

PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 11 Mar 67 11/2030Z	2. LOCATION Torrance, California 1
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION CONFLICTING DATA — PHOTO CONFLICTING DATA — CASE See 2Tr. To Observer 6Ct.
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS 1	
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 1 minute	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS Observer stated that the object appeared to be a solid and looked like a light. Edges of the object were sharply outlined. The observer stated that the object had a low whining sound. Color: cherry red and bright silver.
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground Visual	
7. COURSE NE - SE	
8. PHOTOS ☒ Yes ☐ No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE ☐ Yes ☒ No	

FORM

FTD SEP 63 0-329 (TDE) Previous editions of this form may be used.

Torrance, Calif.
90503

- VISUAL CONTACT -

4/19/67

Commanding Officer
Project Blue Book
ATTN: Wright-Patterson A.F.B.
Dayton, Ohio

Served (5500)
Maj Drury
Headquarters
6592nd Support
Group (AFSC)
AF Unit Post
Office, Los
Angeles
Calif
9004

Sir:

I have just finished the book "Letters to the Air Force" so I thought I'd tell you of my experience, which was revealed in the Daily Breeze Newspaper on March 11, 1967. (Here enclosed) The picture in the paper is not too good, but you do have the two pictures that I sent to Major Drury in L.A., who in turn sent them to you. These are the two pictures that we took, that are in your possession. Please read on:

On March 10 my 3 companions (brother-Al and 2 friends - Donny & Ricky from down the street) and I went to the Carl Steele Playground to snap some pictures of ourselves for a book we are making. (nobody was on the grounds, because it was Saturday. We took about 8 pictures of ourselves, when suddenly, Ricky said, "Look, a flying saucer." He was joking. He really didn't know what it was. When, by the time all 4 of us were looking at it he said: "It is a flying saucer." He had the camera at the time, so he took a picture of it as it was going from North to South. At first we reported it was not hovering, but we were so excited, we didn't know half of what we were saying. Anyway, I seemed to drop down and came toward him, as we were scared, but Rick took the first picture of it. Then it started to "chug-chug" along at a normal

al saw
it, and
then Ricky
remarked
"it's a
flying saucer"

rate of speed (we can't tell exactly) and when it was
farther out, Rick snapped another picture of
it (the one that appears in the enclosed
paper article). Then it disappeared - now we're not
sure if it just vanished or if it went into a
cloud. Then we went home but nobody would
believe us! (We could have had instant proof
if we had a polaroid, but we had a Brownie
Hawkeye.) So we went over to my friend who
developed the pictures for us and then
of course, we saw the same thing we
saw in the sky and believe me, it
wasn't a frigate (a toy), a blimp (it had
no gondolas), a plane (no wings) or
anything else like bees, vultures, or
"ionized particles" described in the book
I mentioned. It was either an
experimental thing from the A.F. or a flying
saucer from "outer space." So I want to
ask you if you found out in the pictures
you ~~took~~ are examining (our saucer
was silver-glowing silver, and then it
also turned cherry red.)
So would you please me a copy of your
"Blue Book", please, and some info
on the person's story who claimed the
the saucers were from 79 people from
Egypt. Please send me these quickly.
Thanks so much. Now and ever,

~~XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX~~

U.S. AIR FORCE TECHNICAL INFORMATION

This questionnaire has been prepared so that you can give the U.S. Air Force as much information as possible concerning the unidentified aerial phenomenon that you have observed. Please try to answer as many questions as you possibly can. The information that you give will be used for research purposes. Your name will not be used in connection with any statements, conclusions, or publications without your permission. We request this personal information so that if it is deemed necessary, we may contact you for further details.

1. When did you see the object?

11 MARCH 1967
Day Month Year

2. Time of day:

12 30
Hour Minutes
NOON
(Circle One): A.M. or P.M.

