

PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE DR 25 October 1958		2. LOCATION Yucca Valley, California		12. CONCLUSIONS ☐ Was Balloon ☐ Probably Balloon ☐ Possibly Balloon ☐ Was Aircraft ☐ Probably Aircraft ☐ Possibly Aircraft ☐ Was Astronomical ☐ Probably Astronomical ☐ Possibly Astronomical ☒ Other <u>Reflection</u> ☐ Insufficient Data for Evaluation ☐ Unknown	
3. DATE-TIME GROUP Local _____ GMT _____		4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION ☒ Ground-Visual ☐ Ground-Radar ☐ Air-Visual ☐ Air-Intercept Radar			
5. PHOTOS ☒ Yes ☐ No		6. SOURCE Civilian			
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 6 seconds		8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS one			
		9. COURSE stationary			
10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING Mr. [REDACTED] brought a film strip of six frames to the pentagon with a claim that the photo represented proof of UFO's. No information as to the location or date of sighting or camera used. The strip was sent to photo for analysis.				11. COMMENTS The object was stationary. Total duration about six seconds. Photo analysis indicate that the object photographed was a reflection. The report indicated a possible hoax on the part of Mr. [REDACTED]	

27 October 1958

Dear Major Friend:

Mr. [REDACTED], personally visited the Pentagon on 25 October 1958 and left the inclosed roll of 8 mm film on unidentified flying objects for analysis and identification.

Please see what the film looks like and give me an opinion to forward with the film when I return it to Mr. [REDACTED]

Sincerely,

Incl
a/s

LAWRENCE J. TACKER
Major, USAF
Executive Officer
Public Information Division
Office of Information Services

Major Robert J. Friend
Air Technical Intelligence Center
Headquarters, Air Materiel Command
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base
Dayton, Ohio

02VL
OFFICE OF INFORMATION SERVICES

1028 OCT 31 15 41 SAFIS-3 COMEBACK CY
SAFIS-1 READING CY

001

[REDACTED]

UNCLASSIFIED

Unidentified Flying Object ([REDACTED])

Classification Cancelled
([REDACTED])
Auth. [REDACTED] T.D.P.
By [REDACTED]
Date [REDACTED]
AFR 205-1 Para 2-7a
3 Jan 68

SAFIS-3, Attn: Maj. L. J. Tacker

19 December 1958

AFCIN-4E

1

4EH/Maj Friend/ac/69216/Bldg 828

1. The ATIC had those portions of Mr. [REDACTED] film containing the so-called UFO enlarged for detailed analysis. The enlargements did not reveal any more detail than did the observations of the projected image or inspection of the film under a microscope.

2. The analysis of the film indicates the object was stationary, yet Mr. [REDACTED] was not concerned enough to get closer photographs revealing greater detail. It is the opinion of the ATIC that the obvious reason is photographs taken closer would not have stood up under inspection.

3. The object was photographed for a total time of approximately six seconds. This was determined by counting the frames on which the object appeared and knowing the running rate of the camera.

4. In view of the limited data available, the ATIC is unable to come to any definite conclusion as to what the object is. However, it appears to be a reflection of some sort. Knowing the reputation of Mr. [REDACTED], it is conceivable that he arranged for this reflection, and/or knows exactly what was photographed.

William J. Best
HEM

DOWNLOADED AT 5 YEAR INTERVALS,
DECLASSIFIED AFTER 12 YEARS.
DOD, DIL 5500.10

UNCLASSIFIED

[REDACTED]

DOCUMENT RECEIPT		REGISTRY OR CONTROL NUMBER	DATE DISPATCHED
TO: Mr. [REDACTED] Yucca Valley, Calif		FROM: (Return signed original receipt) SAFIS, Office of Information Services Pentagon (Maj Lawrence J. Tacker)	
Each entry listed below will describe a document and its inclosures, and will be separated by a horizontal line drawn from margin to margin. Each entry will include: 1. Description of Basic Material: File number, type (number of copies and copy numbers), source, addressee, date, subject (short title, if subject is classified).		2. Number of Indorsements: Number of copies and copy numbers of each and other unclassified identifying data (as applicable). 3. Number of Inclosures: Subject (short title, if subject is classified), and other unclassified identifying data, including number of copies and copy number of each (as applicable). 4. Date of latest action.	
DESCRIPTION OF DOCUMENT(S) (Avoid any identification which might necessitate classification of this receipt.)			
1 8mm roll of UFO Film			
TOTAL NUMBER OF ENTRIES LISTED:			
RECEIPT FOR DOCUMENT(S) DESCRIBED ABOVE IS ACKNOWLEDGED		DATE RECEIVED	
		25 Oct 58	
RECEIVING AGENCY	TYPED NAME, GRADE, OR TITLE	SIGNATURE	
	LAWRENCE J. TACKER, Maj, USAF	CR Beigum Maj USAF	
		For Maj Tacker	

