


PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE August 1963		2. LOCATION Easton, Massachusetts		12. CONCLUSIONS	
3. DATE-TIME GROUP Local <u>2045</u> GMT <u>(?)/0145Z</u>		4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION ☒ Ground-Visual ☐ Ground-Radar ☐ Air-Visual ☐ Air-Intercept Radar		☐ Was Balloon ☐ Probably Balloon ☐ Possibly Balloon ☐ Was Aircraft ☐ Probably Aircraft ☐ Possibly Aircraft ☒ Was Astronomical Meteor ☐ Probably Astronomical ☐ Possibly Astronomical ☐ Other _____ ☐ Insufficient Data for Evaluation ☐ Unknown	
5. PHOTOS ☐ Yes ☒ No		6. SOURCE civilian			
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION not reported		8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS one		9. COURSE not reported	
10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING Day duration, direction etc not included Object described as flying parallel to ground. Brilliant light, red on outer edge with a white center. White tail about 2X size of object.				11. COMMENTS Limited data indicates meteor as a likely cause.	



Rockland, Mass.



Major Winston M. Jocke (USAF)
Dept. of the Secretary
US Air Force
Washington 25, D.C.

U.S. Public Information Division

(1)

Dear Major Jocke,

I am in receipt of your very informative letter explaining the fact that my alleged sighting was only a meteor or other associated phenomena. Thank you. Also, any assistance I may give in your investigations, please let me know. One final thing, you mentioned Air Force investigations in the past (sic) you have proved "Almost Conclusively" that there is a logical explanation for all aerial phenomena. I am led to believe that there have been reports that have defined "Logical Explanation". Could you fill me in on this percentage?

Respectfully Submitted




Rockland, Mass.

Office Of Information
U.S. Air Force
Washington 25, D. C.

Attn. Director

Gentlemen:


For the past three years or so, I have been engaged in a private study on the subject of ufology. I have arrived at three conclusions as a result of my research:

- (1) UOS are real.
- (2) UOS are extraterrestrial.
- (3) UOS are under intelligent control.

To further strengthen my convictions, myself and my incredible wife and mother-in-law have had at least (1) good sightings, each, on separate occasions, which I will summarize briefly for you later.

Praying, I have several questions why isn't the press giving
the excellent coverage they used to give in the past, relative
to this topic. Only through organs such as 'NACAP' and
'AFRO' am I aware of any recent (if not late) sightings. Of
course you can understand my and interest in the
subject, for two reasons — (1) As an American, I want to
know — (2) Since I am making a study of infology any
information relative to the topic I consider important.

3
I have been in touch with every major newspaper in the country,
and their files are filled with 'UFO info', which they have
been so gracious enough to pass on to me. As the prime
investigative apparatus for investigating 'UFOs', I'm sure
your files are even more so loaded with 'info', and I would
be just fed if you could pass any and all past or present
information on to me for my personal use.

Sincerely,


4

The following is a summation of my wife, mother-in-law, and my sightings respectively — Both took place in late '13

My sighting — Easton, Mass. Aug '13 8:53 1:23 PM visibility - excellent
Size - can't say. Distance - approx 1000 ft.

Red outer edge
white tail - white sphere



extremely brilliant light
Travelling parallel to ground and I watched it
disappear into the sky

Wife and mother-in-law (together) S. Weymouth, Mass. (note) Dec '13 UFO appeared & was investigating
telephone wires and was about 20' above wires. Travelling very slow, UFO stopped and hovered
for an instant, then disappeared into space. Wife & mother said they both looked at UFO at
same instant as though 'signalled'.

3' in diameter
(approx)



completely white light

MEMO ROUTING SLIP		NEVER USE FOR APPROVALS, DISAPPROVALS, CONCURRENCES, OR SIMILAR ACTIONS	
1 NAME OR TITLE <i>Law</i>	INITIALS		CIRCULATE
ORGANIZATION AND LOCATION	DATE		COORDINATION
2			FILE
			INFORMATION
3			NECESSARY ACTION
			NOTE AND RETURN
4			SEE ME
			SIGNATURE
REMARKS <i>Mother-in-law not the only in credulous line - Info on which to base reply play.</i>			
FROM NAME OR TITLE <i>Helen</i>		DATE	
ORGANIZATION AND LOCATION		TELEPHONE	

HEADQUARTERS
FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION
AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO




REPLY TO
ATTN OF: TDEW

SUBJECT: Request for UFO Information [REDACTED]

TO: Hq USAF SAFOI-PB (Mrs Gaiser)
Wash 25 D C

1. The following information is provided to assist you in answering a letter from [REDACTED]. Our records indicate no previous correspondence from him.
2. There is no point in discussing the belief that UFO's are real, extraterrestrial and under intelligence control with Mr [REDACTED]. Acceptance of these points are done with an act of faith, without scientific support. There is also no point in sending him an information sheet. However, since he has requested information relative to UFO's the information should provide him with the Air Force's position.
3. Regarding the sighting at Easton, Massachusetts, August 1963, it is apparently a meteor observation, however, the duration was not reported and motion of the object not included. If the flight was straight and the duration short this would be the Air Force evaluation. If Mr [REDACTED] desires further information, it is suggested that he fill out the form 164 and forward the completed form to your office.
4. The information provided on the South Waymouth, Massachusetts sighting is insufficient for even a tentative analysis.

FOR THE COMMANDER


ERIC T. G. JONCKHEERE
Colonel, USAF
Deputy for Technology
and Subsystems

1 Atch
Ltr [REDACTED]

EASTON MASS

March 11, 1964

Dear Mr. [REDACTED]

This is in reply to your recent letter in which you reported the sighting of an Unidentified Flying Object in Easton, Mass. in August of 1963, and one seen by your mother-in-law in South Weymouth.

Your sighting at Easton is apparently a meteor observation, however, the duration was not reported and motion of the object was not included in your letter. The information provided on the South Weymouth sighting is insufficient for even a tentative analysis.

In over 8,000 cases during the past 16 years, there has never been any evidence or indication that Unidentified Flying Objects represent spaceships from other planets. On the contrary, Air Force investigations have proved almost conclusively that there is a logical explanation for all aerial phenomena, whether created and sent aloft by man, generated by atmospheric conditions, or caused by celestial bodies and their residue of meteors, etc.

Sincerely,

MASTON M. JACKS
Major, USAF
Public Information Division
Office of Information

Mr. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Rockland, Mass.