

PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE 9 Jan 58		2. LOCATION Biggs AFB, El Paso, Texas		12. CONCLUSIONS ☐ Was Balloon ☐ Probably Balloon ☐ Possibly Balloon ☐ Was Aircraft ☐ Probably Aircraft ☐ Possibly Aircraft ☐ Was Astronomical ☐ Probably Astronomical ☐ Possibly Astronomical	
3. DATE-TIME GROUP Local _____ GMT 10/0137Z		4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION ☐ Ground-Visual ☒ Ground-Radar ☐ Air-Visual ☐ Air-Intercept Radar			
5. PHOTOS ☐ Yes ☒ No		6. SOURCE Civilian			
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 5 mins		8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS 8 to 11		9. COURSE South	
10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING Eight to 11 objs w/no regular formation, but all in close proximity to each other. Velocity 0 to 50 knots. Irregular course generally South. All objs disappeared on radar scope one at a time. The returns dissappeared while in the vicinity of the only lake in the local area.		11. COMMENTS The evidence presented in this rpt indicates a good possibility of a small flock of large birds.			

d. Inclosure #4: The evidence presented in this report indicates a good possibility of a small flock of large birds.

JAN 11 010844'58

1 } 4E4
2 }
3 }

44X22

910

SQB131 YDA125 WYB089 WDB091BGA052

PP RJEDDN RJEDSQ RJEPHQ

DE RJWFBG 27

P 111641Z

FM 810 ADIV

TO RJEDDN/COM ADC ENT

RJWFKD/34 ADIV

RJEDSQ/COM AIR TECH INTEL CEN

RJEPHQ/DIR OF INTEL HQUSAF

BT

UNCLAS FROM 95D08-5346.

FOR COMMANDERS. SUBJECT: UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS
IN ACCORDANCE WITH AFR 200-2 THE FOLLOWING REPORT IS SUBMITTED:

1. OBJECTS WERE SIGHTED ON RADAR BY THE OFFICIALS IN CHARGE
OF THE RAPCON STATION BIGGS AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS
VISUAL SIGHTINGS WERE ATTEMPTED BUT NONE WERE SUCCESSFUL.

A. NEGATIVE

B. NEGATIVE

C. NEGATIVE

D. EIGHT TO ELEVEN

Inc # 4.

OF NECESSARY SPECIALIZED INFORMATION IT IS THE OPIONION OF THE
PREPARING OFFICER THAT THESE UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS COULD
NOT HAVE BEEN ANY KNOWN AIRBORNE OBJECT. EXCEPT FOR THE SUDDEN
APPEARANCE AND DISSAPPERANCE ON THE RADAR SCOPE AND THE FACT
THAT NO AIRCRAFT WERE CLEARED FOR SUCH A FLIGHT BY THE LOCAL CAA
FACILITY, THE POSSIBILITY EXISTS THAT THE RADAR RETURNS COULD HAVE
BEEN FROM A FLIGHT OF HELICOPTERS. ANOTHER POSSIBILITY, IS
THAT THE RADAR RETURNS MAY HAVE BEEN FROM A FLIGHT OF DUCKS.
IF SUCH AN EXPLANATION IS POSSIBLE IT APPEARS TO BE A REASONABLE
EXPLANATION. THE RETURNS DISSAPPEARED WHILE IN THE VICINITY
OF THE ONLY LAKE IN THE LOCAL AREA. RADAR RETURNS PROVIDED BY
UNUSUAL ATMOSPHERIC CONDITIONS APPEARS TO BE A LOGICAL EXPLANATION.
HOWEVER, UNUSUAL ATMOSPHERIC CONDITIONS DO NOT APPEAR TO HAVE

PAGE FIVE RJWFBG 27

EXISTED AT THE TIME. NO RADAR SCOPE PHOTOGRAPHY WAS TAKEN.

BT

11/1649Z JAN RJWFBG

AFCIN 4E-1 Comments/Conclusions:

Signed: _____

PAGE TWO RJWFBG 27

E. NO REGUALR FORMATION BUT ALL IN CLOSE PROXIMITY TO EACH OTHER.

F. NEGATIVE

G. NEGATIVE

H. NEGATIVE

I. VELOCITY ZERO TO 50 KNOTS

2. IRREGULAR COURSE GENERALLY SOUTH.

A. SIGHTING ON RAPCON RADAR.

B. VERTICAL.

C. ESTIMATED ELEVATION 60 DEGREES AZIMUTH 180 DEGREES.

D. IRREGULAR FLIGHT PATH NO IDENTIFIABLE MANUEVERS.

E. ALL OBJECTS DISAPPEARED ON RADAR SCOPE ONE AT A TIME

APPROXIMATELY FOUR MILES SOUTH OF BIGGS AIR FORCE BASE, EL PASO
TEXAS.

F. FIVE MINUTES.

3A. GROUND ELECTRONIC CTN/18 TYPE RADAR.

B. NEGATIVE

C. NEGATIVE

4. A. 10/0137Z, JAN 58 013770 = 1837

B. NIGHT

5. BIGGS AIR FORCE BASE, EL PASO, TEXAS.

6. A. CIVILIANS

PAGE THREE RJWFBG 27

NAME	AGE	ADDRESS	OCCUPATION
	37	EL PASO	AIR OPERATIONS

BIGGS AFB SPECIALIST

34

37

MILITARY

S/SGT 26 "

S/SGT 42 "

7. A. NO WEATHER PHENOMENA

B. SURFACE

CALRN

6000

^W280/17

10,000

^{VW}310/22

16,000

^W280/31

20,000

^{NW}290/39

30,000

^W280/61

50,000

^{NW}290/39

80,000

100/06 TUCSON REPORT.

C. UNLIMITED

D. 20 MILES PLUS

E. NONE

PAGE FOUR RJWFBG 27

F. NONE

8. NONE

9. NEGATIVE

10. NONE

11. WING STAFF NAVIGATOR 95TH BOMB WING. BIGGS AIR FORCE
BASE, TEXAS. ANALYSIS OF THE AVAILABLE INFORMATION

PROVIDES NO BASIS FOR A SATISFACTORY EXPLANATION. IN THE ABSENCE