

PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE 11 Feb 64		2. LOCATION Brooklyn, New York		12. CONCLUSIONS	
3. DATE-TIME GROUP Local _____ GMT 12/0415Z		4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION ☒ Ground-Visual ☐ Ground-Radar ☐ Air-Visual ☐ Air-Intercept Radar		☐ Was Balloon ☐ Probably Balloon ☐ Possibly Balloon ☐ Was Aircraft ☐ Probably Aircraft ☐ Possibly Aircraft ☐ Was Astronomical ☐ Probably Astronomical ☐ Possibly Astronomical ☐ Other _____ ☒ Insufficient Data for Evaluation ☐ Unknown	
5. PHOTOS ☐ Yes ☒ No		6. SOURCE civilian			
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION few seconds		8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS multiple *(8)		9. COURSE South East	
10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING Witness observed eight white objects between buildings. Ran to corner in time to see them disappear. Object moving in a straight line to the SE. Objects were oval shaped and white and appeared to have substance. About 3/4 diameter of moon and almost as bright. No sound.				11. COMMENTS Possibly reflections of some sort. Possibly a meteor (bolide). Data too brief for evaluation.	
The Brooklyn case of 11 February would really have been a good one for the Atmospheric Optics group. Even at this late date, I think we'll try to follow this up, because this chap Laufer said that he would be glad to supply any further information. You realize that his drawing is quite similar to the original Arnold sighting?					

..... AX Shurtliff
Loring Chas H Mr
Ans Co San Francisco
San Francisco
after thurs

Company Office 150 + 200
in front of City
on any change 150 + 200

150 + 200

...

~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
Brooklyn 30, N.Y.

February 12, 1964

Office of the Secretary of the Air Force
Washington 25, D.C.
Public Information Branch
Gentlemen;

On the night of February 11, 1964 I was walking home from a friend's house when I sighted what might have been a U.F.O. I was walking near a church behind which is a row of six story apartment buildings. At a minute or two before or after 11:15 P.M. I looked up and saw eight white objects travelling in a tight formation. Within a fraction of a second they passed ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~ from over the edge of the church where I first saw them, to behind the apartment buildings. I ran to the corner of the block, in the direction the objects were travelling, just in time to see the ~~XXXX~~ objects disappear behind the apartment buildings across the street. Throughout all of this time the objects moved in a straight line toward the Southeast.

After this I ran to my home (half a block away) and drew the enclosed sketch. It is a representation of how the scene looked from where I first sighted the objects. The objects themselves were oval shaped and white. They seemed very slightly fuzzy around the edges as though they might be glowing. I could see no surface features. They were about three-fourths the ~~XXXX~~ diameter of the moon and almost as bright as the full moon. I heard no sound as they passed over. They didn't emit any engine noise or such

sound. The following morning, February 12, 1964, I called the Air Force Information Service at PL-3-5609. ~~IXWASXXXXXXXXXXXX~~ I was told by the sergeant on duty that such matters were handled by your office and that I should write to you.




I would like to know if any other reports were reported at the same time. What sort of explanation can you suggest for this sighting?

I will be glad to supply any further information if necessary.

Thank you.

Very truly yours,


~~XXXXXXXXXXXX~~



TUES. 2/11/64 11:15 PM

~~██████████~~
~~██████████~~
Brooklyn, New York 11230

4FO



Office of the Secretary of the Air Force
Public Information Branch
Washington 25, D.C.

HEADQUARTERS
FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION
AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO



REPLY TO
ATTN OF: TDEW

SUBJECT: UFO Sighting (11 Feb 64)

1394

to: Hq USAF SAFOL-PB (Mrs Gaiser)
Wash 25 D C

1. Reference the attached letter from [REDACTED] requesting information on a UFO Sighting.
2. The information in [REDACTED] letter, regarding his sighting of 11 Feb 64, contains most of the essential information necessary for evaluation. However, no positive identification can be made on a sighting this brief. There is a possibility of light reflections of some sort and while the objects did not have tails and appeared to be in two lines rather than one, a meteor cannot be ruled out. No other reports were received from the New York area at this time.

FOR THE COMMANDER

Eric de Jonckheere
ERIC de JONCKHEERE
Colonel, USAF
Deputy for Technology
and Subsystems

1 Atch
Ltr [REDACTED]

March 3, 1964

Dear Mr. [REDACTED]

This is in reply to your letter of February 12, 1964, in which you reported an Unidentified Flying Object in the New York area on the night of February 11th.

The Air Force has been unable to make an evaluation of your observation because of the briefness of the sighting even though your letter contained most of the essential information necessary for evaluation. There is a possibility that you saw light reflections of some sort, and while the objects did not have tails and appeared to be in two lines rather than one, a meteor cannot be ruled out. No other reports were received from the New York area at this time.

We are inclosing the latest Fact Sheet on the Air Force program with respect to Unidentified Flying Objects. It is hoped that this Fact Sheet will be of interest to you and will help to explain why a sighting may remain "Unidentified" even though it contains all pertinent data necessary to suggest at least one valid hypothesis on its cause.

Sincerely,

MASTON M. JACKS
Major, USAF
Public Information Division
Office of Information

1 Attachment


Mr. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Brooklyn 30, New York

BROOKLYN 30, N.Y.

WERE ~~AND~~ THERE ANY OTHER REPORTS OF
SIGHTINGS THAT NIGHT (FEB. 11th)?
WHAT COULD YOU SUGGEST AS A CAUSE OF
WHAT I SAW?

Sincerely,


BROOKLYN 35, N.Y.



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
WASHINGTON 25, D.C.

SAFO 1
UF 0