3. Time Zone:

(Circle One): a. Eastern
b. Central
c. Mountain
d. Pacific
e. Other

(Circle One): a. Daylight Saving
b. Standard

4. Where were you when you saw the object?

Nearest Postal Address

Torrance
City or Town

Calif. 90503
State or County

5. How long was object in sight? (Total Duration)

1
Hours Minutes Seconds

a. Certain

b. Fairly certain

c. Not very sure

d. Just a guess

5.1 How was time in sight determined?

we estimated

5.2 Was object in sight continuously?

Yes X No

6. What was the condition of the sky?

DAY

a. Bright

b. Cloudy

NIGHT

a. Bright

b. Cloudy

7. IF you saw the object during DAYLIGHT, where was the SUN located as you looked at the object?

(Circle One): a. In front of you
b. In back of you
c. To your right

d. To your left
e. Overhead
f. Don't remember

8. IF you saw the object at NIGHT, what did you notice concerning the STARS and MOON?

8.1 STARS (Circle One):

- a. None
- b. A few
- c. Many
- d. Don't remember

8.2 MOON (Circle One):

- a. Bright moonlight
- b. Dull moonlight
- c. No moonlight - pitch dark
- d. Don't remember

9. What were the weather conditions at the time you saw the object?

CLOUDS (Circle One):

- a. Clear sky
- b. Hazy
- c. Scattered clouds
- d. Thick or heavy clouds

WEATHER (Circle One):

- a. Dry *almost raining*
- b. Fog, mist, or light rain
- c. Moderate or heavy rain
- d. Snow
- e. Don't remember

10. The object appeared: (Circle One).

- a. Solid *and*
- b. Transparent
- c. Vapor
- d. As a light
- e. Don't remember

11. If it appeared as a light, was it brighter than the brightest stars? (Circle One):

- a. Brighter
- b. Dimmer
- c. About the same
- d. Don't know

11.1 Compare brightness to some common object:

150 watt bulb.

12. The edges of the object were:

- (Circle One)
- a. Fuzzy or blurred
 - b. Like a bright star
 - c. Sharply outlined
 - d. Don't remember

e. Other _____

13. Did the object:

(Circle One for each question)

- a. Appear to stand still at any time?
- b. Suddenly speed up and rush away at any time?
- c. Break up into parts or explode?
- d. Give off smoke?
- e. Change brightness?
- f. Change shape?
- g. Flash or flicker?
- h. Disappear and reappear?

Yes

No

Don't know

Yes

No

Don't know

Yes

No

Don't know

Yes

No

Don't know

Yes

No

Don't know

Yes

No

Don't know

Yes

No

Don't know

Yes

No

Don't know

20. Do you think you can estimate the speed of the object?

(Circle One)

☒ Yes

☐ No

IF you answered YES, then what speed would you estimate?

200 M.P.H.

21. Do you think you can estimate how far away from you the object was?

(Circle One)

☒ Yes

☐ No

IF you answered YES, then how far away would you say it was?

maybe 1/2 mile

22. Where were you located when you saw the object?

(Circle One):

a. Inside a building

b. In a car

☒ c. Outdoors

d. In an airplane (type)

e. At sea

f. Other _____

23. Were you (Circle One)

a. In the business section of a city?

☒ b. In the residential section of a city?

c. In open countryside?

d. Near an airfield?

e. Flying over a city?

f. Flying over open country?

g. Other _____

24. IF you were MOVING IN AN AUTOMOBILE or other vehicle at the time, then complete the following questions:

24.1 What direction were you moving? (Circle One)

a. North

c. East

e. South

g. West

b. Northeast

d. Southeast

f. Southwest

h. Northwest

24.2 How fast were you moving? _____ miles per hour.

24.3 Did you stop at any time while you were looking at the object?

(Circle One)

☐ Yes

☐ No

25. Did you observe the object through any of the following?

a. Eyeglasses

Yes

No

e. Binoculars

Yes

No

b. Sun glasses

Yes

No

f. Telescope

Yes

No

c. Windshield

Yes

No

g. Theodolite

Yes

No

d. Window glass

Yes

No

h. Other

Camera

26. In order that you can give as clear a picture as possible of what you saw, describe in your own words a common object or objects which, when placed up in the sky, would give the same appearance as the object which you saw.

a toy frisbee, sold at any toy store, looks almost like the object we saw, except for the strip in the middle and the bright red + silver glowing.

14. Did the object disappear while you were watching it? If so, how?

No!

15. Did the object move behind something at any time, particularly a cloud?

(Circle One):

Yes

☒ No

Don't Know.