AF FORM 310
15 JUN 55

REPLACES AF FORM 693 WHICH MAY BE USED AND
DD FORM 646 WHICH IS OBSOLETE IN THE USAF

☆ U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1955-J348895

5. It is the opinion of the ATIC that this is the photograph of a reflection, probably planned by Mr. [REDACTED]

22 Dec 58

H. K. GILBERT
Colonel, USAF
AFCIN-4E

DEC 53 11 2 128

DECLASSIFIED AT 2 YEAR INTERVALS:
 DATE 11/11/2000 BY 60322 UCBAW

UNCLASSIFIED

/ER SHEET		SUSPENSE	
ORIGIN OF BASIC		DATE	
		ASSIGNED BY	
DATE		NO.	
TYPE			

SUBJECT

Unidentified Flying Object (Van Tassel)

ROUTING

Initial "IN" column to denote review prior to action. Initial "OUT" column to denote review of completed action. (X for action; ✓ for coordination.)

IN	OFFICE	OUT	IN	OFFICE	OUT	IN	OFFICE	OUT	IN	OFFICE	OUT	IN	OFFICE	OUT
	OIN-1			OIN-2			OIN-3			OIN-4			AFOIN	
	OIN-1X			OIN-2X			OIN-3X			OIN-4X			AFOIN-X	
													AFOIN-X	
													AFOIN-X1	
													AFOIN-X2	
													AFOIN-X3	
													AFOIN-X4	
													AFOIN-X5	
													AFOIN-2	
													CABLES	
													FILE	
													DISPATCH	

Classification Cancelled

(Cancelled to [redacted])

Auth. [redacted] TDO

By [redacted]

Date [redacted]

AFR 005-1 Per 2-12a
3 Jan 68

DATE

19 December 1958

TO:

SAFIS-3, Attn: Maj. L. J. Tacker

FROM:

AFCIN-4E

COMMENT NO.

1

COMMENTS (Use reverse, if necessary)

4E4/Maj Friend/ac/69216/Blag 828

1. The ATIC had those portions of Mr. [redacted]'s film containing the so-called UFO enlarged for detailed analysis. The enlargements did not reveal any more detail than did the observations of the projected image or inspection of the film under a microscope.

2. The analysis of the film indicates the object was stationary, yet Mr. [redacted] was not concerned enough to get closer photographs revealing greater detail. It is the opinion of the ATIC that the obvious reason is photographs taken closer would not have stood up under inspection.

3. The object was photographed for a total time of approximately six seconds. This was determined by counting the frames on which the object appeared and knowing the running rate of the camera.

4. In view of the limited data available, the ATIC is unable to come to any definite conclusion as to what the object is. However, it appears to be a reflection of some sort. Knowing the reputation of Mr. [redacted] it is conceivable that he arranged for this reflection, and/or knows exactly what was photographed.

DO NOT REPRODUCE AT ANY INTERVALS;
RECEIVED [redacted] YEARS.
1000 DIB 000010

UNCLASSIFIED

AFHQ

FORM 7 MAY 56

0-86

PREVIOUS EDITIONS OF THIS FORM MAY BE USED.

GPO 908344

[REDACTED]

UNCLASSIFIED

5. It is the opinion of the ATIC that this is the photograph of a reflection, probably planned by Mr. [REDACTED]

1 Incl:
Film

HK Gilbert
H. K. GILBERT
Colonel, USAF
AFCIN-4E

DISBYIC 1000
DOWNGRADED AT 2 YEAR INTERVALS;
DECLASSIFIED AFTER 12 YEARS.
DOD DIR 2200.10

UNCLASSIFIED

5 January 1959

Dear Mr. [REDACTED]:

I am returning herewith the film you submitted to the United States Air Force for evaluation which you thought contained a photograph of an unidentified flying object.

The Air Technical Intelligence Center had those portions of the film containing the so-called unidentified flying object enlarged for detailed analysis. The enlargements did not reveal any more detail than did the observations of the projected image or inspection of the film under a microscope.

The analysis of the film indicates the object was stationary and was photographed for a total time of approximately six seconds. This is based upon counting the frames on which the object appeared and knowing the running rate of the camera used.

It is the opinion of the ATIC that this is a photograph of a reflection.

Sincerely,

15/

Incl

LAWRENCE J. TACKER
Major, USAF
Executive Officer
Public Information Division
Office of Information Services

Mr. [REDACTED]
Yucca Valley, California

This case contains 2
5"x14" photos and 1 5"x14"
negative.