

PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 7 Jul 67 07/1800Z	2. LOCATION Appleton, Wisconsin (1 Witness)
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION Sighting: Other (HOAX) Photos: Other (SMALL MAN MADE OBJECT) Photo Analysis determined the object to be 1 - 15 ft in diameter and approx 15 ft away.
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS One	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS The observer sighted a yellow object that he said looked like "putting two bolts together". The object stopped and hovered and the observer managed to photograph it. He estimated its diameter to be 100 - 200 ft and stated it was lower than an inflight aircraft.
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION 5 - 10 Seconds	
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground-Visual	
7. COURSE W	
8. PHOTOS ☒ Yes No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE ☐ Yes ☒ No	

22. HAVE YOU EVER SEEN THIS OR A SIMILAR PHENOMENON BEFORE? ☐ YES ☒ NO. IF "YES," GIVE DATE AND LOCATION.

23. WAS ANYONE WITH YOU AT THE TIME YOU SAW THE PHENOMENON? ☐ YES ☒ NO. IF "YES," DID THEY SEE IT TOO? ☐ YES ☐ NO.

A. LIST THEIR NAMES AND ADDRESSES

I want to call my parents or any body in the house. But I said to myself and thought I better get a picture of it before it's too late. I was likely would have never had a chance like that again.

24. GIVE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION ABOUT YOURSELF

LAST NAME / FIRST NAME

AD [REDACTED] City/State

[REDACTED] (name and number)

AGE

15

☒ MALE

☐ FEMALE

INDICATE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION INCLUDING OCCUPATION AND ANY EXPERIENCE WHICH MAY BE PERTINENT.

25. WHEN AND TO WHOM DID YOU REPORT THAT YOU HAD SIGHTED THIS PHENOMENON?

NAME *to a Police Officer* DAY _____ MONTH *July* YEAR *1967*

26. DATE YOU COMPLETED THIS QUESTIONNAIRE.

DAY _____ MONTH _____ YEAR *1968*

the exact day I'm not sure on.

27. INFORMATION WHICH YOU FEEL IS PERTINENT BUT WHICH IS NOT ADEQUATELY COVERED IN THIS QUESTIONNAIRE, ALTERNATIVELY PROVIDE A NARRATIVE EXPLANATION OF THE SIGHTING.

Dear Sir,

Could I please have another form for myself? I would like to keep one and fill it out.

I'm very interested in UFO's and I make it my hobby. I hope I could have been to some help on this form and the picture.

I have two books on Flying Saucers right now, one on the Vols Report on Flying Saucers and What we really know about Flying Saucers.

Thank you
very much

[REDACTED]

PS. Please send a form

to [REDACTED]

Appleton, Wisconsin

Because He has 54911
had several sightings + 2 colored Pictures.

PHOTOGRAPHIC DATA

1. Type and make of camera *Argoflex 75*
2. Type, focal length, and make of lens *universal focus lens & optical glass portrait lenses*
3. Brand and type of film *Kodak 620*
4. Shutter speed used *for Time or Instantaneous. Inst is for taking pictures out doors, Time Indoors.*
5. Lens opening used; that is, "f" stop *universal focus lens*
6. Filters used *NO*
7. Was tripod or solid stand used *NO*
8. Was "panning" used *YES*
9. Exact direction camera was pointing with relation to true North, and its angle with respect to the ground.
10. If supplemental information is unobtainable, the minimum camera data required are the type of camera, and the smallest and largest "f" stop and shutter speed readings of the camera.

Argoflex 75 is also double exposure prevention. This means you cannot accidentally take two pictures on the same film. Also Argoflex 75 may be used with Argus Optical glass filter. Argus adapter ring size 28.5 mm also 30 mm & up.

7 July 67

19 FEB 1968

TDPT (UFO) Maj Quintanilla/70916/mhs/17 Feb 68

UFO Observation & Photographs, 7 July 1967

[REDACTED]
Appleton, Wisconsin 54911

1. Reference your unidentified flying object (UFO) observation of 7 July 1967 and subsequent photographs. On 17 October 1967, we requested that you complete an Air Force reporting form on your observation; to date, we have not received it. We are inclosing our new questionnaire, AF Form 117, and another photographic data sheet, in the hopes that you will complete and return the forms to our office. We cannot properly evaluate your sighting without this additional information.

2. Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

①
ECTOR QUINTANILLA, Jr, Major, USAF
Chief, Aerial Phenomena Office
Aerospace Technologies Division
Production Directorate

2 Atchs

1. AF Form 117 w/envelope
2. Photo Data Sheet

TDPT (UFO) OFFICIAL FILE CY

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
HEADQUARTERS FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION (AFSC)
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO 45433



REPLY TO
ATTN OF:

TDPT (UFO)

SUBJECT:

UFO Observation & Photographs, 7 July 1967

10 FEB 1968

[REDACTED]
Appleton, Wisconsin 54911

1. Reference your unidentified flying object (UFO) observation of 7 July 1967 and subsequent photographs. On 17 October 1967, we requested that you complete an Air Force reporting form on your observation; to date, we have not received it. We are inclosing our new questionnaire, AF Form 117, and another photographic data sheet, in the hopes that you will complete and return the forms to our office. We cannot properly evaluate your sighting without this additional information.

2. Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Hector Quintanilla Jr.
HECTOR QUINTANILLA, Jr., Major, USAF
Chief, Aerial Phenomena Office
Aerospace Technologies Division
Production Directorate

2 Atchs
1. AF Form 117 w/envelope
2. Photo Data Sheet

Dear Sirs,

*I'm sorry but somehow
I did not receive that form,
but will be happy to fill this one.
Thank you.*

TDDET/UFO OFFICIAL FILE CY

photo

photo

7 Jul 67

OCT 17 1967

TDDET/UFO (Maj. Quintanilla/70916/jaf/13 Oct 67)

UFO Observation of 7 July 1967

[REDACTED]

Appleton, Wisconsin 54911

1. We need additional info regarding your 7 July 1967 sighting. Would you please complete the inclosed FTD Form 164 and photo-data sheet and return them to this office in the inclosed envelope.
2. After photo-analysis has been completed on your sighting, your print, negative, and an 8 X 10 enlargement will be returned to you. Request reason why negative was cut along the edge where the UFO appears.
3. Thank you for reporting your sighting to the Air Force.

[Signature]
JAMES C. MANATT, Colonel, USAF
Director of Technology and Subsystems

- 2 Atchs
1. FTD Form 164
 2. Photo Sheet

Send to Photo Analysis

7 July 67

TDPT (UFO) Lt Col Quintanilla/70916/mhs/14 Jun 68

UFO Observation & Photographs, 7 July 1967

[REDACTED]
Appleton, Wisconsin 54911

1. Reference your unidentified observation and subsequent photographs of 7 July 1967. Photo analysis has been accomplished with the following results:

a. Examination reveals that the "unidentified object" is partially visible along the left margin of the negative, and that the imagery is not the result of double exposure or retouching. The object is not visible in its entirety due to the fact that about 1/5 inch has been cropped from the left margin of the negative. The object appears to be symmetrical and it therefore could be assumed that about 80 percent of its imagery remains. Also imaged is a TV antenna which extends from the center of the bottom edge of the negative almost to the center of the format. Source indicates the antenna is attached to his neighbor's house.

b. The object's image is elliptical in shape, its length being about twice its width. It displays medium reflectivity and is fuzzy around the perimeter. A narrow band displaying slightly greater reflectivity is positioned along its minor axis. No reason or purpose has been established for this change in tone.

c. The exposure was made with a fixed focus camera having a nominal .26 foot focal length lens. Source states that the object was 100-200 feet in diameter and hovered about 200 feet above his neighbor's house at the time he made the exposure. The clarity of detail and relative size of the antenna indicate that source was perhaps 75 feet from his neighbor's house and not more than 220 feet from the object as it hovered 200 feet above the house.

d. If, indeed, the object had the 100-200 foot diameter (as stated by source) it would have had to be 800-1,600 feet from the camera to


TDPT (UFO) OFFICIAL FILE CY

produce the image size shown, and therefore would be in relatively sharp focus. Contrarily, if it were hovering 220 feet from the camera, its diameter would have to be approximately 30 feet to produce the image size shown, and it would also be in sharp focus.

e. The object imaged on the photograph, not being in sharp focus, could not have been hovering, and does not appear to have dimensions as great as those estimated by source.

f. Although the object on the negative cannot be identified, it appears to be circular in planform and situated between the camera and antenna. Its diameter is estimated to be 1 to 1.5 feet at a range of approximately 15 feet.

