

PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE 14 Nov 60		2. LOCATION Lake Ozark, Missouri		12. CONCLUSIONS ☐ Was Balloon ☐ Probably Balloon ☐ Possibly Balloon ☐ Was Aircraft ☐ Probably Aircraft ☐ Possibly Aircraft ☒ Was Astronomical Meteor ☐ Probably Astronomical ☐ Possibly Astronomical ☐ Other _____ ☐ Insufficient Data for Evaluation ☐ Unknown	
3. DATE-TIME GROUP Local _____ GMT 15/0353Z		4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION ☒ Ground-Visual ☐ Ground-Radar ☐ Air-Visual ☐ Air-Intercept Radar			
5. PHOTOS ☐ Yes ☐ No		6. SOURCE Civilian			
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION Not given		8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS one		9. COURSE N	
10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING Object described as extremely brilliant with a large tail. Tail, or trail, was red with traces of blue and green. Object viewed as it crossed sky to N of witness. No sound heard in conjunction with sighting. Witness stated that it could have been a meteor.				11. COMMENTS Description matches that of a meteor of the "fireball" class. No evidence that this was not a meteor. Request to fill out questionnaire was sent, however no answer. Duration of sighting not included. 1960 Theta I was reentering this date. It is possible that the object was a Satellite decay, however since the additional information requested was not received the case is listed as a meteor in view of the observers statement,	

17 January 1961

Dear Mr. [REDACTED]

Your letter reporting the sighting of an unidentified flying object contained insufficient information for a valid conclusion.

Inclosed are two Air Force Questionnaires which we ask you and your science teacher to complete in as much detail as possible and forward the completed document to the Aerospace Technical Intelligence Center, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, Attention: AFCIN-4E2x for their analysis and/or evaluation.

Sincerely,

Inclosure

LAWRENCE J. TACKER
Lt. Colonel, USAF
Public Information Division
Office of Information

Mr. J. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Lake Ozark, Missouri

Comeback OI-3d
Reader OI-1

AEROSPACE TECHNICAL INTELLIGENCE CENTER
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE
OHIO



REPLY TO
ATTN OF:

AFCIN-4E2X

SUBJECT:

Request for Information on UFO

13 JAN 1981

TO:

SAFOT-3d (L/Col Tacker)

It is requested that two ATIC Forms 164 (UFO Questionnaire) be forwarded to Mr. [REDACTED] for completion by him and his science teacher. Mr. [REDACTED]'s address is as follows:

Mr. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Lake Ozark, Mo

FOR THE COMMANDER:

Philip G. Evans
21527

PHILIP G. EVANS

Colonel, USAF

Deputy for Science and Components

Dear Sirs:

I am inquiring about an unidentified object which I saw on Nov. 14 at about 7 minutes to ten. I don't believe in flying saucers but I would like to know more about what I saw.

This object looked like it could be a meteor but it seemed to be extremely large & its light from the tail, which had the colors of a brilliant red, & traces of blue & green covered most of the sky to the North. It didn't have a sound as at least I didn't hear one. I heard or read somewhere that the object was seen in Ohio, Indiana, & Illinois about the same time. It was reported that no plane or balloon was in the area at that time.

My Science teacher & another student also saw this object. For verification of this you may write to Mr. [REDACTED] Lawford R.R. 3, Eldon Mo.

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Oct 2nd 1960

AFCEH-LE2x

Request for Information on UFO

SAFOL-30 (L/Col Tachar)

It is requested that two ATIC Forms 164 (UFO Questionnaire) be forwarded to Mr Moore for completion by him and his science teacher. Mr Moore's address is as follows:

Mr [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Lake Ozark, Mo

FOR THE COMMANDER:

PHILIP C. EVANS
Colonel, USAF
Deputy for Science and Components

U F O ANALYSIS SHEET

DATE 14 NOV 1960

LOCATION LAKE OZARK, MO.

DATE TIME GROUP LOCAL 2153

GMT 15/0353

PHOTO YES ☐ NO ☒

PHYSICAL SPECIMEN YES ☐ NO ☒

SOURCE MILITARY ☐ CIVILIAN ☒

TYPE OF OBSERVATION VISUAL

LENGTH OF OBSERVATION _____ NUMBER OF OBJECTS _____ COURSE _____

SATELLITE: ☐ IN ORBIT: ☐ VERIFY YES: ☐ NO: ☒ DECAY: ☐

ASTRONOMICAL PHENOMENA _____

METEOR POSSIBLY FIREBALL

STAR OR PLANET _____

OTHER _____

AIRCRAFT _____

BALLOON _____

INSUFFICIENT DATA _____

OTHER _____

REFLECTION _____ INVERSION PRESENT _____ PHOTO ANALYSIS _____

SUN DOG _____ LENTICULAR CLOUD _____ PHYSICAL SPECIMEN ANALYSIS _____

BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING: OBJECT DESCRIBED AS EXTREMELY LARGE WITH A BRILLIANT TAIL. THE TAIL OR TRAIL WAS RED WITH TRACES OF BLUE AND GREEN. OBJECT WAS VIEWED AS IT CROSSED THE SKY NORTH OF THE WITNESS. THE WITNESS REPORTED THAT NO SOUND WAS HEARD IN CONJUNCTION WITH THIS SIGHTING. WITNESS STATED THAT THE OBJECT COULD HAVE BEEN A METEOR.

EVALUATION: CASE CATEGORY: PROBABLY METEOR (FIREBALL)

CONCLUSION: THE DESCRIPTION OF THIS OBJECT MATCHES THAT OF A CLASSIC METEOR OF THE FIREBALL CLASS. THERE IS NO EVIDENCE AVAILABLE WHICH WOULD INDICATE THAT THE OBJECT RESPONSIBLE FOR THIS SIGHTING WAS NOT A METEOR.