IF you answered YES, then tell what

it moved behind:

However, it did finally disappear into a cloud when we last saw it.

16. Did the object move in front of something at any time, particularly a cloud?

(Circle One):

☒ Yes

No

Don't Know.

IF you answered YES, then tell what

in front of:

it was in front of the clouds all the time.

17. Tell in a few words the following things about the object:

a. Sound

low, whining hum

b. Color


Cherry red & bright silver.

18. We wish to know the angular size. Hold a match stick at arm's length in line with a known object and note how much of the object is covered by the head of the match. If you had performed this experiment at the time of the sighting, how much of the object would have been covered by the match head?



about 1/3 of the object.

19. Draw a picture that will show the shape of the object or objects. Label and include in your sketch any details of the object that you saw such as wings, protrusions, etc., and especially exhaust trails or vapor trails.


Place an arrow beside the drawing to show the direction the object was moving.



27. In the following sketch, imagine that you are at the point shown. Place an "A" on the curved line to show how high the object was above the horizon (skyline) when you *first* saw it. Place a "B" on the same curved line to show how high the object was above the horizon (skyline) when you *last* saw it. Place an "A" on the compass when you *first* saw it. Place a "B" on the compass where you *last* saw the object.



28. Draw a picture that will show the motion that the object or objects made. Place an "A" at the beginning of the path, a "B" at the end of the path, and show any changes in direction during the course.



29. IF there was MORE THAN ONE object, then how many were there? _____
Draw a picture of how they were arranged, and put an arrow to show the direction that they were traveling.

30. Have you ever seen this, or a similar object before. If so give date or dates and location.

No.

31. Was anyone else with you at the time you saw the object? (Circle One)

Yes

No

31.1 IF you answered YES, did they see the object too? (Circle One)

Yes

No

31.2 Please list their names and addresses:

My brother, [redacted] Torrance, Calif. 90503
Two brothers: [redacted] St. Torrance, Calif. 90503

32. Please give the following information about yourself:

NAME

[redacted]
Last Name

[redacted]
First Name

[redacted]
Middle Name

ADDRESS

[redacted]
Street

Torrance
City

[redacted]
Zone

Calif. 90503
State

TELEPHONE NUMBER

[redacted]

AGE *15 1/2*

SEX

male

Indicate any additional information about yourself, including any special experience, which might be pertinent.

33. When and to whom did you report that you had seen the object?

11

Day

5

Month

1967

Year

*We reported it to the
air Force in L.A. + to
the Daily Breeze in Torrance.*

34. Date you completed this questionnaire:

23

Day

5

Month

1967

Year

35. Information which you feel pertinent and which is not adequately covered in the specific points of the questionnaire or a narrative explanation of your sighting.

There is no doubt about it, that what we saw was either an experimental vehicle, a spy vehicle, or an outer space ship. we took 2 pictures of the object. the 2 original negatives are ^{not} enclosed. (~~we would like them back~~) we cannot be told the object was a plane, a helicopter, weather balloon, meteor or anything else, mainly because of the 2 glowing colors, red & silver. The colors even alternated. when the center and the outer portion of the ship was red, the stripe in the middle was silver. the the stripe would turn red and the other 2 portions would turn silver I did this about 3-4 times. Here is the information you wanted:

- A. TYPE & MAKE OF CAMERA: BROWNIE "HAWKEYE" - KODAK
- B. TYPE, FOCAL LENGTH, & MAKE OF lens: KODAK, UNIVERSAL FOCUS, UNIVERSAL
- C. BRAND & TYPE OF film: KODAK VER. PAN
- D. LENS OPENING USED; that is, "f" stop: "f" 8
- E. SHUTTER SPEED USED: 1/60
- F. FILTERS USED: NONE
- G. WAS TRIPOD OR SOLID STAND USED: NO
- H. WAS "PANNING" USED: NO
- I. EXACT DIRECTION camera was pointing with relation to true North, and its angle with respect to the ground:

We would like you to send out someone! Thank
beside the man from N. I. C. A. D. you

May 1944
file

1. IDENTIFICATION OF OBJECT(S)

- a. Name [REDACTED]
b. Address [REDACTED] TORRANCE
c. Phone no. [REDACTED] Age 15 Occupation STUDENT
d. For Military Only: Grade _____ Duty _____ Organ. _____