2. We are returning your original negative and photograph. Thank you for reporting your observation to the Air Force.

 DIRECTOR QUINTANILLA, Jr, Lt Colonel, USAF
Chief, Aerial Phenomena Office
Aerospace Technologies Division
Production Directorate

1 Atch
Orig negative & photograph



E. O. WOLFF
CHIEF



Department of Police

★ City of ...
APPLETON, WISCONSIN

54911

February 7, 1968

Department of Air Force
Headquarters Foreign Technology Division (AFSC)
Wright Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433

Att: Major Hector Quintanilla, Jr.
Chief Aerial Phenomena Office

RE: UFO Report, Appleton,
Wisconsin, 7 July 1967

Dear Major Quintanilla:

Attached find a copy of the report received by us from Greg Verhoeven. You will note he reported it to us on 8-1-67 at 2:00 p.m., presumably when he had his picture developed. He was inquiring as to whom he could report his observations. He was referred to your office.

We can offer no explanation for the phenomena, nor have we had any other reports at that time on a UFO being sighted.

Sincerely,

Chief E. O. Wolff
Appleton Department of Police

EOW:jv

Photo 7 Jul 67

30 JAN 1968

TDPT (UFO) Maj Quintanilla/70916/mhs/29 Jan 68

UFO Observation, Appleton, Wisconsin, 7 July 1967


Appleton Police Department
Appleton, Wisconsin 54911

1. The Aerial Phenomena Office is in receipt of an unidentified flying object (UFO) report from Appleton, Wisconsin which occurred on 7 July 1967 at approximately 1:00 pm.
2. The witness described the object as looking like two bowls put together. The object was yellow, 100 to 200 ft in diameter, made a humming noise and was hovering over a neighbor's house when he photographed it. (See inclosed photo)
3. Did you receive any reports of unusual objects for this date? We would appreciate your returning the inclosed photograph with your comments as to a possible cause for this sighting.
4. Thank you for your assistance on this matter.

 HECTOR QUINTANILLA, Jr, Major, USAF
Chief, Aerial Phenomena Office
Aerospace Technologies Division
Production Directorate

1 Atch
Photo w/envelope

TDPT (UFO) OFFICIAL FILE CY



This case includes two (2) 8"x10"
photographs and one (1) 7"x9"
photograph

COMPLAINT RECORD

DEPARTMENT OF POLICE
Appleton, Wisconsin

COMPLAINT INVESTIGATION FLYING SAUCER FILE NO. A 28195
DATE 8-1-67 TIME REC'D. 2:00 p.m.
COMPLAINANT [REDACTED]
PERSON REPORTING same
DEFENDANT _____
DEFENDANT _____
DEFENDANT _____
LOCATION above
TIME OCCURRED 1:00 p.m. DATE 7-7-67
RECEIVED BY Cotter HOW REC'D. person
TIME & DATE BROADCAST _____ T & D CANCEL _____
INVEST. OFFICER For Dept. Info Only TIME _____ DATE _____

COMPLAINT DETAILS

Greg Verhoeven, age 14, stopped at the station with a photo he took of what he thought could be an U.F.O. This photo showed an egg sha object in the sky. Greg states this U.F.O. came out of the east in area of old airport. Greg further states this vehicle hovered for a short time and departed to the west faster than a jet aircraft. The craft was estimated in size at 100 to 200 feet in diameter if on the ground. Color is yellow. Greg states it was lower than an inflight air craft.

The photo was taken with box camera number 620 black and white Koda film and processed by Ideal Photo. There are no adjustments for ls or speed on the camera. Greg was told to submit the photo to Wright Patterson, Air Force base for review.

Cotter

jv

7 July 67.

Dear Sir:

I spotted the UFO around 1.00 pm
July 7, 1967 a very clear day.
Hovering 200 ft over a neighbors
house making a slight humming
noise. It was oval on top and
bottom like 2 bowls put together.
The color was yellow, UFO 100
to 200 ft in diameter

Time Zone Central
Picture taken in Daylight Savings
In City of Appleton, Wis
The UFO hovered for 5 sec.
and sped off before I could reload
and take another picture.

Came from East & left
North East.

The sun was in back of me
The object appeared solid

The object came from East
at tremendous speed. shot
upward over our neighbors house
and hovered for about 5 sec.
and shot straight forward
to North East

St Appleton, Wis.

Over

Camera Argoflex 75
620 film black & white

If you need any other
information could you please
let me know

And if you can send
back either the picture or
the negative or you can keep
it if it helps.

