

PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP Jan-Jul 67	2. LOCATION Lyndurst, New Jersey	1
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION SATELLITES	
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS 1 each time		
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION Varied	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS SEE CASE FILE	
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground Visual	No photo analysis made due to poor quality of photo.	
7. COURSE Varied		
8. PHOTOS ☒ Yes returned ☐ No		
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE ☐ Yes ☒ No		

Oct 13, 1967
Lynchhurst, N.J.


Headquarters Foreign Technology Dir.
att: Colonel James C. Mamatt.

Dear Sir:

My letter & photos
were forwarded to you from McGuire
AFB & to make a long story short
I regret I apparently was mistaken.

Someone recently
explained to me has changed my
mind regarding my ideas about
the objects I described & now
believe them to be satellites.

Yours truly

A large, dark, irregular redacted area covering the signature and the first line of the address block.

Lynchhurst, N.J.
07071

Satellites

Jan - July 67
OCT - 1 1967

TDET/UFO (Maj. Quintanilla/70916/Jaf/11 Oct 67)

Lyndhurst, New Jersey

UFO Observations

Jan - Jul 1967

Hq 438th Air Base Group (OBO)
McGuire AFB, N. J. 08641

1. Reference your letter pertaining to UFO Observation of Mr. Taylor.
2. We feel that Mr. [REDACTED] is viewing and photographing satellites. He reports that:

1-20-67	Starlike object 7:00 to 7:10 P.M. moving SE
1-21-67	Starlike object 6:15 to 6:25 P.M. moving S
1-22-67	Starlike object 8:00 to 8:10 P.M. moving NE
4-29-67	Starlike object 7:40 to 7:55 P.M. moving E
6-21-67	Starlike object 11:00 to 11:10 P.M. moving NE
6-29-67	Starlike object 9:45 to 10:00 P.M. moving NE
7-5-67	Starlike object 10:00 to 10:15 P.M. moving S
7-5-67	Starlike object 10:45 to 11:00 P.M. moving E
7-6-67	Starlike object 11:05 to 11:15 P.M. moving SE
7-13-67	Starlike object 9:30 to 9:40 P.M. moving SE

Our satellite records show that on:

1-20-67	Echo II was going SE at 7:09 P.M. at 92° longitude 40° latitude
1-21-67	Echo II was going SE at 6:24 P.M. at 82° longitude 40° latitude
1-22-67	Echo I was going NE at 8:01 P.M. at 72° longitude 40° latitude
4-29-67	Pageos A was going SE at 7:32 P.M. at 78° longitude 40° latitude
6-21-67	Echo I was going NE at 10:54 P.M. at 70° longitude 40° latitude
6-29-67	Echo I was going NE at 9:41 P.M. at 90° longitude 40° latitude
7-5-67	Echo II was going SE at 10:02 P.M. at 66° longitude 40° latitude
7-5-67	Echo I was going SE at 10:52 P.M. at 56° longitude 40° latitude
7-6-67	Echo II was going SE at 10:56 P.M. at 81° longitude 40° latitude
7-13-67	Echo I was going SE at 9:26 P.M. at 91° longitude 40° latitude

TDET/UFO OFFICIAL FILE CY

3. The Echo satellites are 600 to 700 miles high and are quite bright. The Pageos A satellite is about 3600 miles high and although it is not as bright as the Echo satellites, it is visible to the naked eye.

4. When photographing these satellites Mr. [REDACTED] is bound to get just a point source of light. However, if he wishes to improve the quality of his picture he should try a time exposure.

5. We are returning Mr. [REDACTED]'s photo to you. Because of the poor quality, we did not feel that it was necessary to have any photo analysis done.

FOR THE COMMANDER

 JAMES C. MANATT, Colonel, USAF
Director of Technology and Subsystems

1 Atch
Photo

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
HEADQUARTERS 438th AIR BASE GROUP (MAC)
McGUIRE AIR FORCE BASE, NEW JERSEY 08641



REPLY TO
ATTN OF: G438(OBO)

Satellites

SUBJECT: UFO Observations

TO: Headquarters Foreign Technology
Division (Col James C. Manatt)
Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433

1. We are in receipt of two UFO letters and photos that may be of interest to you. Due to the dates of the observations and the areas covered we feel it is too late for an interview with the individuals concerned.

2. Mr. [REDACTED] was personally interviewed by Major Ross, approximately a year ago. Major Ross was then the Base Operations Officer. Mr. [REDACTED] sent photos he had taken at that time but were of the same quality as the photos inclosed so they were returned to him. There was no action taken at that time.

3. If you feel additional information is needed I shall be only too happy to help in any way possible.

FOR THE COMMANDER

Howard C. Deakyne, Jr.
HOWARD C. DEAKYNE, JR., LtColonel, USAF
Base Operations and Training Officer

2 Atch

1. Ltr, Mr. [REDACTED], 19 Sep 67
2. Photo, UFO

Sept 19, 1967

Base Operations Office

Lyndhurst, N.J.

McGuire AFB. N.J. ATT- U.F.O investigations.

Dear Sir -

Where does the light go when it goes out? Where do flying saucers go when they're not flying?

About one year ago this month I reported a U.F.O. that was stationary & then began to move at the time I was looking right at it. Since then I believe I have seen a number of these same objects on various nights.