2. DESCRIPTION OF THE OBJECTS:

- a. Shape OVAL
b. Size 15 FT
c. Color WHITE GLOW
d. Number 1 Formation, if more than one. _____
e. Discernible features or details NONE
f. Trail or exhaust and size NONE
g. Sound LOW WHINDING
h. Other pertinent or unusual features NO

3. DESCRIPTION OF COURSE OF OBJECT(S):

- a. What called your attention to the object? JUST HAPPEN
TO SEE IT
b. Elevation & heading when first seen 1/2 MILE NORTH TO SOUTH
c. Elevation & heading upon disappearance N. TO S.
d. Manuevers, if any NONE
e. How did they disappear? (instantaneously to the North, etc) 1
WENT INTO A CLOUD
f. How long were they visible? 30 SEC TO 1 MIN

4. MANNER OF OBSERVATION:

- a. Statement as to optical aids, telescopes etc. NONE

11 Mar 67
Torrance, Calif.
11/2030Z
11 March 67
Conflicting Data

OCT 6 1967

TDET/UFO (Maj Quintanilla/70916/mhs/30 Sep 67)

UFO Observation & Photographs March 11, 1967

Mr. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Torrance, California 90503

1. Reference your unidentified observation of March 11, 1967. Our letters of May 19 and July 14, 1967 requested your original negatives, however, in July you apparently forwarded us copies of the original negatives.

2. In your correspondence on your March 11 sighting you stated that the unidentified object was photographed with a Brownie Hawkeye camera and Verichrome Panchromatic film. This camera uses size 127 film.

3. Photo Analysis revealed the following discrepancies regarding your negatives.

a. Verichrome Panchromatic film is a black and white film and one of the transparencies is color.

b. The color transparency received was cut from a larger piece of film and probably was not cut from a 127 film size roll. This was ascertained from microscopic examination of the transparency edges. Only one side was cut by a precision tool. Had this transparency been cut from a 127 film roll; two sides should have revealed precision cutting.

c. The format size of the two transparencies received do not agree with ASA standards for 127 film size as is used in the Brownie Hawkeye camera.

d. The black and white transparency is probably an enlargement. This was determined by examination of "grain size" in the background of the photograph.

4. Because of the above discrepancies we are currently filing your case as "Conflicting Data."

TDET/UFO OFFICIAL FILE

write
comment
sect.

Sorry, ~~I~~ have been
 advised by both ~~my~~
 friends - David Christ of
 N. I. C. A. P. and ~~Christ~~
 Chris Presley - not to
 hand over the negatives,
 lest something happen
 to them ^{put their signatures on by mine} if you can
 convince both of them
 to tell ~~me~~ to hand over
 the negatives, then
 have both contact ~~me~~
 and ~~I~~ will mail
 them to you. Thank you

Chris Presley

5. DATE AND TIME OF SIGHTING.

- a. Zulu time-date group of sighting. ABOUT 1100Z
- b. Light conditions, Night, Day, Dawn or Dusk. DAY
- c. Location of observer(s) INSIDE SCHOOL
- d. Weather conditions CLOUDY

6. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE:

- a. Photographic (Still or Motion pictures) STILL
- b. Type & make of camera KODIAK
- c. Type, focal length, and make of lens UNIVERSAL FOCUS
- d. Brand & type of film VERDIA CHROME 127
- e. Shutter speed used 1/60
- f. Lens opening used ("f" stop) F 8
- g. Filters used NO
- h. Was tripod or solid stand used NO
- i. Was "panning" used NO
- j. Direction camera was pointing with relation to true North and its angle with respect to the ground. UNK
- k. Would you be willing to let the AF have the pictures and/or negatives? YES

7. DUTY CONTROLLER INFORMATION;

- a. Controllers name and grade JAMES L. HILT S/S
- b. Date-time of this report 12/0100Z

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
HEADQUARTERS 6592ND SUPPORT GROUP (AFSC)
AF UNIT POST OFFICE, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90045



REPLY TO
ATTN OF: SSQO/Maj Drury/31747


6 April 1967

SUBJECT: Unidentified Flying Objects

TO: Foreign Technology Division (TDETR)
Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433

The enclosed report, pictures and newspaper clipping are forwarded
for your information and action.