Thank you!



PHOTO ANALYSIS REPORT

NR 68-20

PAGE 1 OF 2 PAGES

DATE OF REPORT 16 May 1968

SUBJECT UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT

LOCATION Appleton, Wisconsin

DATE 7 July 1967

PHOTOGRAPHY

AF _____ IR _____ QUALITY Fair

P HRS One Argoflex 75 negative and print, two FTD enlargements

1. PURPOSE: This report is in reply to Work Order Number 68-35, submitted by Major Quintanilla, requesting analysis of an "unidentified object" imaged on a photo negative.
2. ANALYSIS: Examination reveals that the "unidentified object" is partially visible along the left margin of the negative, and that the imagery is not the result of double exposure or retouching. The object is not visible in its entirety due to the fact that about 1/5 inch has been cropped from the left margin of the negative. The object appears to be symmetrical and it therefore could be assumed that about 80 percent of its imagery remains. Also imaged is a T.V. antenna which extends from the center of the bottom edge of the negative almost to the center of the format. Source indicates the antenna is attached to his neighbor's house.
3. The object's image is elliptical in shape, its length being about twice its width. It displays medium reflectivity and is fuzzy around the perimeter. A narrow band displaying slightly greater reflectivity is positioned along its minor axis. No reason or purpose has been established for this change in tone.
4. The exposure was made with a fixed focus camera having a nominal .26 foot focal length lens. Source states that the object was 100-200 feet in diameter and hovered about 200 feet above his neighbor's house at the time he made the exposure. The clarity of detail and relative size of the antenna indicate that source was perhaps 75 feet from his neighbor's house and not more than 220 feet from the object as it hovered 200 feet above the house.
5. If, indeed, the object had the 100-200 foot diameter (as stated by source) it would have had to be 800-1,600 feet from the camera to produce the image size shown, and therefore would be in relatively sharp focus. Contrarily, if it were hovering 220 feet from the camera, its diameter would have to be approximately 30 feet to produce the image size shown, and it would also be in sharp focus.
6. The object imaged on the photograph, not being in sharp focus, could not have been hovering, and does not appear to have dimensions as great as those estimated by source.

7. Although the object on the negative cannot be identified, it appears to be circular in planform and situated between the camera and antenna. Its diameter is estimated to be 1 to 1.5 feet and at a range of approximately 15 feet.

PHOTO ANALYSIS BY:

Paul D. Gilles

PAUL D. GILLES
Intelligence Research Specialist

Thomas E. Davis

THOMAS E. DAVIS
Intelligence Research Specialist


APPROVED BY:

B. E. Hultz


BURTON E. HULTZ
Chief, Photo Analysis Branch

Wilber Price, Jr.


WILBER PRICE, JR.
Chief, Photo Exploitation Division



FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION, AFSC



FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION, AFSC




REIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION, A1136

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

RC

← SORRY BUT WFO DIDNT ZEROK WELL.

PLEASE ACCEPT DRAWING



FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Appleton Wis
54911



FIRE
PREVENTION
WEEK



Wright Patterson Air Force Base
Dayton Ohio 45433

ETR

11 OCT 1967
FTH
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

SIGHTING OF UNIDENTIFIED PHENOMENA QUESTIONNAIRE

BUDGET BUREAU APPROVAL
NUMBER 21-R250

THIS QUESTIONNAIRE HAS BEEN PREPARED SO THAT YOU CAN GIVE THE U.S. AIR FORCE AS MUCH INFORMATION AS POSSIBLE CONCERNING THE UNIDENTIFIED PHENOMENON THAT YOU HAVE OBSERVED. PLEASE TRY TO ANSWER ALL OF THE QUESTIONS. THE INFORMATION YOU GIVE WILL BE USED FOR RESEARCH PURPOSES. YOUR NAME WILL NOT BE USED IN CONNECTION WITH ANY OF YOUR STATEMENTS OR CONCLUSIONS WITHOUT YOUR PERMISSION. RETURN TO AIR FORCE BASE INVESTIGATOR FOR FORWARDING TO FTD (TDETR), WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB, OHIO 45433, IAW AFR 80-17. (IF ADDITIONAL SHEETS ARE NEEDED FOR NARRATIVE OR SKETCHES ATTACH SECURELY TO THIS FORM OR ANNOTATE WITH YOUR NAME FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

1. WHEN DID YOU SEE THE PHENOMENON?

DAY Thursday MONTH July YEAR 1967

2. WHAT TIME DID YOU FIRST SIGHT THE PHENOMENON?

HOUR 1:00 MINUTES _____ ☐ A.M. ☒ P.M.

