

PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE 26 Sep 60		2. LOCATION Italy		12. CONCLUSIONS ☐ Was Balloon ☐ Probably Balloon ☐ Possibly Balloon ☐ Was Aircraft ☐ Probably Aircraft ☐ Possibly Aircraft ☐ Was Astronomical ☐ Probably Astronomical ☐ Possibly Astronomical ☒ Other <u>Prob Hoax.</u> ☐ Insufficient Data for Evaluation ☐ Unknown	
3. DATE-TIME GROUP Local 1400 GMT 26/1300Z		4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION ☒ Ground-Visual ☐ Ground-Radar ☐ Air-Visual ☐ Air-Intercept Radar			
5. PHOTOS ☒ Yes ☐ No		6. SOURCE Civilian			
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION ---		8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS three		9. COURSE ---	
10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING Photos taken on 9-26-60 at 1400. Shape was round, about 15 meters in diameter. Photographed with a shutter of 6.5, time 1/250, distance infinite. than other dark areas, negative or original print was possibly retouched. In one print, objects size increased, indicating they were closer to camera than in other print. However, other objects in print also increased in size, indicating that camera was moved toward background. Also in this print background appears overexposed, but objects are just as dark. Probably result of someone attempting a hoax.				11. COMMENTS Too little information to allow valid conclusion. Photo analysts state: objects either very small and close to camera or moving rapidly due to their being out of focus. Due to objects being much darker	

AEROSPACE TECHNICAL INTELLIGENCE CENTER
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE
OHIO



REPLY TO
ATTN OF: AFCIN-4E2x

SUBJECT: Request for Photoanalysis

28 October 1960

TO: AFCIN-4D4a

1. Attached is a photograph of an alleged UFO with a copy of accompanying letter from [REDACTED], dated 10 September 1960. Also attached are two photographs of alleged UFO's with a copy of accompanying letter from [REDACTED] dated 29 September 1960.
2. Request photoanalysis be made of these photographs.

for
RICHARD R. SHOOP
Colonel, USAF
AFCIN-4E2

- 2 Atch:
1. Cy ltr 19 Sep 60, fr [REDACTED] w/photo
 2. Cy ltr 29 Sep 60, fr [REDACTED] w/2 photos

1st Ind (AFCIN-4D4a)

15 November 1960

TO: AFCIN-4E2x

1. A positive identification of the objects which appear in these three photo prints is not possible with the limited information furnished.
2. It is suggested that the negatives of these photographs be obtained from the sources if possible, and also that more details concerning the conditions under which the pictures were taken be given. Were pictures taken through a window glass? Inside a building? Were the inside lights on? Were they taken from an automobile?
3. The two photographs dated 26 Sep 60 show three images which cannot be explained from photo analysis. It is stated in the letter dated 29 Sep 60 that the diameter of these objects is about 15 meters and focused on infinity. The objects are out of focus which could mean either that they are very small and close to the camera or moving very rapidly. It is possible that either the negative or original print could be retouched since the intensity of the dark objects is much greater than the other dark areas on these prints.

W. B. Price, Jr.
WILBER PRICE, JR.,
Chief, AFCIN-4D4

2 Atch
n/c

NATIONAL
AERONAUTICS
AND SPACE
ADMINISTRATION



NASA HEADQUARTERS
1520 H STREET NORTHWEST
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.
TELEPHONE: EXECUTIVE 3-3260 TWX: WA 755

IN REPLY REFER TO RTR

OCT 14 1960

To: Commander
Wright Air Development Division
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base
Ohio

Subject: Letter from Mr. [REDACTED], Regio Emilia, Italy

The attached material is forwarded for any action
which your office feels is appropriate. No acknowledge-
ment has been sent to Mr. [REDACTED].

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Boyd C. Myers II".

Boyd C. Myers II
Technical Assistant to Director
Office of Advanced Research Programs

Enclosure
Subj. ltr & translation

1044478

[REDACTED]

9/29/60

To NASA:

I am taking the liberty of writing you to call your attention to the enclosed photographs taken by a friend of mine on 9/26/60 at around 2 p.m. The shape of the objects was round ,about 15 meters in diameter. Photographed with a shutter of 6.5 , time 1/250, distance infinite .

I have addressed myself to your kind courtesy not knowing where else to address myself and/^{the}matter still being under reserve.

Always at your orders for possible clarifications I ask that you kindly excuse my indiscretion.

Thanking your , I am

Very trully yours,

[REDACTED]

If this is not within your competence I would appreciate your referring it to the competent authorities.

Thanking you again, I extend my cordial greetings.

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Italia

Translation by [REDACTED]
Lewis Research Center
Library Branch

10-5-60

[REDACTED]

Mi sono permesso
 scrivereVi, per sottoporre alla Vs.
 attenzione le presenti fotografie,
 testimonianti un avvistamento effettua-
 to da un mio amico il giorno 26-9-60,
 alle ore 14 circa. Forma di detti
 oggetti : rotonda, ϕ 15 metri circa.
 Fotografati con diaframma 6,5,

Tempo $1/250$

Distanza in finito.

Mi sono rivolto alla Vs. gentile
cortesìa, non sapendo dove rivolgermi
altrimenti ed essendo la cosa, ancora
riservata.

Sempre ai Vs. ordini per eventuali
richimenti, prego. voler mi scusare

per la mia indiscrezione.

Ringraziando, porgo distinti e
doverosi saluti

[REDACTED]

Se questo non fosse di Vs. compe-
-tenza, Vi sarei grato, se Vi fosse
possibile inoltrarlo agli organi compe-
-tenti.

Ringraziando nuovamente,
porgo cordiali saluti

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

ITALIA

This case contains 1, 3"x4"
photo, 1, 5"x4" negative and
3, 8"x10" photos.

72

UFO ANALYSIS SHEET

Location Italy

Date (Local) XXXX 26 Sep 60 Hour (Local) 1400

Hour (Z Time Group) 261300Z Sep 60

Satellite: (Det 5 ATIC, Ext 3279) Ruled out

Astronomical Phenomena (Meteor, Comet, Planet, etc) Ruled out

Radar Analysis (AFCIN-4E1) None


Natural Phenomena (Ball Lightning, etc) -----

Aircraft, Balloons, Airships, etc. Poss acft, but cannot conclude.

Other Probably a hoax.

Evaluation of Source Reliability Unreliable

Analysis and Conclusions: The prints was submitted to photo analysis. The information reported is too meagre to allow a valid conclusion. The analyst states that the objects are either very small and close to ~~xxx~~ the camera or moving rapidly due ~~x~~ to their being out of focus. They also state that due to the objects being much ~~x~~ darker than the other dark areas, it is possible that the negative or original print was retouched. In one print, the objects size increased, indicating that they were closer to the camera than in the other print. However, the other objects in the print also increased in size, indicating that the camera was moved toward the background. Also in this print the background appears to be overexposed, but the objects are just as dark as in the other print. These prints are probably the result of someone ~~x~~ attempting to perpetrate a hoax.



OO

26 Sept 60

Italy

I have not yet to have
copies made

15 Feb 65

