

PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE 8 September 1958		2. LOCATION Toledo, Ohio		12. CONCLUSIONS ☐ Was Balloon ☐ Probably Balloon ☐ Possibly Balloon ☐ Was Aircraft ☒ Probably Aircraft ☐ Possibly Aircraft ☐ Was Astronomical ☐ Probably Astronomical ☐ Possibly Astronomical ☐ Other ☐ Insufficient Data for Evaluation ☐ Unknown	
3. DATE-TIME GROUP Local 6:30 PM GMT 08/2330Z		4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION ☐ Ground-Visual ☐ Ground-Radar ☐ Air-Visual ☐ Air-Intercept Radar			
5. PHOTOS ☒ Yes ☐ No		6. SOURCE Civilian			
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION not given		8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS one		9. COURSE straight up	
10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING Bright glowing obj, stationary for 2 mins. Appeared very bright & glowing while continually moving in an unusual circular motion. Suddenly obj soared straight up, turned black & left a small white trail behind.				11. COMMENTS Fm trail left by obj, it is felt obj was an a/c. However, cannot be definitely identified due to quality of photographs.	

6:30 pm 7 Sep

~~Multi~~
Multi

12

Miss [REDACTED]

Toledo 7, Ohio

U.F.O. Division
Wright Patterson Air Force Base
Dayton, Ohio

Gentlemen:

On September 8, 1958, about 6:30 PM, it was brought to my attention by the neighborhood children that there was a bright glowing object in the sky.

This object stayed stationary for approximately two minutes from the time I saw it. It appeared very bright and glowing while continually moving in an unusual circular motion.

Suddenly this object soared straight upward, turned black and left a small white trail behind. It then made a right angle turn and suddenly disappeared out of sight.

I took the enclosed photographs just before the object disappeared out of sight. It appears as a small black spot followed by a white trail of vapor. I have encircled the object with a grease pencil on the negatives. The prints show a white circle around the object.

I am passing these photographs on to you for whatever information they may serve.

Sincerely,

[REDACTED]

Pamela A. Rowe

DISPOSITION FORM

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION (If any)

FILE NO.

SUBJECT

(U) Request for Photo Analysis

TO AFCIN-4A2f
Attn: Maj. Buckmaster

FROM AFCIN-4E4

DATE 13 Oct 58
4E4/T/Sgt Bolieu/ac/69216/Bldg 828

COMMENT NO. 1

1. Request your review and comments of the attached photographs.
2. The object in the photo, where it appears to be climbing, looks like an F-100 series aircraft, in a climbing attitude.
3. Although the observer states that the object was stationary for approximately 2 minutes, these photographs seem to indicate a jet aircraft in flight. However, would appreciate your comments to substantiate this explanation.

1 Incl:
1. Ltr fr
w/2 photos

Leonard T. Glaser
LEONARD T. GLASER
Colonel, USAF
AFCIN-4E4

SUBJECT: (U) Request for Photo Analysis

TO: AFCIN-4E4

FROM: AFCIN-4A2

DATE: 17 Oct 58 COMMENT NR. 2
AFCIN-4A2f/Maj Conkey/lv
59196/B263/Hgr E

1. The attached photographs are of such poor quality as to preclude any accurate evaluation beyond pure conjecture.

2. It is recommended that the original film be made available to this office and/or 4D2 for evaluation. It is possible that an optical rather than a photographic enlargement would provide us with enough information to either confirm or deny your explanation.

Lt Col Walter C. Viterac

1 Incl
n/c

GILMORE J. P. LUNDQUIST
Colonel, USAF
AFCIN-4A2

TO: AFCIN-4A2f

FROM: AFCIN-4E4

DATE 7 Nov 58 COMMENT NR. 3
4E4/T/Sgt Bolieu/69216/Bldg 828

1. In accordance with your recommendation, the attached original negatives are forwarded to your office for evaluation.

Leonard T. Glaser

2 Incls:
1. w/d
Added 1 Incl.
2. Orig negatives

LEONARD T. GLASER
Colonel, USAF
AFCIN-4E4

AFCIN-4E4/T/Sgt James O. Bolieu/as
6-9216/Bldg 828
Typed 23 Oct 58

Miss [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Toledo 7, Ohio

Dear Miss [REDACTED]

An attempt was made to analyze the photographic prints
you submitted to the Air Force. They are of such quality that
an accurate evaluation cannot be made.

Request the negative be forwarded to this Center in order
for us to make a more accurate evaluation of the object concerned.

Sincerely,

4549

Miss [REDACTED]

Toledo, Ohio

Dear Mr. Post:

Enclosed are the negatives you requested. I would appreciate their return when you are through with them.

Sincerely,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

SUBJECT: (U) Request for Photo Analysis

TO: AFCIN-4E4


FROM: AFCIN-4A2f

DATE: 24 Nov 58 COMMENT NR. 4

Major Conkey/lb
59196/B 263/Hgr E

1. The quality of the two negatives is so poor that we cannot definitely identify the object in question. In all probability the image was caused by an aircraft in flight, however, we cannot identify it as to series or type.

1 Incl
n/c



HOWARD L. CONKEY
Major, USAF
AFCIN-4A2f

AFCIN-4Eh/T/Sgt Bolieu/ac
69216/Bldg 828
Typed 25 Nov 58

Miss [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Toledo, Ohio

Dear Miss [REDACTED]

Inclosed are the two negatives you submitted to this Center for analysis. The negatives were found to be of such quality the object in question could not be definitely identified. However, from the trail left by the object, it is felt the object was probably an aircraft in flight.

Your interest in this matter is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

1 Incl:
Negatives

H. K. GILBERT
Colonel, USAF
Deputy for Science
and Components

46-49

TOLEDO, OHIO

8 Sep 58

THIS CASE INCLUDES
ONE 8"x10" photo