FOR THE COMMANDER


JOHN P. YOUNGER, Lt Col, USAF
Chief, Operations Division

- 3 Encl
1. Report (UFO)
2. Pictures
3. Newspaper Clipping

2 Brothers Photograph UFO

By DON LECHMAN
Daily Breeze Staff Writer

Four Torrance boys went out to play Saturday afternoon but ended in the Twilight Zone.

The lads were convinced they saw a flying saucer — but no one would believe them.

The entire affair seemed "real weird" to them, because they knew they saw something but couldn't convince anyone else.

"It was another world — real strange — like something you see on television," one boy said.

But the youngsters may have the last laugh. It turned out they had proof of some kind of unidentified flying object in their little box camera.


"We know we saw something, and now we have the proof to show our parents," Rick Gerety, 13, of 5037 Deelane St., said.

Rick photographed the object about 1 p.m. near Carl Steele School, 19300 Inglewood Ave. He was with his brother Dan, 14, and two friends Bob, 15, and Al Howard, 13, both of 5049 Deelane St.

"We ran back through the neighborhood to tell everyone, but no one would listen," Bob said.

"We went to my house and then to Bob's parents, but they just said, 'Don't bug me,'" Rick said.

"But then we went over to another friend's house (Mi-



Hockey Puck or Flying Saucer?

Four Torrance youngsters spotted this object in the sky over Torrance Saturday afternoon. Rick Gerety, 13, of 5037 Deelane St., snapped the photo. However, the boys had trouble convincing anyone of their discovery.

chael Ruggera, 15, of 3916 W. 230th St., Torrance) and, he developed the negatives for us and we could see the object."

Then the boys came to the Daily Breeze to reveal their exploits. After they received the above print of their efforts, they called home again.

"But my Dad still wouldn't believe me," Rick said.

The two younger boys are students at St. James School in Redondo Beach, and the other two attend Bishop Montgomery High School in Torrance.

The lads said they were on the school grounds taking pictures when Al spotted something in the sky.

"I looked up there and jokingly said it was a flying saucer," Rick said. "But then I looked again and said 'It is a flying saucer.'"

"It was traveling north to south in a straight line and I got a picture of it right before it went into a cloud."

"Yeah," Bob agreed excitedly. "It was silver and glowed. The thing was oval-shaped and made kind of a low whining noise before it disappeared."

"Then we ran to tell everyone."

Rick said that after the film was developed he called the Air Force and then the Daily Breeze.

"The Air Force just told us to hold on to our pictures, and they would send someone out to look at them Monday," Rick said.

"But I don't think they even took us seriously."

"Do you believe that it's a flying saucer?"

Torrance Police said no calls were received Saturday of UFOs in the area.

2 Brothers Photograph UFO

MARCH 10, 1967

By DON LECHMAN

Daily Breeze Staff Writer

Four Torrance boys went out to play Saturday afternoon but ended in the Twilight Zone.

The lads were convinced they saw a flying saucer — but no one would believe them.

The entire affair seemed "real weird" to them, because they knew they saw something but couldn't convince anyone else.

"It was another world — real strange — like something you see on television," one boy said.

But the youngsters may have the last laugh. It turned out they had proof of some kind of unidentified flying object in their little box camera.


"We know we saw something, and now we have the proof to show our parents," Rick Gerety, 13, of 5037 Deelane St., said.

Rick photographed the object about 1 p.m. near Carl Steele School, 19300 Inglewood Ave. He was with his brother Dan, 14, and two friends Bob, 15, and Al Howard, 13, both of 5049 Deelane St.

"We ran back through the neighborhood to tell everyone, but no one would listen," Bob said.

"We went to my house and then to Bob's parents, but they just said, 'Don't bug me,'" Rick said.

"But then we went over to another friend's house (Mi-



Hockey Puck or Flying Saucer?

Four Torrance youngsters spotted this object in the sky over Torrance Saturday afternoon. Rick Gerety, 13, of 5037 Deelane St., snapped the photo. However, the boys had trouble convincing anyone of their discovery.

chael Ruggera, 15, of 3916 W. 230th St., Torrance) and he developed the negatives for us and we could see the object."