3. WHAT TIME DID YOU LAST SIGHT THE PHENOMENON?

10 sec. laterHOUR _____ MINUTES _____ ☐ A.M. ☐ P.M.


4. TIME ZONE

☒ DAYLIGHT SAVINGS☐ STANDARD☐ EASTERN☒ CENTRAL☐ MOUNTAIN☐ PACIFIC☐ OTHER

5. WHERE WERE YOU WHEN YOU SAW THE PHENOMENON? IF IN CITY, GIVE THE NEAREST STREET ADDRESS AND INDICATE ON A HAND DRAWN MAP WHERE YOU WERE STANDING WITH REFERENCE TO THE ADDRESS. IF IN THE COUNTRY, IDENTIFY THE HIGHWAY YOU WERE ON OR NEAR AND TRY TO FIX A DISTANCE AND DIRECTION FROM SOME RECOGNIZABLE LANDMARK.

*I was standing in my driveway when
the object appeared to hover over my
neighbor's 1527 E Pershing St Appleton Wis
house*

6. IMAGINE YOU ARE AT THE POINT SHOWN IN THE SKETCH, PLACE AN "A" ON THE CURVED LINE TO SHOW HOW HIGH THE PHENOMENON WAS ABOVE THE HORIZON, OR SKYLINE. WHEN FIRST SEEN. PLACE A "B" ON THE SAME CURVED LINE TO SHOW HOW HIGH ABOVE THE HORIZON THE PHENOMENON WAS WHEN LAST SEEN.



Chron. 11867

July 7, 1967


Appleton, Wisconsin.

3 Photos.







6A. NOW IMAGINE YOU ARE AT THE CENTER OF THE COMPASS ROSE. PLACE AN "A" ON THE COMPASS TO INDICATE THE DIRECTION TO THE PHENOMENON WHEN FIRST SEEN. PLACE A "B" ON THE COMPASS TO INDICATE THE DIRECTION TO THE PHENOMENON WHEN LAST SEEN.



7. IN THE SKETCH BELOW, PLACE AN "A" AT THE POSITION OF THE PHENOMENON WHEN FIRST SEEN, AND A "B" AT THE POSITION OF THE PHENOMENON WHEN LAST SEEN. CONNECT THE "A" AND "B" WITH A LINE TO APPROXIMATE THE MOVEMENT OF THE PHENOMENON BETWEEN "A" AND "B". THAT IS, SCHEMATICALLY SHOW WHETHER THE MOVEMENT APPEARED TO BE STRAIGHT, CURVED OR ZIG-ZAG. REFER TO SMALLER SKETCH AS AN EXAMPLE OF HOW TO COMPLETE THE LARGER SKETCH.



8. WHERE WERE YOU WHEN YOU SAW THE PHENOMENON? (Check appropriate blocks.)			
OUTDOORS ☒		IN BUSINESS SECTION OF CITY	
IN BUILDING		IN RESIDENTIAL SECTION OF CITY	
IN CAR	☐ AS DRIVER ☐ AS PASSENGER	IN OPEN COUNTRYSIDE	
IN BOAT		NEAR AIRFIELD	
IN AIRPLANE	☐ AS PILOT ☐ AS PASSENGER	FLYING OVER CITY	
OTHER		FLYING OVER OPEN COUNTRY	
		OTHER	
A. IF YOU WERE IN A VEHICLE, COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING:			
WHAT DIRECTION WERE YOU MOVING?		HOW FAST WERE YOU MOVING?	
NORTH	EAST	DID YOU STOP ANYTIME WHILE OBSERVING THE PHENOMENON? ☐ YES ☐ NO	
SOUTH	WEST		
NORTHEAST	SOUTHEAST		
NORTHWEST	SOUTHWEST		
EXPLAIN WHETHER SUCH MOVEMENT AFFECTS YOUR SKETCHES IN ITEMS 5 AND 6.			
DESCRIBE TYPE OF VEHICLE YOU WERE IN AND TYPE OF ROAD, TERRAIN OR BODY OF WATER YOU TRAVERSED DURING THE SIGHTING. STATE WHETHER WINDOWS OR CONVERTIBLE TOP WERE UP OR DOWN.			
HOW MUCH OTHER TRAFFIC WAS THERE?			
DID YOU NOTICE ANY AIRPLANES? ☐ YES ☐ NO. IF "YES," DESCRIBE WHEN THEY WERE IN SIGHT RELATIVE TO THE TIME OF SIGHTING THE PHENOMENON AND WHERE THEY WERE IN THE SKY RELATIVE TO THE POSITION OF THE PHENOMENON.			
9. HOW LONG WAS THE PHENOMENON IN SIGHT?			
LENGTH OF TIME		CERTAIN OF TIME	NOT VERY SURE
		FAIRLY CERTAIN	JUST A GUESS
HOW WAS TIME DETERMINED?			
WAS THE PHENOMENON IN SIGHT CONTINUOUSLY? ☐ YES ☐ NO. IF "NO," INDICATE WHETHER THIS IS DUE TO YOUR MOVEMENT OR THE BEHAVIOR OF THE PHENOMENON, AND DESCRIBE SUCH MOVEMENT OR BEHAVIOR. INDICATE DISAPPEARANCES ON PREVIOUS SKETCHES.			

