

MILITARY SENTRIES

PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE 1 Jul 60		2. LOCATION Vicinity Biggs AFB, Texas		12. CONCLUSIONS ☐ Was Balloon ☐ Probably Balloon ☐ Possibly Balloon ☐ Was Aircraft ☐ Probably Aircraft ☐ Possibly Aircraft ☒ Was Astronomical Meteor ☐ Probably Astronomical ☐ Possibly Astronomical ☐ Other _____ ☐ Insufficient Data for Evaluation ☐ Unknown	
3. DATE-TIME GROUP Local _____ GMT 02/0346Z		4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION ☒ Ground-Visual ☐ Ground-Radar ☐ Air-Visual ☐ Air-Intercept Radar			
5. PHOTOS ☐ Yes ☒ No		6. SOURCE Military			
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 5-10 sec		8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS four		9. COURSE NW-SE	
10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING Four objects size of a baseball in formation closely resembling a diamond in appearance. Fire trail about twice as long as UFO. Color of trail was dark red close to the object and a white glowing neon appearance as trail extended. Just prior to instantaneous disappearance objects appeared to separate.				11. COMMENTS The reporting officer stated that he saw several meteor trails during his investigation shortly after the sightings. The witnesses probably saw a meteor in its first stages of disintegration.	

111 Nightingale Drive
San Antonio 11, Texas
July 16, 1960

Office of Information Services
Office of the Secretary of the Air Force
Washington, D. C.

Dear Sir:

A few weeks ago I participated in a local sighting of two unidentifiable flying objects. About ten neighbors and myself saw these objects. Later, we attempted to relate our independent sightings and observations with known or familiar aerial phenomena of every conceivable nature; we were unable to classify the objects as peculiar to earth.

My personal interest in interplanetary flying machines was stimulated, and I subsequently took the opportunity to examine the many volumes written on UFO phenomena at one of the local libraries. I'm sure your office is quite familiar with the contents and conclusions of the many books available, both of foreign and domestic publication.

The evidence cited by the great majority of the authors appears overwhelmingly in favor of the existence of these machines and their intelligent control. A good bit of the evidence cited also appears overwhelmingly in agreement that the U. S. Government in particular, and others, has not played fair to the PUBLIC...the people who foot the bills.... by informing the PUBLIC with realistic and knowledgeable information.

It is alleged that the Air Technical Intelligence Center of the USAF is interested in getting sighting reports from the PUBLIC as well as military agencies, but is NOT INTERESTED in informing the public. I certainly hope this is not true. American prestige around the globe is low enough without the American PUBLIC losing faith also.

I can't remember having seen a newspaper reference to UFO phenomena in over a year; yet, it is improbable that sightings have decreased when the American public is becoming ever more space conscious. Has the Government resorted to requesting the news services to not carry references to UFO sightings?

I would like to request of the Air Force its position as to the existence of interplanetary flying machines, and where they are coming from. What is going on in our skies? I will be looking forward to receipt of your evaluation.

Sincerely,

[REDACTED]


PERSONNEL ASSIGNED 95TH COMBAT DEFENSE
SQUADRON, BIGGS AFB, TEXAS. DUTY: SENTRIES AND DOG HANDLERS.
OBSERVERS CONSIDERED RELIABLE BY SUPERVISORY PERSONNEL. REFERENCE
PARA 15G OF CITED REGULATION: (1) ALL OBSERVERS DESCRIBED WEATHERD
AS CLEAR WITH EXCELLENT VISIBILITY. (2) SURFACE WINDS 140/05.
6000-140/05. 10000-150/05. 16000-120/15. 20000-320/05. 50000-
150/05. (3) CEILING: 8000 FEET HIGH SCATTERED. (4) TWENTY MILES
VISIBILITY. (5) NOT APPLICABLE. (6) NOT APPLICABLE. (7)
TEMPERATURE EIGHTY SEVEN DEGREES FAHRENHEIT. REFERENCE PARA 15H,
15I, 15J: NONE. REFERENCE PARA 15K OF CITED REGULATION. MAJOR
JAMES W. AMIS, 95TH DCOIO, BIGGS AFB TEXAS. THE INFORMATION
RECEIVED FROM OBSERVERS APPEARS TO BE REASONABLY RELIABLE AND THE
INCIDENTS APPARENTLY OCCURRED AS REPORTED. POSITIVE EVIDENCE IS
LACKING TO CONFIRM THE REPORT. THE INVESTIGATING OFFICER WAS
PRESENT SHORTLY AFTER THE OBSERVATIONS WERE MADE. NONE OF THE UFO'S
WERE OBSERVED BY THE INVESTIGATING OFFICER. SEVERAL METEOR TRAILY
WERE OBYERVED BY THE INVESTIGATING OFFICER DURING THE COURSE OF THE

PAGE FOUR RJWBBG 50C

INVESTIGATION. IT IS POSSIBLE THAT THE SIGHTINGS AS REPORTED MAY
HAVE OCCURRED AS A PECULIAR METEORITE DISINTEGRATION. NO SUBSTANT-
IATION OR CONFIRMATION OF THIS SIGHTING AVAILABLE FROM OTHER
SOURCES.