I live very near New York City & being near the Newark, Teterboro - New York airports a moving object is not readily noticeable. I have a very open area here to observe & can see for many miles with no obstructions.

First of all why do they appear at night most of the time & always the same size & brilliance? I believe they are flying high enough to appear star-like as possible, to be noticed as little as possible. Also I now believe these objects fly very high, possibly thirty thousand feet, with a fluid like motion, effortless, height reduces effect of speed: noiseless & moving in a definite direction.

From the middle of July thru August the

(OVER)

II

weather here has been very overcast & at no time here I seen any of these objects in cloudy or rainy weather, I believe they fly above the clouds.

All of the sightings are "accidental" not planned watching, as there must have been many more I didn't see. In the last week ^{or so} since the weather cleared I have been watching at different times during the evening but have not seen any more.

On the nights of July 5, 6 & 13th I took a total of five pictures. When I had them developed they apparently thought the films were blank & did not mount them. I have attempted to do so on one & will enclose it with this letter. I have three more pictures on another roll not yet developed & will hold same until I hear from you. One side of the 3-D picture came out very good, the other did not come up as bright. I believe these are the matching pairs.

I will now attempt to describe the object I saw & the dates, time & direction.

#1 12/23/66 at 10⁵⁵ AM, I was returning to work an object about as large as a small orange, brilliant, stationary, low about 30° high. I watched it for about three minutes, while waiting for traffic to stop. I crossed

III

the street, the object was obscured by a building. I went to the rear of the building expecting to see the object but it was no longer visible.

- #2 1/9/67 Star-like object 9 To 9¹⁰ PM moving South-Eastward
#3 1/20/67 Cete II going SE, 709 PM, 92° long, 40° lat. To 7¹⁰ PM moving South-Eastward
#4 1/21/67 Cete II going SE, 624 PM, 82° long, 50° lat. To 6²⁵ PM moving Southward
#5 1/22/67 Cete I going NE, 801 PM, 72° long, 40° lat. To 8¹⁰ PM moving North-Eastward

#6 4/15/67 (APPROX) Lost my notes on this the most important observation to date - object apparent diameter of the moon 8", Brilliant bright white object, approached from my right as I was driving to work between 4⁵⁰ - 5 AM, It then crossed the street ahead of me going in a northerly direction but to my surprise I realized I was catching up to it. It had not turned & when I got to the intersection about four blocks from where I had first noticed object, it was overhead! I got out of the car & looked up expecting it to be still going northerly but as I looked up it then began to move westward, still the same size, could not see any fuselage, wings or usual lights, except a red pulsating light large in comparison to size of object in the rear as it moved westward, noiseless. (OVER)

IV

Continuing with my observations.

- #7 4/29/67 ^{Page A at 7:32 PM at 78° long 40° lat going SE} Star like object 7:40 To 7:55 PM moving Eastward
- #8 6/21/67 Ck I going NE at 10:54 PM ^{71° long 40° lat} 11:20 To 11:10 PM " Northeast
- #9 6/29/67 Ck I going NE at 9:41 PM ^{90° long 40° lat} 9:50 To 10:00 PM " Northeast
- #10 7/5/67 Ck II going SE at 10:02 PM ^{66° long 40° lat} 10:20 To 10:15 PM " Southward ^(TOOK PICTURE)
- #11 7/5/67 Ck I going SE at 10:52 PM ^{56° long 40° lat} 11:05 To 11:20 PM " Eastward ^(TOOK PICTURE)
- #12 7/6/67 Ck II going SE at 10:56 PM ^{81° long 40° lat} 11:05 To 11:15 PM " Southeast ^(TOOK PICTURE)
- #13 7/13/67 " Ck I at 9:26 at 40° lat 91° long 9:30 To 9:40 PM " Southeast ^(TOOK PICTURE)
- #14 7/13/67 " " 9:50 To 10:00 PM " Southward ^(TOOK PICTURE)

Summing everything up I believe these objects are extra terrestrial & not natural phenomena. It couldn't happen so often in one area in such a short time. They are not planes, satellites, or meteorites.

I also believe the Air Force & the Government attach importance to these observations. I only hope I am right in what I have seen.

I have watched all of these objects with mine power binoculars & they still do not seem to be much larger.

Awaiting your reply. I remain.

~~XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX~~
~~XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX~~

Lyndhurst, N.J.

Bergen Co. 07071

G436(OBO)

UFO Observations

Headquarters Foreign Technology
Division (Col James C. Manatt)
Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433

1. We are in receipt of two UFO letters and photos that may be of interest to you. Due to the dates of the observations and the areas covered we feel it is too late for an interview with the individuals concerned.
2. Mr. [REDACTED] was personally interviewed by Major Ross, approximately a year ago. Major Ross was then the Base Operations Officer. Mr. [REDACTED] sent photos he had taken at that time but were of the same quality as the photos inclosed so they were returned to him. There was no action taken at that time.
3. If you feel additional information is needed I shall be only too happy to help in any way possible.

FOR THE COMMANDER

HOWARD C DEAKYNE, JR., LtColonel, USAF
Base Operations and Training Officer

2 Atch

1. Ltr, [REDACTED] 19 Sep 67
2. Photo, UFO