10. IF THERE WERE MORE THAN ONE PHENOMENON, HOW MANY WERE THERE? DRAW A PICTURE TO SHOW HOW THEY WERE ARRANGED. DID THIS ARRANGEMENT CHANGE DURING THE SIGHTING?

11. CONDITIONS (Check appropriate blocks.)

A. SKY		B. WEATHER	
DAY		CUMULUS CLOUDS (Low fluffy)	FOG OR MIST
TWILIGHT		CIRRUS CLOUDS (High fleecy or Herring-bone)	HEAVY RAIN
NIGHT			LIGHT RAIN OR DRIZZLE
CLEAR	☒	NIMBUS CLOUDS (Rain)	HAIL
PARTLY CLOUDY		CUMULONIMBUS CLOUDS (Thunderstorms)	SNOW OR SLEET
COMPLETELY OVERCAST			UNKNOWN
		HAZE OR SMOG	NONE OF THE ABOVE ☒

C. IF THE SIGHTING WAS AT TWILIGHT OR NIGHT, WHAT DID YOU NOTICE ABOUT THE STARS AND MOON?

(1) STARS	(2) MOON
NONE	BRIGHT MOONLIGHT
A FEW	MOON WITH HALO
MANY	MOON HIDDEN BY CLOUDS
UNKNOWN	PARTIAL (New or quarter)

D. IF SIGHTING WAS IN DAYLIGHT, WAS THE SUN VISIBLE? ☐ YES ☒ NO. IF "YES," WHERE WAS THE SUN AS YOU FACED THE PHENOMENON?

IN FRONT OF YOU	TO YOUR RIGHT	OVERHEAD (Near noon)
IN BACK OF YOU	TO YOUR LEFT ☒	UNKNOWN

E. SPECIFY THE MAJOR SOURCE OF ILLUMINATION PRESENT DURING THE SIGHTING, SUCH AS THE SUN, HEADLIGHTS OR STREET LAMP, ETC. FOR TERRESTRIAL ILLUMINATION, SPECIFY DISTANCE TO LIGHT SOURCE.

It was a clear day with a few light thin clouds the sun was not fully out hidden by clouds

12. GIVE A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE PHENOMENON, INDICATING WHETHER IT APPEARED DARK OR LIGHT, WHETHER IT REFLECTED LIGHT OR WAS SELF-LUMINOUS AND WHAT COLORS YOU NOTICED. DESCRIBE YOUR IMPRESSION OF WHETHER IT WAS SOLID OR TRANSPARENT, WHETHER EDGES WERE SHARP OR FUZZY. DESCRIBE THE SHAPE OR INDICATE IF IT APPEARED AS A POINT OF LIGHT. INDICATE COMPARISONS WITH OTHER OBSERVED OBJECTS, LIKE STARS, A LIGHT OR OTHER OBJECT IN YOUR FIELD OF VIEW.

The object was oval on top & bottom and was dark yellow. It seemed to me to be very solid the edges where quite sharp but somehow the camera has it fuzzy. It was like putting 2 bowls together.