Then the boys came to the Daily Breeze to reveal their exploits. After they received the above print of their efforts, they called home again.

"But my Dad still wouldn't believe me," Rick said.

The two younger boys are students at St. James School in Redondo Beach, and the other two attend Bishop Montgomery High School in Torrance.

The lads said they were on the school grounds taking pictures when Al spotted something in the sky.

"I looked up there and jokingly said it was a flying saucer," Rick said. "But then I looked again and said 'It is a flying saucer.'"

"It was traveling north to south in a straight line and I got a picture of it right before it went into a cloud."

"Yeah," Bob agreed excitedly. "It was silver and glowed. The thing was oval-shaped and made kind of a low whining noise before it disappeared."

"Then we ran to tell everyone."

Rick said that after the film was developed he called the Air Force and then the Daily Breeze.

"The Air Force just told us to hold on to our pictures, and they would send someone out to look at them Monday," Rick said.

"But I don't think they even took us seriously."

"Do you believe that it's a flying saucer?"

Torrance Police said no calls were received Saturday of UFOs in the area.

~~Redondo Beach, Calif.~~
~~Redondo Beach, Calif.~~
Torrance, Calif. 90503



Commanding Officer
Project Blue Book
ATIC, Wright-Patterson A.F.B.
Dayton, Ohio

~~_____~~
~~_____~~
Torrance, Calif. 90503



Major Hector Quintanilla, Jr.

P. B. B.

S. A. F. O. I.

Washington, D.C.


20330


this case includes

Seven (7) 8x10 B & W PHOTOS

TWO (2) 3x4 B & W Negatives


TWO (2) 1x2 B & W Negatives





5. It is extremely doubtful that these are the original negatives. However, if you forward us the original negatives and complete the attached photographic data sheet we will be glad to review the case.

6. Inclosed are the two negatives that you sent us and also a black and white enlargement of each.

 JAMES C. MANATT, Colonel, USAF
Director of Technology and Subsystems

2 Atchs

1. Two negatives
2. Photo Data Sheet

FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION, AFSC

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED



FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION, AFSC

UNCLASSIFIED



UNCLASSIFIED

FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION, AFSC

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED





11 March 67
Torrance, Calif.

Picture taken on

Saturday, March 11, 1967

of U.F.O.

It is reddish-white glow.

Spotted by - [REDACTED]

Photographed by - [REDACTED]

Reviewed by - OUR GANG - [REDACTED]

FTD WORK ORDER

Date Issued _____

1. Description of Work: (Include title of unscheduled tasks.)

Request photo analysis be accomplished on the two attached slides to determine what the unidentified objects may be.

11 March 1967 sighting, Torrance, California.

Request all material be returned upon completion of analysis.

fluid. JH
A "secret character" type of investigation of boy would be necessary to clear this case up. JH

-FROM-

2. FTD W.O. No.

3. Monitor

4. Phones

5. DIA Task No.

6. DIA Priority

7. Date Needed

8. Consequence Code

9. Classification of Sources

10. Classification of End Product

11. PPTD or Dep Sig.

-TO-

12. Div./Dir. Symbol

13. M-H Estimate

14. Monitor

15. Phones

16. Div. Precedence

17. Div. Chief/Dir. Sig.

18. Div. Chiefs Instructions/Modification or Expansion of Work Description

67-109
7 Aug 67

Conflicting Data

pending dup of photos
11 Mar. 67

PHOTO ANALYSIS REPORT

NR 67-65

PAGE 1 OF 2 PAGES

DATE OF REPORT 30 August 1967

SUBJECT UNIDENTIFIED OBJECT

LOCATION TORRANCE, CALIFORNIA

DATE 11 March 1967

PHOTOGRAPHY

AF

IR

QUALITY

P NRS Two transparencies

1. PURPOSE: This report answers a request (Work Order Number 67-109) submitted by Major H. Quintanilla (TDET/UF) for an analysis of an unidentified object seen on two photo transparencies. The transparencies were sent in by Robert R. Howard, 5049 Deelane Street, Torrance, California.