BT

05/2314Z JUL RJWBBG



PAGE TWO RJWBBG 5;C

WERE ALL IN THE VICINITY OF THE ACTIVE RUNWAY ON WHICH A T-33 WAS MAKING ITS TAKEOFF ROLL. SOUNDS OF UFO COULD HAVE BEEN MASKED BY AIRCRAFT NOISE. UFO FORMATION APPEARED TO SEPARATE AND DISAPPEAR AS THE T-33 COMPLETED ITS TAKEOFF AND BECAME AIRBORNE. REFERENCE PARA 15B OF CITED REGULATION: (1) TWO OBSERVERS NOTED UFO DUE TO TRAIL LEFT BY THE OBJECTS. ONE OBSERVER HAD HIS ATTENTION DIRECTED TO THE AREA BY THE IMMEDIATE RADIO CALL MADE BY THE FIRST TWO OBSERVERS. (2) ELEVATION WHEN FIRST OBSERVED WAS APPROXIMATELY SEVENTY DEGREES BY ALL OBSERVERS. (3) ELEVATION REMAINED AT APPROXIMATELY SEVENTY DEGREES DURING THE ENTIRE PERIOD OF OBSERVATION. (4) UFO OBJECTS APPEARED TO MOVE IN NORTHWESTERLY TO SOUTHEASTERLY FLIGHT PATH. JUST PRIOR TO THE UFO DISAPPEARANCE THE OBJECTS APPEARED TO SEPARATE. (5) UFO OBJECTS APPEARED TO DISAPPEAR INSTANTANEOUSLY TOWARDS THE SOUTHEAST. (6) ESTIMATED TIME OF OBSERVATION WAS FROM FIVE TO TEN SECONDS. REFERENCE PARA 15C OF CITED REGULATION: (1) GROUND VISUAL. (2) NO OPTICAL AIDS UTILIZED. (3) NOT APPLICABLE. REFERENCE PARA 15D OF CITED REGULATION: (1) ZULU TIME GROUP 020346Z. (2) NIGHT. REFERENCE PARA 15E OF CITED REGULATION: (1) ALL OBSERVERS LOCATED IN AREAS NEAR OR ADJACENT TO ACTIVE RUNWAY AT BIGGS AFB TEXAS: 3151N 10621W.

PAGE THREE RJWBBG 50C

REFERENCE PARA 15F OF CITED REGULATION: (1) NOT APPLICABLE (2) SNOW, THOMAS J., AIRMAN THIRD CLASS, AF25256640. WHITE, CHARLES W., AIRMAN SECOND CLASS, AF16611775. WINCH, NED H. JR., AIRMAN

[REDACTED]

SQB113CDA 571VBGB230

PP RJEDSQ

DE RJWBBG 50C

P 052300Z

1960 JUL 6 01 41

FM 95BW BIGGS AFB TEX

TO RJWFAL/ADC ENT AFB COLO

RJWFHW/33RD ADIV OKLA AF STA

RJEDSQ/ATIC WPAFB OHIO

RJEZHQ/HQ USAF (AFCIN) WASH DC

RJEZHQ/SAFIS WASH DC

INFO RJWBKN/15AF MARCH AFB CALIF

RJWXBR/CINCSAC OFFUTT AFB NEBR

BT

1 ✓
2 ✓
3 ✓
4 ✓
5 ✓
6 ✓
7 ✓
8 ✓
9 ✓

[REDACTED] FROM DCOI 267. EXPEDITE

FOR THE DIRECTOR OF INTELLIGENCE. UFO. REFERENCE PARA 15A AFR
200-2, 14 SEPT 59. THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION IS SUBMITTED. (1)
UFO, OVAL. (2) THREE OBSERVERS ESTIMATED SIZE AS COMPARED TO
BASEBALL. (3) THREE OBSERVERS STATED UFO HAD NO DISTINGUISHABLE
COLOR. (4) FOUR UFO WERE OBSERVED. (5) OBJECTS APPEARED TO BE
IN FORMATION CLOSELY APPROXIMATELY A DIAMOND IN APPEARANCE. (6)
NO DISCERNABLE FEATURES. (7) FIRE TRAIL AS OBSERVED APPEARED TO
BE APPROXIMATELY TWICE AS LONG AS THE UFO. COLOR OF TRAIL WAS
DARK RED CLOSE TO THE UFO AND A WHITE GLOWING NEON IN APPEARANCE
AS TRAIL EXTENDED BEHIND THE UFO. (8) NOT OBSERVED. (9) OBYERVERS

UFO ANALYSIS SHEET

Location Vicinity Biggs AFB, Texas

Date 2 July 1960 Hour (Z) 0345Z

WX Scattered clouds, 20 mi vis, winds SE at 5 to 15 knots

Description Orb, size of baseball, had no distinguishable color, four
objects in diamond formation, fire trail dark red close to object and white
glowing neon in appearance as trail extended behind ufo, when first seen
was at about 70 deg, elev remained same during observation, (over)

Direction of Motion Southeast

Satellite: (AFCIN-4F3, Phone 69219) Ruled out

Astronomical Phenomena (Meteor, Comet, Planet, etc) Poss meteor (fireball)

Radar Analysis (AFCIN-4E1) None

Natural Phenomena (Ball Lightning, etc) -----

Aircraft, Balloons, Airships, etc. Ruled out

Other _____

Evaluation of Source Reliability Probably reliable

Analysis and Conclusions: The reporting officer stated that he saw several meteor trails during his investigation shortly after the reported sightings. It is possible that the witnesses saw a meteor in its first stages of disintegration, although the reported duration is excessive, probably due to the inexperience of the witnesses. The pilot taking off apparently didn't see it, or he saw it and knew what it was as he didn't report it. ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

Description cont:

Just prior to disappearance the objects appeared to separate, moved in a NW to SE
flight path, disappeared instantly to the SE., duration was from 5 to 10 seconds