

PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE 15 February 1957		2. LOCATION Tuxedo, New York		12. CONCLUSIONS ☐ Was Balloon ☒ Probably Balloon ☐ Possibly Balloon ☐ Was Aircraft ☐ Probably Aircraft ☐ Possibly Aircraft ☐ Was Astronomical ☐ Probably Astronomical ☐ Possibly Astronomical ☐ Other ☐ Insufficient Data for Evaluation ☐ Unknown	
3. DATE-TIME GROUP Local _____ GMT 16/0225Z		4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION ☒ Ground-Visual ☐ Ground-Radar ☐ Air-Visual ☐ Air-Intercept Radar			
5. PHOTOS ☐ Yes ☒ No		6. SOURCE Civilian			
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 13-14		8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS one		9. COURSE SE	
10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING One object large star shaped. Color of star. Object moved up and down and fm side to side.				11. COMMENTS Very limited data, but characteristic described are those of a balloon. This is confirmed by the fact that the direction of the object and the wind direction coincide.	

Witness

called 15-0757-1

16 FEB 57 09 58

A

RC082

WPG081 YDB091 TYC083 TMB116 TTB045NBA054

PP RJEDEN RJEDWP RJEPHQ RJEPNY

DE RJEPNB 6

P 160454Z

FM COMDR CFECR SAFB NY

TO RJEDEN/COMDR ADC ENT AFB COLO

RJEPNY/COMDR 26 CADD ROSLYN NY

INFO RJEDWP/COMDR AIR TECHNICAL INTELLIGENCE CENTER WPAFB O

RJEPHQ/DIRECTOR OF INTELLIGENCE HEADQUARTERS USAF WASH DC

BT

UNCLASSIFIED. CFECR COC 21 PD SUBJ: UFOB

1. DESCRIPTION OF OBJECTS

A. SHAPE: STAR SHAPE

B. SIZE: LARGE

C. COLOR: LIKE BRIGHT STAR

D. NUMBER: ONE

E. FORMATION: N/A

F. DISCERNABLE FEATURES: NEG.

G. TAIL, TRAIL OR EXHAUST: NONE

H. SOUND : NONE

How large? give comparative size!

Feb. 15-Mamaroneck, NY-Night-Oval-shaped LIGHT(white center, red-pink aura) swinging back-&-forth slowly. Two pair of small lights emerged; rose rapidly and vanished. Finally, main-light shot up, vanished.

PAGE TWO RJEPND 6

1. UNUSUAL FEATURES: NONE

2. DESCRIPTION OF COURSE OF OBJECTS

A. WHY NOTICED: MOVEMENT

B. INITIAL ELEVATION AND AZIMUTH: EAST HEADING EAST SOUTH EAST

C. ELEVATION AND AZIMUTH AS OBJECT DISAPPEARED: UNK

D. FLIGHT PATH AND MANEUVERABILITY: UP AND DOWN AND SIDE TO SIDE

E. MANNER OF DISAPPEARANCE: UNK

F. LENGTH OF TIME IN SIGHT: 13-14 MINUTES.

3. MANNER OF OBSERVATION

A. METHOD OF OBSERVATION: GROUND VISUAL

B. OPTICAL AIDS: NONE

C. AIRBOURNE SIGHTING INFO: NONE

4. TIME AND DATE OF SIGHTING

A. DTG (Z) 1600225Z

B. NIGHT

5. LOCATION OF OBSERVERS:

A. EAGLE VALLEY, TUXEDO, N. Y.

B. N/A


6. IDENT INFO OF OBSERVERS:

A. MRS. [REDACTED], N. Y. (TEL- [REDACTED])

B. N/A

UFO Location

Characteristics
of balloons



PAGE THREE RJEPNE 6

7. WX AND WINDS AT TIME OF SIGHTING:

A. SURF- CALM

1. 6000-360-10-15 KNOTS

2. ~~10,000-340-15~~ KNOTS

3. 16000-280-20 KNOTS

4. 20,000-280-40 KNOTS

5. 30,000-295-65 KNOTS

6. 50,000-310-75 KNOTS

7. 80,000- UNK

C. UNK

D. UNK

E. UNK

F. NONE

8. UNUSUAL ACTIVITY IN AREA:

A. UNK


B. UNK

C. UNK

9. ACTION TAKEN:

A. NONE

DIRECTION
OF WIND



Note heading
of
object: Same
direction

February 15, 1957. Dr. [REDACTED], a well-known physician of Mamaroneck, N. Y., was watching television with his wife when she noticed a bright light outside the eastward-facing picture window in their living room. Both of them went outdoors, to the terrace, where they saw the object as "about twice as big as the landing light on a plane." It was oval, with a bright white center and a red-and-pink "aura" around the edge. It was swinging back and forth like a pendulum in an arc of about 10 degrees; the swings were north-south and rather slow. Then, from the top of the object, four smaller objects emerged in pairs, two from the right "edge" and two from the left. These rose very rapidly, were in view several seconds, and disappeared abruptly. No noise was heard. The main object was in view for nearly half an hour; then it rose straight up at terrific speed and disappeared. The view from Dr. [REDACTED] terrace offers an unlimited view toward the east, and the object appeared to be beyond the hills in the general direction of Rye, N. Y. The object was seen again on a number of subsequent nights, including the following.

[REDACTED]

PAGE FOUR RJEPNB 6

10. LOCATION OF KNOWN TRAFFIC IN AREA AT TIME OF SIGHTING:

A. UNKNOWN

11. POSITION TITLE/ COMMENTS OF PREPARING OFFICER:

A. COC CONTROLLER/ NO COMMENTS

B. PRELIMINARY ANALYSIS- UNK

12. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE:

A. PHOTOS: NOE

B. MATERIAL EVIDENCE: NONE

13. MISC COMMENTS OF OBSERVER:

A. NONE

REMARKS: NONE

COMMENTS BY CFECH: NONE

BT

16/0511Z FEB RJEPNB

Analyst's
Comment:

This is the sort of thing that and is going to Contrary to 200-2. 9 F Reg. This is a will. essence, something.

This report is almost 50% UNKNOWN comments!

Very limited data but - Prob. balloon

we are going to attempt to then fact to make some brief checks, followups, or contacts to determine identity of object