2. ANALYSIS: The two transparencies submitted by ~~██████████~~ were accompanied by a hand written note stating that he was not sure if they were, in fact, the original negatives. Earlier correspondence with Robert Howard which includes completed FTD questionnaires states that the unidentified object was photographed with a Brownie Hawkeye camera and Verichrome Panchromatic film was used. This camera uses size 127 film.

3. A photo processing expert's examination of the two transparencies reveals that the objects seen are true photo images. It is doubtful, however, that the photographs received are the original negatives because of the following reasons.

a. Verichrome Panchromatic film is a black and white film and one of the transparencies is color.

b. The color transparency received was cut from a larger piece of film and probably was not cut from a 127 film size roll. This was ascertained from microscopic examination of the transparency edges. Only one side was cut by a precision tool. Had this transparency been cut from a 127 film roll; two sides should have revealed precision cutting.

c. The format size of the two transparencies do not agree with ASA standards for 127 film size as is used in the Brownie Hawkeye camera.

d. The black and white transparency received is probably an enlargement. This was determined by examination of "grain size" in the background of the photograph.

PHOTO ANALYSIS REPORT NO. 67-65, DATED 30 August 1967

4. Further photo analysis is not possible because it cannot be positively established that the transparencies are the original negatives and no photo scale factor can be determined for the two transparencies received.

PHOTO ANALYSIS BY:

Thomas J. Hebert
THOMAS J. HEBERT
Intelligence Research Specialist

APPROVED BY:

William L. Turner
WILLIAM L. TURNER
Major, USAF
Chief, Photo Analysis Branch

Wilber Price, Jr.
WILBER PRICE, JR.
Chief, Photo Exploitation Division

Robert Handcock
5049 Darlane St.
Torrance, Calif.
90505

— Assignments

Class

Date

Major Quintanilla, Jr.

P. B. B.

S. A. F. O. I.

Washington, Dc, 20330

Dear Sir:

Class

Date

At Wright - Patterson, you
have two pictures of a saucer
& took. I would like, please,
2 things from you, sir:

- ① What do you think of my pictures?
- ② May I have a copy of "Blue Book" and any addition info on

Class 4. F. O. I's, please. Date

I hope you,

~~Robert Handcock~~

SEND TO
PHOTO ANALYSIS

TDET/UFO (Maj Quintanilla/13 Jul 67/mhs)

14 July 1967

UFO Sighting and Photographs

Mr. [REDACTED]

Torrance, California 90503

1. In order for our photo experts to undertake a comprehensive analysis they need to review the original negatives. This is a part of any detailed photo exploitation.
2. If you should ever decide to comply with our request, I want to assure you that your negatives will be returned.

 HERBERT S. HOLDSAMBECK, Colonel, USAF
Acting Director of Technology and Subsystems

TDET/UFO OFFICIAL FILE CY


PENDING

10 MAR 67
TORRANCE, CALIF.

TDET/UFO (Maj Quintanilla/70916/mhs)

19 May 1967

UFO Sighting and Photograph


Torrance, California 90503

1. Reference your recent unidentified observation and subsequent photograph. The information which we have received is not sufficient for a detailed investigation. Request you complete the inclosed FTD Form 164 and resubmit the information for analysis.
2. Air Force photographic experts would appreciate the opportunity to review the original negatives. If you will return the questionnaire along with the original negative, a detailed investigation will follow. Upon completion of analysis we will return your negative along with our findings.
3. Please include the following information with your negative.
 - a. Type and make of camera.
 - b. Type, focal length, and make of lens.
 - c. Brand and type of film.
 - d. Lens opening used; that is, "f" stop.
 - e. Shutter speed used.
 - f. Filters used.
 - g. Was tripod or solid stand used.
 - h. Was "panning" used.
 - i. Exact direction camera was pointing with relation to true North, and its angle with respect to the ground.
4. If supplemental information is unobtainable, the minimum camera data required are the type of camera, and the smallest and largest "f" stop and shutter speed readings of the camera.


JAMES C. MANATT, Colonel, USAF
Director of Technology and Subsystems

1 Atch
FTD Form 164 w/envelope

TDET/UFO OFFICIAL FILE CY