13.	DID THE PHENOMENON	YES	NO	UNKNOWN
	MOVE IN A STRAIGHT LINE?		✓	
	STAND STILL AT ANYTIME?	✓		
	SUDDENLY SPEED UP AND RUN AWAY?	✓		
	BREAK UP IN PARTS AND EXPLODE?		✓	
	CHANGE COLOR?		✓	
	GIVE OFF SMOKE?		✓	
	CHANGE BRIGHTNESS?	✓		
	CHANGE SHAPE?		✓	
	FLASH OR FLICKER?		✓	
	DISAPPEAR AND REAPPEAR?		✓	
	SPIN LIKE A TOP?		✓	
	MAKE A NOISE?	✓		
	FLUTTER OR WOBBLE?		✓	

14. WHAT DREW YOUR ATTENTION TO THE PHENOMENON?

well I was going to take pictures of my sister & brother in law. When I heard the weird sound I looked up thinking it might be a jet. But only to find a odd oval shaped object.


A. HOW DID IT FINALLY DISAPPEAR?

It just moved away at high speed to west. North west.

B. DID THE PHENOMENON MOVE BEHIND OR IN FRONT OF SOMETHING, LIKE A CLOUD, TREE, OR BUILDING AT ANY TIME?

☐ YES ☒ NO. IF "YES," DESCRIBE.

15. DRAW A PICTURE THAT WILL SHOW THE SHAPE OF THE PHENOMENON. INCLUDE AND LABEL ANY DETAILS THAT MIGHT HAVE APPEARED AS WINGS OR PROTRUSIONS, AND INDICATE EXHAUST OR VAPOR TRAILS. INDICATE BY AN ARROW THE DIRECTION THE PHENOMENON WAS MOVING.



16. WHAT WAS THE ANGULAR SIZE? HOLD A MATCH AT ARM'S LENGTH IN FRONT OF A KNOWN OBJECT, SUCH AS A STREET LAMP OR THE MOON. NOTE HOW MUCH OF THE OBJECT IS COVERED BY THE HEAD OF THE MATCH. NOW IF YOU HAD BEEN ABLE TO PERFORM THIS EXPERIMENT AT THE TIME OF THE SIGHTING, ESTIMATE WHAT FRACTION OF THE PHENOMENON WOULD HAVE BEEN COVERED BY THE MATCH HEAD.

I would say the whole match head would be covered by the object. The UFO was the same size or bigger than the match head at arms length.

17. DID YOU OBSERVE THE PHENOMENON THROUGH ANY OF THE FOLLOWING? INCLUDE INFORMATION ON MODEL, TYPE, FILTER, LENS PRESCRIPTION OR OTHER APPLICABLE DATA.

EYEGASSES ☒	CAMERA VIEWER ☒
SUNGLASSES	BINOCULARS
WINDSHIELD	TELESCOPE
SIDE WINDOW OF VEHICLE	THEODOLITE
WINDOWPANE	OTHER

A. DO YOU ORDINARILY WEAR GLASSES? ☒ YES ☐ NO

B. DO YOU USE READING GLASSES? ☐ YES ☒ NO

18. WHAT WAS YOUR IMPRESSION OF THE SPEED OF THE PHENOMENON? GIVE ESTIMATE OF SPEED *fast at 25*
and then when it stopped it was 40

19. WHAT WAS YOUR IMPRESSION OF THE DISTANCE OF THE PHENOMENON? GIVE ESTIMATE OF DISTANCE _____

20. IN ORDER THAT WE MAY OBTAIN AS CLEAR A PICTURE AS POSSIBLE OF WHAT YOU SAW, DESCRIBE IN YOUR OWN WORDS A COMMON OBJECT OR OBJECTS WHICH, WHEN PLACED IN THE SKY, SIMILAR TO WHERE YOU NOTED THE PHENOMENON, WOULD BEAR SOME RESEMBLANCE TO WHAT YOU SAW. DESCRIBE SIMILARITIES AND DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE COMMON OBJECT AND WHAT YOU SAW.

the object was oval top & bottom and seemed to have a hard shell. It was dark yellow & making a slight humming noise.

21. DID YOU NOTICE ANY ODOR, NOISE, OR HEAT EMANATING FROM THE PHENOMENON OR ANY EFFECT ON YOURSELF, ANIMALS OR MACHINERY IN THE VICINITY? ☒ YES ☐ NO. IF "YES," DESCRIBE.

It made a slight humming noise.

A. DID THE PHENOMENON DISTURB THE GROUND OR LEAVE ANY PHYSICAL EVIDENCE. ☐ YES ☒ NO. IF "YES," DESCRIBE.