

PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE 5 or 6 Jan 64		2. LOCATION Dayton, Ohio		12. CONCLUSIONS ☐ Was Balloon ☐ Probably Balloon ☐ Possibly Balloon ☐ Was Aircraft ☐ Probably Aircraft ☐ Possibly Aircraft ☐ Was Astronomical ☐ Probably Astronomical ☐ Possibly Astronomical ☐ Other _____ ☒ Insufficient Data for Evaluation ☐ Unknown	
3. DATE-TIME GROUP Local _____ GMT not reported		4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION ☒ Ground-Visual ☐ Ground-Radar ☐ Air-Visual ☐ Air-Intercept Radar			
5. PHOTOS ☐ Yes ☒ No		6. SOURCE civilian			
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION not reported		8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS multiple		9. COURSE varied	
10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING Witness called to notify OD that she had observed an unidentified object several days ago and would call back with the pertinent data. Witness did not call back. Observation was 10 mi N of Dayton. Objects were highly illuminated and appeared to rendezvous.				11. COMMENTS Very likely a/c however all essential data for firm analysis missing.	

INSUFF DATA

HEADQUARTERS
FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION
AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO



REPLY TO
ATTN OF: TDX


SUBJECT: Extract from Duty Officers Report

10 Jan 64

TO: TDEW

The following, extracted from Maj Sullivan's OD Report dated 9 Jan 64, is quoted for your information:

"A Mrs. [REDACTED] called about sighting an unidentified object earlier in the week - she said she would call back with pertinent facts but failed to do so - The objects were seen either Sunday or Monday night. She lives about 10 miles North of Dayton. She said objects were highly illuminated and appeared to rendezvous."


JOHN C. McNEILL
Major, USAF
Administrative Officer